August 2, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-445
and 50-446

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket	OGC
NRC PDR	DHagan
Local PDR	GHill (4)
PDIV-1 Reading	CGrimes
JRoe	OC/LFDCB
EAdensam	ACRS (10)
EPeyton	OPA
TBergman (2)	ABBeach, RIV
JCunningham	JStrosnider
TCollins	

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr.
Group Vice President, Nuclear
TU Electric
400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Dear Mr. Cahill:

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - AMENDMENT NOS. 26 AND 12 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89 (TAC NOS. M88922 AND M88923)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 26 and 12 to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89 for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated February 14, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated April 29, 1994.

The amendments revise the TS by increasing the Unit 2 boron concentration limits for the refueling water storage tank and the emergency core cooling system accumulators, and make an administrative change applicable to both units. These changes support Unit 2 operation with extended fuel cycles.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Thomas A. Bergman, Project Manager
Project Directorate IV-1
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
1. Amendment No. 26 to NPF-87
2. Amendment No. 12 to NPF-89
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFFICE	PDIV-1/LA	PDIV-1/PM	OGC	PDIV-1/D
NAME	EPeyton	TBergman:mk	MYoung	WBeckner
DATE	7/13/94	7/13/94	7/20/94	7/29/94

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY/ FILENAME: CP88922.AMD

9408080087 940802
PDR ADOCK 05000445
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 2, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-445
and 50-446

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr.
Group Vice President, Nuclear
TU Electric
400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Dear Mr. Cahill:

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - AMENDMENT NOS.26 AND 12 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89 (TAC NOS. M88922 AND M88923)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 26 and 12 to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89 for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated February 14, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated April 29, 1994.

The amendments revise the TS by increasing the Unit 2 boron concentration limits for the refueling water storage tank and the emergency core cooling system accumulators, and make an administrative change applicable to both units. These changes support Unit 2 operation with extended fuel cycles.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Bergman, Project Manager
Project Directorate IV-1
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
1. Amendment No.26 to NPF-87
2. Amendment No.12 to NPF-89
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr.
TU Electric Company

Comanche Peak, Units 1 and 2

cc:

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 1029
Granbury, Texas 76048

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

Mrs. Juanita Ellis, President
Citizens Association for Sound Energy
1426 South Polk
Dallas, Texas 75224

Mr. Roger D. Walker, Manager
Regulatory Affairs for Nuclear
Engineering Organization
Texas Utilities Electric Company
400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Texas Utilities Electric Company
c/o Bethesda Licensing
3 Metro Center, Suite 610
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

William A. Burchette, Esq.
Counsel for Tex-La Electric
Cooperative of Texas
Jorden, Schulte, & Burchette
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

GDS Associates, Inc.
Suite 720
1850 Parkway Place
Marietta, Georgia 30067-8237

Jack R. Newman, Esq.
Newman & Holtzinger
1615 L Street, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036

Chief, Texas Bureau of Radiation
Control
Texas Department of Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, Texas 78756

Honorable Dale McPherson
County Judge
P. O. Box 851
Glen Rose, Texas 76043

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-445

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 26
License No. NPF-87

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:

   A. The application for amendment by Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee) dated February 14, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated April 29, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

   B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;

   C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;

   D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and

   E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-87 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9408080089 940802
PDR ADOCK 05000445
P PDR

2. Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 26, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented prior to startup for Cycle 2 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 2.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

William D. Beckner

William D. Beckner, Director
Project Directorate IV-1
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 2, 1994

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-446

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 12
License No. NPF-89

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:

   A. The application for amendment by Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee) dated February 14, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated April 29, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

   B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;

   C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;

   D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and

   E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-89 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 12 , and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated into this license. TU Electric shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented prior to startup for Cycle 2 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 2.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

William D. Beckner

William D. Beckner, Director
Project Directorate IV-1
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 2, 1994

