



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 21, 1995

Mr. D. L. Farrar  
Manager, Nuclear Regulatory Services  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Executive Towers West III  
1400 Opus Place, Suite 500  
Downers Grove, IL 60515

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -  
BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M92898 AND M92897)

Dear Mr. Farrar:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request for an exemption dated May 22, 1995. The requested exemption would allow the use of a hand geometry biometric system to control unescorted access into the protected areas of Braidwood Station in conjunction with photograph identification badges, and would allow the badges to be taken off site.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Ramin R. Assa, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. STN 50-456, STN 50-457

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: see next page

270061

9507280275 950721  
PDR ADOCK 05000456  
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

*RF01*

cr

July 21, 1995

Mr. D. L. Farrar  
Manager, Nuclear Regulatory Services  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Executive Towers West III  
1400 Opus Place, Suite 500  
Downers Grove, IL 60515

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -  
BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M92898 AND M92897)

Dear Mr. Farrar:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request for an exemption dated May 22, 1995. The requested exemption would allow the use of a hand geometry biometric system to control unescorted access into the protected areas of Braidwood Station in conjunction with photograph identification badges, and would allow the badges to be taken off site.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Ramin R. Assa, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. STN 50-456, STN 50-457

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: see next page

<u>DISTRIBUTION:</u>	Docket File	PUBLIC	PDIIII-2 r/f
J. Roe	R. Capra	C. Moore	L. Miller, RIII
R. Assa	E. Jordan, T4 D18	OGC, 015 B18	ACRS (4), T2 326

DOCUMENT NAME: BRAID\BR92898.EA

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA: PDIIII-2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E	PM: PDIIII-2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E	NRR: TSCB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E	OGC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E	D: PDIIII-2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E
NAME	C. Moore	R. Assa: lm	L. Cunningham		R. Capra
DATE	07/19/95	07/19/95	07/19/95	07/20/95	07/21/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

D. L. Farrar  
Commonwealth Edison Company

Braidwood Station  
Unit Nos. 1 and 2

cc:

Mr. William P. Poirier  
Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
Energy Systems Business Unit  
Post Office Box 355, Bay 236 West  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Chairman  
Will County Board of Supervisors  
Will County Board Courthouse  
Joliet, Illinois 60434

Joseph Gallo  
Gallo & Ross  
1250 Eye St., N.W., Suite 302  
Washington, DC 20005

Ms. Lorraine Creek  
Rt. 1, Box 182  
Manteno, Illinois 60950

Regional Administrator  
U.S. NRC, Region III  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Attorney General  
500 South Second Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62701

Ms. Bridget Little Rorem  
Appleseed Coordinator  
117 North Linden Street  
Essex, Illinois 60935

Michael Miller, Esquire  
Sidley and Austin  
One First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Howard A. Learner  
Environmental Law and Policy  
Center of the Midwest  
203 North LaSalle Street  
Suite 1390  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

George L. Edgar  
Newman & Holtzinger, P.C.  
1615 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Braidwood Resident Inspectors Office  
Rural Route #1, Box 79  
Braceville, Illinois 60407

Illinois Dept. of Nuclear Safety  
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety  
1035 Outer Park Drive  
Springfield, Illinois 62704

Mr. Ron Stephens  
Illinois Emergency Services  
and Disaster Agency  
110 East Adams Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Commonwealth Edison Company  
Braidwood Station Manager  
Rt. 1, Box 84  
Braceville, Illinois 60407

EIS Review Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
77 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

DOCKET NOS. STN 50-456 AND STN 50-457

BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF

NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee), for operation of Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Will County, Illinois.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 73.55, "Requirements for Physical Protection of Licensed Activities in Nuclear Power Reactors Against Radiological Sabotage." The requested exemption would allow the implementation of a hand geometry biometric system of site access control in conjunction with photograph identification badges, and would allow the badges to be taken off site.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55(a), the licensee is required to establish and maintain an onsite physical protection system and security organization.

In 10 CFR 73.55(d), "Access Requirements," it specifies in part that "The licensee shall control all points of personnel and vehicle access into a protected area." In 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5), it specifies in part that "A numbered

picture badge identification system shall be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escort." It further indicates that an individual not employed by the licensee (e.g., contractors) may be authorized access to protected areas without an escort provided the individual, "receives a picture badge upon entrance into the protected area which must be returned upon exit from the protected area."

Currently, unescorted access for both employee and contractor personnel into the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, is controlled through the use of picture badges. Positive identification of personnel who are authorized and request access into the protected area is established by security personnel making a visual comparison of the individual requesting access and that individual's picture badge. The picture badges are issued, stored, and retrieved at the entrance/exit location to the protected area. In accordance with 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5), contractor personnel are not allowed to take their picture badges off site. In addition, in accordance with the plant's physical security plan, the licensee's employees are also not allowed to take their picture badges off site. The licensee proposes to implement an alternative unescorted access control system which would eliminate the need to issue and retrieve picture badges at the entrance/exit location to the protected area. The proposal would also allow contractors who have unescorted access to keep their picture badges in their possession when departing the Braidwood site. In addition, the site security plans will be revised to allow implementation of the hand geometry system and to allow employees and contractors with unescorted access to keep their picture badges in their possession when leaving the Braidwood site.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action. In addition to their picture badges, all individuals with authorized unescorted access will have the physical characteristics of their hand (hand geometry) registered with their picture badge number in a computerized access control system. Therefore, all authorized individuals must not only have their picture badges to gain access into the protected area, but must also have their hand geometry confirmed.

All other access processes, including search function capability and access revocation, will remain the same. A security officer responsible for access control will continue to be positioned within a bullet-resistant structure. The proposed system is only for individuals with authorized unescorted access and will not be used for individuals requiring escorts.

The underlying purpose for requiring that individuals not employed by the licensee must receive and return their picture badges at the entrance/exit is to provide reasonable assurance that the access badges could not be compromised or stolen with a resulting risk that an unauthorized individual could potentially enter the protected area. Although the proposed exemption will allow individuals to take their picture badges off site, the proposed measures require not only that the picture badge be provided for access to the protected area, but also that verification of the hand geometry registered with the badge be performed as discussed above. Thus, the proposed system provides an identity verification process that is equivalent to the existing process.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the exemption to allow individuals not employed by the licensee to take their picture badges off site will not result in an increase in the risk that an unauthorized individual could potentially enter the protected area. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

The proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to the proposed action would be to deny the requested action. Denial of the requested action would not significantly enhance the environment in that the proposed action will result in a process that is equivalent to the existing identification verification process.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 19, 1995, the staff consulted with the Illinois State Official, Mr. Mike Parker, Chief, Reactor

Safety Section; Division of Engineering; Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety; regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's letter dated May 22, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Wilmington Library, 201 S. Kankakee Street, Wilmington, Illinois 60481.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of July 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Ramin R. Assa, Project Manager  
Project Directorate  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation