U NOT REMUVE

June 10, 1988

DISTRIBUTION

Arnat. (1 to NPF-72

Docket Nos. (STN 50-456,) STN 50-457

Mr. Henry E. Bliss Nuclear Licensing Manager Commonwealth Edison Company Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

Dear Mr. Bliss:

Docket Files **JPartlow** NRC & Local PDRs TBarnhart (8) PDIII-2 Rdg. File WJones **EButcher** LNorrholm GHolahan NTrehan LLuther JStewart ACRS (10) L01shan GPA/PA SSands ARM/LFMB OGC-Rockville EJordan DHagan PDIII-2 Plant File

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-72 and Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-77 for Braidwood Station, Units No. 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated June 2, 1988.

These amendments approve a change to the Technical Specifications to extend from 31 days (monthly) to 41 days, on a one-time-basis only, the surveillance interval for the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint for Unit 1 only.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

Stephen P. Sands, Project Manager Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 9 to NPF-72 2. Amendment No. 9 to NPF-77

cc: w/enclosures
See next page

PDIII SSands ′ /88

PDIII-2: MHodges LLuther 788 617 188

OGC-Rockville

/88

PDIII-2:PD LNorrholm 6 //0 /88 fr fr



June 10, 1988

Docket Nos. STN 50-456, STN 50-457

Mr. Henry E. Bliss Nuclear Licensing Manager Commonwealth Edison Company Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

Dear Mr. Bliss:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-72 and Amendment No. 9 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-77 for Braidwood Station, Units No. 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated June 2, 1988.

These amendments approve a change to the Technical Specifications to extend from 31 days (monthly) to 41 days, on a one-time-basis only, the surveillance interval for the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint for Unit 1 only.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

Stephen P. Sands, Project Manager Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 9 to NPF-72
- 2. Amendment No. 9 to NPF-77

cc: w/enclosures
See next page

·----

Mr. Henry Bliss Commonwealth Edison Company

cc: Mr. William Kortier Atomic Power Distribution Westinghouse Electric Corporation Post Office Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Joseph Gallo, Esq. Hopkins and Sutter 1050 Connecticut Ave., N. W. Suite 1250 Washington, D. C. 20036

C. Allen Bock, Esq. Post Offices Box 342 Urbana, Illinois 61801

Ms. Bridget Little Rorem Appleseed Coordinator 117 North Linden Street Essex, Illinois 60935

Mr. Edward R. Crass Nuclear Safeguards and Licensing Division Sargent & Lundy Engineers 55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspectors Office RR#1, Box 79 Braceville, Illinois 60407

Regional Administrator, Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Gien Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Chairman Will County Board of Supervisors Will County Board Courthouse Joliet, Illinois 60434 Braidwood Station Units 1 and 2

Ms. Lorraine Creek Route 1, Box 182 Manteno, Illinois 60950

Douglass Cassel, Esq. 109 N. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Elena Z. Kezelis, Esq. Isham, Lincoln & Beale Three First National Plaza Suite 5200 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Mr. Charles D. Jones, Director Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster Agency 110 East Adams Street Springfield, Illinois 62706

Michael Miller, Esq. Sidley and Austin One First National Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60603

George L. Edgar Newman & Holtzinger, P.C. 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorney General 500 South 2nd Street Springfield, Illinois 62701

EIS Review Coordinator EPA Region V 230 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604



COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

DOCKET NO. STN 50-456

BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 9 License No. NPF-72

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) dated June 2, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specification as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-72 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A as revised through Amendment No. 9 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated into this license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment was effective as of June 2, 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Aun >

Leif J. Norrholm, Acting Director Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: June 10, 1988



COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

DOCKET NO. STN 50-457

BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 9 License No. NPF-77

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) dated June 2, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specification as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-77 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A as revised through Amendment No. 9 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which were attached to License No. NPF-72, dated July 2, 1987, are hereby incorporated into this license. Attachment 2 contains revisions to Appendix A which are hereby incorporated into this license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment was effective as of June 2, 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

head 'un

Leif J. Norrholm, Acting Director Project Directorate III-2 Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: June 10, 1988

2

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 9 AND 9 AND FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-72 AND NPF-77 DOCKET NOS. STN-50-456 AND STN 50-457

Revise Appendix A as follows:

<u>Remove Pages</u>	Insert Pages			
3/4 3-9	3/4 3-9			
3/4 3-12a	3/4 3-12a			

TABLE 4.3-1

.

REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

BRAJ	REACTOR TRID SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SUDVETILANCE DEGUIDEMENTS							
IDWOOD - UNITS	FUNC	TIONAL UNIT	CHANNEL CHECK	CHANNEL CALIBRATION	ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST	TRIP ACTUATING DEVICE OPERATIONAL TEST	ACTUATION LOGIC TEST	MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED
1 & 2	1.	Manual Reactor Trip	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	R	N.A.	1, 2, 3*, 4*, 5*
	2.	Power Range, Neutron Flux						
3/4 3-9		a. High Setpoint	S	D(2, 4), M(3, 4, 14 Q(4, 6), P(4, 5a)#	Q .)	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
		b. Low Setpoint	S	R(4, 3a)# R(4)#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1###, 2
	3.	Power Range, Neutron Flux, High Positive Rate	N.A.	R(4)#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
	4.	Power Range, Neutron Flux, High Negative Rate	N.A.	R(4)#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
	5.	Intermediate Range, Neutron Flux	S	R(4, 5a)#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1###, 2
	6.	Source Range, Neutron Flux	S	R(4, 5b, 1	.2)# Q(9)	N.A.	N.A.	2##, 3, 4, 5
	7.	Overtemperature ∆T	S	R(13)#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
Amendment No	8.	Overpower ∆T	S	R#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
	9.	Pressurizer Pressure-Low (Above P-7)	S	R#	Q**	N.A.	N.A.	1
	10.	Pressurizer Pressure-High	S	R#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
• 9	11.	Pressurizer Water Level-High (Above P-7)	S	R#	Q	N.A.	N.A.	1

TABLE 4.3-1 (Continued)

TABLE NOTATIONS

- (12) At least once per 18 months during shutdown verify that on a simulated Boron Dilution Doubling test signal CVCS valves 112D and E open and 112B and C close within 30 seconds.
- (13) CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall include the RTD bypass loops flow rate.
- (14) On a one-time basis only, through June 15, 1988, the periodicity of this surveillance for Unit 1 only may be extended from 31 days (monthly) to 41 days.



SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATING TO THE NUMBER OF INCORE THIMBLE OPERABLE FOR

COMMONWEALTH EDISON

BRAIDWOOD NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-456

1.0 BACKGROUND

By letter dated June 2, 1988, Commonwealth Edison has proposed a temporary change to the Braidwood Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1, Technical Specification to extend an additional ten (10) days, the monthly surveillance interval for the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint. Presently, 16 of the 58 incore thimbles are plugged. This change would be in effect for only ten days. This will give the licensee time to prepare for an orderly shutdown to repair the plugged thimbles. The licensee has proposed increased uncertainty factors to be applied to the measure peaking factors when flux maps are taken with fewer than 75% of the thimbles. A similar change has been approved for other licensees when similar problems occurred for those plants.

2.0 EVALUATION

Essentially, all PWR Technical Specifications contain a requirement for operability of 75% of the incore detector locations for periodic mapping of the core power distribution. On a number of occasions, for various reasons, thimble failures in operating PWRs have approached or exceeded 25% and relaxation of the 75% requirement has been permitted for the duration of the affected reactor operating cycles.

The licensee's proposed change increases the moveable incore map measurement uncertainty from the normal 5% to 9% for F_0 and F_{xy} . The normal uncertainty in the measurement of F^N is 4% and is proposed to be increased to 6%.

The stuck thimbles are spread fairly evenly across the core, thus eliminating concern that an entire region might not be measured.

The licensee has provided results of core maps which show sufficient margins in total core peaking factor and F^N to the Technical Specification limits for steady-state operating conditions. Both the total core peaking factor and F^N normally tend to decrease with burnup. Peaking factors increase with lower power levels, but the peaking factor limits increase even faster. Thus, the margin to limits is greater at part power than at full power. An incore flux map was

taken on May 28, 1988 at 74% power with 42 detectors (72% of detectors). With the increased uncertainty (as proposed by the licensee for the technical specification change), the measured peaking factors had apparently 14% margin to the limit for the total peaking factor and 7% margin for F⁺. Since the

unit will not exceed 75% power, we conclude that these margins, along with the proposed increases in measurement uncertainty are sufficient to preclude concern that required monitoring of the limits could fail to detect a problem during this period of time and that extending the surveillance interval for ten (10) days will have little or no effect on this system to operate normally and perform its intended function.