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 26 AND 12

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE	INSERT
3/4 1-11	3/4 1-11
3/4 1-12	3/4 1-12
3/4 1-13	3/4 1-13
3/4 1-14	3/4 1-14
3/4 5-1	3/4 5-1
3/4 5-10	3/4 5-10
3/4 9-1	3/4 9-1
B 3/4 1-2	B 3/4 1-2
B 3/4 1-3	B 3/4 1-3
B 3/4 5-2	B 3/4 5-2
B 3/4 9-1	B 3/4 9-1

BORATED WATER SOURCE - SHUTDOWN

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.1.2.5 As a minimum, one of the following borated water sources shall be OPERABLE:

a. A boric acid storage tank with:

1) A minimum indicated borated water level of 10% when using the boric acid transfer pump,

2) A minimum indicated borated water level of 20% when using the gravity feed connection,

3) A minimum boron concentration of 7000 ppm, and

4) A minimum solution temperature of 65°F.

b. The refueling water storage tank (RWST) with:

1) A minimum indicated borated water level of 24%,

2) A minimum boron concentration of 2400 ppm, and

3) A minimum solution temperature of 40°F.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 5 and 6.

ACTION:

With no borated water source OPERABLE, suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or positive reactivity changes.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1.2.5 The above required borated water source shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 7 days by:

1) Verifying the boron concentration of the water,

2) Verifying the indicated borated water level, and

3) Verifying the boric acid storage tank solution temperature when it is the source of borated water.

b. At least once per 24 hours by verifying the RWST temperature when it is the source of borated water and the outside air temperature is less than 40°F.

BORATED WATER SOURCES - OPERATING

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.1.2.6 As a minimum, the following borated water source(s) shall be OPERABLE as required by Specification 3.1.2.2:

a. A boric acid storage tank with:

1) A minimum indicated borated water level of 50%,

2) A minimum boron concentration of 7000 ppm, and

3) A minimum solution temperature of 65°F.

b. The refueling water storage tank (RWST) with:

1) A minimum indicated borated water level of 95%,

2) A boron concentration between 2400 ppm and 2600 ppm,

3) A minimum solution temperature of 40°F, and

4) A maximum solution temperature of 120°F.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

a. With the boric acid storage tank inoperable and being used as one of the above required borated water sources, restore the tank to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and borated to a SHUTDOWN MARGIN equivalent to at least 1.3% $\Delta k/k$ at 200°F; restore the boric acid storage tank to OPERABLE status within the next 7 days or be in COLD SHUTDOWN within the next 30 hours.

b. With the RWST inoperable, restore the tank to OPERABLE status within 1 hour or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1.2.6 Each borated water source shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 7 days by:

1) Verifying the boron concentration in the water,

2) Verifying the indicated borated water level of the water source, and

3) Verifying the boric acid storage tank solution temperature when it is the source of borated water.

b. At least once per 24 hours by verifying the RWST temperature when the outside air temperature is either less than 40°F or greater than 120°F.

3/4.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

3/4.5.1 ACCUMULATORS

COLD LEG INJECTION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.5.1 Each cold leg injection accumulator shall be OPERABLE with:

a. The discharge isolation valve open with power removed,

b. An indicated borated water level of between 39% and 61%,

c. A boron concentration of between 2300 ppm and 2600 ppm, and

d. An indicated cover-pressure of between 623 and 644 psig.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, and 3*.

ACTION:

a. With one cold leg injection accumulator inoperable, except as a result of a closed isolation valve or the boron concentration outside the required values, restore the inoperable accumulator to OPERABLE status within 1 hour or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and reduce pressurizer pressure to less than 1000 psig within the following 6 hours.

b. With one cold leg injection accumulator inoperable due to the isolation valve being closed, either immediately open the isolation valve or be in at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and reduce pressurizer pressure to less than 1000 psig within the following 6 hours.

c. With the boron concentration of one cold leg injection accumulator outside the required limit, restore the boron concentration to within the required limits within 72 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and reduce pressurizer pressure to less than 1000 psig within the following 6 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.5.1.1 Each cold leg injection accumulator shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 12 hours by:

1) Verifying the indicated borated water level and nitrogen cover-pressure in the tanks, and

---

*Pressurizer pressure above 1000 psig.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

2) Verifying that each cold leg injection accumulator isolation valve is open.

b. At least once per 31 days and within 6 hours after each indicated solution volume increase of greater than or equal to 101 gallons (12% of span) by verifying the boron concentration of the solution in the water-filled accumulator;

c. At least once per 31 days when the RCS pressure is above 1000 psig by verifying that power to the isolation valve operator is removed.