Our review of the suitability of operation of the Braidwood Unit 1 reactor for an additional 10 days with a reduced number of movable incore thimble locations to as few as 65%, indicates that adequate margin exists at this time in Cycle 1 and sufficiently increased uncertainty allowance has been made to ensure that Technical Specification peaking factor limits will be met. We, therefore, conclude that the proposed Technical Specification change is acceptable for the 10 days requested.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves changes to the installation or use of the facility components located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational exposure. The Commission has made a final no significant hazards consideration finding with respect to this amendment. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

4.0 FINDINGS OF EMERGENCY WARRANTING AN AMENDMENT WITHOUT NOTICE

The proposed amendment is a one-time only change for Technical Specification 4.3.1.1 for the Reactor Trip System Instrumentation. The change proposes, on a one-time basis, to extend for an additional ten (10) days, the monthly surveillance interval for the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint. The Surveillance Requirements are such that entry into an operational mode or state or other specified condition shall not be made unless the Surveillance Requirements associated with the Limiting Condition for Operation have been performed within the stated surveillance interval or as otherwise specified.

The licensee's application for the Technical Specification change was necessitated due to the discovery of (16) blocked thimble tubes in the Braidwood Unit 1 Moveable Incore Detector System (MIDS) on May 10, 1988. CECo took immediate action to try to repair the blocked thimble tubes in order to complete their Technical Specification surveillance requirements. After a substantial effort had been made to clear the blocked thimble tubes, it became clear that the system would not have the minimum number of detectors available to meet the requirements. As of June 2, 1988, Unit 1 was below the minimum Technical Specification limit of 75% of the moveable incore thimble locations. Efforts to clear the remaining thimble tubes have not been successful. It became evident at this point that inspite of all their efforts the time interval for completing the surveillance had elapsed and only an emergency change to their Technical Specifications would prevent them from being in violation.

The proposed Technical Specification change will allow Unit 1 to operate an additional ten (10) days during which preparations will be made for an orderly shutdown to allow for the clearing of the thimble tubes.

5.0 FINAL NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) has completed, as part of their review, an evaluation and determination that the proposed amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. According to 10 CFR 50.92(c), a proposed amendment to an operating license involves no significant hazards considerations if operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not:

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or
- (3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

This proposed amendment requests a one-time only change (effective until June 15, 1988) for Unit 1, to Technical Specification 4.3.1.1 for the Reactor Trip System Instrumentation. The change proposes, on a one-time basis, to extend the monthly surveillance periodicity for the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint from 31 days to 41 days.

The licensee has addressed the three criteria, stated above, in connection with this proposed amendment and has presented the following evaluation:

(1) The probability or consequences of accidents while the incore moveable detector system is degraded are not increased because extension of a surveillance interval does not significantly affect the probability for the occurrence of an accident. Both shiftly and 18-month surveillances are performed on the equipment to demonstrate operability. Since there remain sufficient instruments which function to adequately monitor the core, the consequences of an accident remain unaffected.

(2) The possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated is not created because the ability of the moveable detector system to measure core power distributions is not significantly degraded. Also, the impact on the ability to measure quadrant tilt and core average axial power shape is negligible. Finally, no new equipment is introduced and no equipment is operated in a new or different manner.

(3) The margin of safety is not reduced because sufficient thimbles will be available to ensure that no quadrant will be unmonitored. The limitation of power level to 75% further assures that the margin of safety is not reduced. The plugged tubes that necessitate this change are not concentrated in any quadrant or core region. A full core flux map completed on May 27, 1988, utilized 42 thimbles, indicated acceptable comparison of incore Axial Flux Difference.

The staff has reviewed the licensee's evaluation and determined that it involves no significant hazards considerations and found it acceptable. Additionally, the staff, in reviewing the licensee's request for the above amendment, determined that should this request be implemented, it would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, does not create the possibility of an accident of a type different from any evaluated previously, and does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety; the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

The State of Illinois was informed by telephone on June 2, 1988, of the staff's no significant hazards consideration determination. The State contact had no comments on the determination.

Principal Contributors: M. Chatterton, NRR/SRXB S. Sands, NRR/PD3-2

Dated: June 10, 1988