4.5.1.2 Each accumulator water level and pressure channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 31 days by the performance of an ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST, and

b. At least once per 18 months by the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION.

3/4.5.3 ECCS SUBSYSTEMS - $T_{avg} < 350°F$

SAFETY INJECTION PUMPS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.5.3.2 All safety injection pumps shall be inoperable.

APPLICABILITY: Modes 4#, 5, and 6 with the reactor vessel head on.

ACTION:

With a safety injection pump OPERABLE, restore all safety injection pumps to an inoperable status within 4 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.5.3.2 All safety injection pumps shall be demonstrated inoperable* by verifying that the motor circuit breakers are secured in the open position within 4 hours after entering MODE 4 from MODE 3 or prior to the temperature of one or more of the RCS cold legs decreasing below 325°F, whichever occurs first and at least once per 31 days thereafter.

---

*An inoperable pump may be energized for testing or for filling accumulators provided the discharge at the pump has been isolated from the RCS by a closed isolation valve(s) with power removed from the valve operator(s), or by a manual isolation valve(s) secured in the closed position.

#Except when Specification 3.4.8.3 is not applicable.

3/4.5.4 REFUELING WATER STORAGE TANK

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.5.4 The refueling water storage tank (RWST) shall be OPERABLE with:

a. A minimum indicated borated water level of 95%,

b. A boron concentration of between 2400 ppm and 2600 ppm of boron,

c. A minimum solution temperature of 40°F, and

d. A maximum solution temperature of 120°F.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

With the RWST inoperable, restore the tank to OPERABLE status within 1 hour or be in at least HOT STANDBY within 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.5.4 The RWST shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 7 days by:

1) Verifying the indicated borated water level in the tank, and

2) Verifying the boron concentration of the water.

b. At least once per 24 hours by verifying the RWST temperature when the outside air temperature is less than 40°F or greater than 120°F.

3/4.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

3/4.9.1 BORON CONCENTRATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.1 The boron concentration of all filled portions of the Reactor Coolant System and the refueling canal shall be maintained uniform and sufficient to ensure that the more restrictive of the following reactivity conditions is met; either:

a. A K_{eff} of 0.95 or less, or

b. A boron concentration of greater than or equal to 2400 ppm.

Additionally, either valve CS-8455 or valves CS-8560, FCV-111B, CS-8439, CS-8441 and CS-8453 shall be closed and secured in position.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

ACTION:

a. With the requirements a. or b. of the above not satisfied, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or positive reactivity changes and initiate and continue boration at greater than or equal to 30 gpm of a solution containing greater than or equal to 7000 ppm boron or its equivalent until K_{eff} is reduced to less than or equal to 0.95 or the boron concentration is restored to greater than or equal to 2400 ppm, whichever is the more restrictive.

b. If either valve CS-8455 or valves CS-8560, FCV-111B, CS-8439, CS-8441 and CS-8453 are not closed and secured in position, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or positive reactivity changes and take action to isolate the dilution paths. Within 1 hour, verify the more restrictive of 3.9.1.a or 3.9.1.b or carry out Action a. above.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.1.1 The more restrictive of the above two reactivity conditions shall be determined prior to:

a. Removing or unbolting the reactor vessel head, and

b. Withdrawal of any control rod in excess of 3 feet from its fully inserted position within the reactor vessel.

4.9.1.2 The boron concentration of the Reactor Coolant System and the refueling canal shall be determined by chemical analysis at least once per 72 hours.

4.9.1.3 Either valve CS-8455 or valves CS-8560, FCV-111B, CS-8439, CS-8441 and CS-8453 shall be verified closed and secured in position by mechanical stops or by removal of air or electrical power at least once per 31 days to verify that dilution paths are isolated.

3/4.9.2 INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.2 As a minimum, two source range neutron flux monitors shall be OPERABLE, each with continuous visual indication in the control room and one with audible indication in the containment and control room.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

ACTION:

a. With one of the above required monitors inoperable or not operating, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or positive reactivity changes.

b. With both of the above required monitors inoperable or not operating, determine the boron concentration of the Reactor Coolant System at least once per 12 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.2 Each source range neutron flux monitor shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of:

a. A CHANNEL CHECK at least once per 12 hours,

b. An ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST within 8 hours prior to the initial start of CORE ALTERATIONS, and

c. An ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST at least once per 7 days.

BASES

3/4.1.1 BORATION CONTROL

3/4.1.1.1 and 3/4.1.1.2 SHUTDOWN MARGIN

A sufficient SHUTDOWN MARGIN ensures that: (1) the reactor can be made subcritical from all operating conditions, (2) the reactivity transients associated with postulated accident conditions are controllable within acceptable limits, and (3) the reactor will be maintained sufficiently subcritical to preclude inadvertent criticality in the shutdown condition.

SHUTDOWN MARGIN requirements vary throughout core life as a function of fuel depletion, RCS boron concentration, and RCS T_{avg}. The most restrictive condition occurs at EOL, with T_{avg} at no load operating temperature, and is associated with a postulated steam line break accident and resulting uncontrolled RCS cooldown. In the analysis of this accident, a minimum SHUTDOWN MARGIN of 1.6% $\Delta k/k$ for Unit 1 (1.3% $\Delta k/k$ for Unit 2) is required to control the reactivity transient. Accordingly, the SHUTDOWN MARGIN requirement is based upon this limiting condition and is consistent with FSAR safety analysis assumptions. With T_{avg} less than 200°F, a SHUTDOWN MARGIN of 1.3% $\Delta k/k$ provides adequate protection and is based on the results of the boron dilution accident analysis.

Since the actual overall core reactivity balance comparison required by 4.1.1.1.2 cannot be performed until after criticality is attained, this comparison is not required (and the provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable) for entry into any Operational Mode within the first 31 EFPD following initial fuel load or refueling.

3/4.1.1.3 MODERATOR TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT

The limitations on moderator temperature coefficient (MTC) are provided to ensure that the value of this coefficient remains within the limiting condition assumed in the FSAR accident and transient analyses.

The MTC values of this specification are applicable to a specific set of plant conditions; accordingly, verification of MTC values at conditions other than those explicitly stated will require extrapolation to those conditions in order to permit an accurate comparison.

The most negative MTC value equivalent to the most positive moderator density coefficient (MDC) was obtained by incrementally correcting the MDC used in the FSAR analyses to nominal operating conditions. These corrections

MODERATOR TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT (Continued)

involved subtracting the incremental change in the MDC associated with a core condition of all rods inserted (most positive MDC) to an all rods withdrawn condition and, a conversion for the rate of change of moderator density with temperature at RATED THERMAL POWER conditions. This value of the MDC was then transformed into the limiting End of Cycle Life (EOL) MTC value. The 300 ppm surveillance limit MTC value represents a conservative value (with corrections for burnup and soluble boron) at a core condition of 300 ppm equilibrium boron concentration and is obtained by making these corrections to the limiting EOL MTC value.

The Surveillance Requirements for measurement of the MTC at the beginning and near the end of the fuel cycle are adequate to confirm that the MTC remains within its limits since this coefficient changes slowly due principally to the reduction in RCS boron concentration associated with fuel burnup.

3/4.1.1.4 MINIMUM TEMPERATURE FOR CRITICALITY

This specification ensures that the reactor will not be made critical with the Reactor Coolant System average temperature less than 551°F. This limitation is required to ensure: (1) the moderator temperature coefficient is within its analyzed temperature range, (2) the trip instrumentation is within its normal operating range, (3) the pressurizer is capable of being in an OPERABLE status with a steam bubble, and (4) the reactor vessel is above its minimum RT_{NDT} temperature.

3/4.1.2 BORATION SYSTEMS

The Boron Injection System ensures that negative reactivity control is available during each mode of facility operation. The components required to perform this function include: (1) borated water sources, (2) charging pumps, (3) separate flow paths, (4) boric acid transfer pumps, and (5) an emergency power supply from OPERABLE diesel generators.

With the RCS average temperature above 200°F, a minimum of two boron injection flow paths are required to ensure single functional capability in the event an assumed failure renders one of the flow paths inoperable. The boration capability of either flow path is sufficient to provide a SHUTDOWN MARGIN from expected operating conditions of 1.6% $\Delta k/k$ for Unit 1 (1.3% $\Delta k/k$ for Unit 2) after xenon decay and cooldown to 200°F. The maximum expected boration capability requirement occurs at EOL from full power equilibrium xenon conditions and requires 15,700 gallons of 7000 ppm borated water from the boric acid storage tanks or 70,702 gallons of 2400 ppm borated water from the refueling water storage tank (RWST).

BORATION SYSTEMS (Continued)

With the RCS temperature below 200°F, one Boron Injection System is acceptable without single failure consideration on the basis of the stable reactivity condition of the reactor and the additional restrictions prohibiting CORE ALTERATIONS and positive reactivity changes in the event the single Boron Injection System becomes inoperable.

The limitation for a maximum of two charging pumps to be OPERABLE and the requirement to verify one charging pump to be inoperable below 350°F provides assurance that a mass addition pressure transient can be relieved by the operation of a single PORV.

The limitation for minimum solution temperature of the borated water sources are sufficient to prevent boric acid crystallization with the highest allowable boron concentration.

The boron capability required below 200°F is sufficient to provide a SHUTDOWN MARGIN of 1.3% $\Delta k/k$ after xenon decay and cooldown from 200°F to 140°F. This condition requires either 1,100 gallons of 7000 ppm borated water from the boric acid storage tanks or 7,113 gallons of 2400 ppm borated water from the RWST.

As listed below, the required indicated levels for the boric acid storage tanks and the RWST include allowances for required/analytical volume, unusable volume, measurement uncertainties (which include instrument error and tank tolerances, as applicable), margin, and other required volume.

Tank	MODES	Ind. Level	Unusable Volume (gal)	Required Volume (gal)	Measurement Uncertainty	Margin (gal)	Other (gal)
RWST	5,6	24%	98,900	7,113	4% of span	10,293	N/A
	1,2,3,4	95%	45,494	70,702	4% of span	N/A	357,535*
Boric Acid Storage Tank	5,6	10%	3,221	1,100	6% of span	N/A	N/A
	5,6 (gravity feed)	20%	3,221	1,100	6% of span	3,679	N/A
	1,2,3,4	50%	3,221	15,700	6% of span	N/A	N/A

The OPERABILITY of one Boron Injection System during REFUELING ensures that this system is available for reactivity control while in MODE 6.

*Additional volume required to meet Specification 3.5.4.

3/4.1.3 MOVABLE CONTROL ASSEMBLIES

The specifications of this section ensure that: (1) acceptable power distribution limits are maintained, (2) the minimum SHUTDOWN MARGIN is maintained, and (3) the potential effects of rod misalignment on associated accident analyses are limited. OPERABILITY of the control rod position indicators is required to determine control rod positions and thereby ensure compliance with the control rod alignment and insertion limits. Verification that the Digital Rod Position Indicator agrees with the demanded position within ± 12 steps at 24, 48, 120, and 228 steps withdrawn for the Control Banks and 18, 210, and 228 steps withdrawn for the Shutdown Banks provides assurances that the Digital Rod Position Indicator is operating correctly over the full range of indication. Since the Digital Rod Position Indication System does not indicate the actual shutdown rod position between 18 steps and 210 steps, only points in the indicated ranges are picked for verification of agreement with demanded position.

The ACTION statements which permit limited variations from the basic requirements are accompanied by additional restrictions which ensure that the original design criteria are met. Misalignment of a rod requires measurement of peaking factors and a restriction in THERMAL POWER. These restrictions provide assurance of fuel rod integrity during continued operation. In addition, those safety analyses affected by a misaligned rod are reevaluated to confirm that the results remain valid during future operation.

For Specification 3.1.3.1 ACTIONS b and c it is incumbent upon the plant to verify the trippability of the inoperable control rod(s). This may be by verification of a control system failure, usually electrical in nature, or that the failure is associated with the control rod stepping mechanism. In the event the plant is unable to verify the rod(s) trippability, it must be assumed to be untrippable and thus fall under the requirements of ACTION a. Assuming a controlled shutdown from 100% RATED THERMAL POWER, this allows approximately four hours for this verification.

The maximum rod drop time restriction is consistent with the assumed rod drop time used in the safety analyses. Measurement with T_{avg} greater than or equal to 551°F and with all reactor coolant pumps operating ensures that the measured drop times will be representative of insertion times experienced during a Reactor trip at operating conditions.

Control rod positions and OPERABILITY of the rod position indicators are required to be verified on a nominal basis of once per 12 hours with more frequent verifications required if an automatic monitoring channel is inoperable. These verification frequencies are adequate for assuring that the applicable LCOs are satisfied.

3/4.5.1 ACCUMULATORS

The OPERABILITY of each Reactor Coolant System (RCS) accumulator ensures that a sufficient volume of borated water will be immediately forced into the reactor core through each of the cold legs in the event the RCS pressure falls below the pressure of the accumulators. This initial surge of water into the core provides the initial cooling mechanism during large RCS pipe ruptures.

The limits on accumulator volume, boron concentration and pressure ensure that the assumptions used for accumulator injection in the safety analysis are met. The required indicated accumulator volumes and pressures include a 5 percent measurement uncertainty. The indicated accumulator volumes of 39% and 61% are based on the analytical limits of 6119 gallons and 6597 gallons, respectively, plus a 1% tank tolerance.

The accumulator power operated isolation valves are considered to be "operating bypasses" in the context of IEEE Std. 279-1971, which requires that bypasses of a protective function be removed automatically whenever permissive conditions are not met. In addition, as these accumulator isolation valves fail to meet single failure criteria, removal of power to the valves is required by BTP ICSB 18. This is accomplished via key-lock control board cut-off switches.

The limits for operation with an accumulator inoperable for any reason except an isolation valve closed minimizes the time exposure of the plant to a LOCA event occurring concurrent with failure of an additional accumulator which may result in unacceptable peak cladding temperatures. If a closed isolation valve cannot be immediately opened, the full capability of one accumulator is not available and prompt action is required to place the reactor in a mode where this capability is not required.

3/4.5.2 and 3/4.5.3 ECCS SUBSYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of two independent ECCS subsystems ensures that sufficient emergency core cooling capability will be available in the event of a LOCA assuming the loss of one subsystem through any single failure consideration. Either subsystem operating in conjunction with the accumulators is capable of supplying sufficient core cooling to limit the peak cladding temperatures within acceptable limits for all postulated break sizes ranging from the double ended break of the largest RCS cold leg pipe downward. In addition, each ECCS subsystem provides long-term core cooling capability in the recirculation mode during the accident recovery period.

With the RCS temperature below 350°F, one OPERABLE ECCS subsystem is acceptable without single failure consideration on the basis of the stable reactivity condition of the reactor and the limited core cooling requirements.

The limitation for a maximum of two charging pumps to be OPERABLE and the requirement to verify one charging pump and all safety injection pumps

ECCS SUBSYSTEMS (Continued)

to be inoperable below 350°F provides assurance that a mass addition pressure transient can be relieved by the operation of a single PORV.

The requirement to remove power from certain valve operators is in accordance with Branch Technical Position ICSB-18 for valves that fail to meet single failure considerations. Power is removed via key-lock switches on the control board.

The Surveillance Requirements provided to ensure OPERABILITY of each component ensures that at a minimum, the assumptions used in the safety analyses are met and that subsystem OPERABILITY is maintained. Surveillance Requirements for throttle valve position stops and flow balance testing provide assurance that proper ECCS flows will be maintained in the event of a LOCA. Maintenance of proper flow resistance and pressure drop in the piping system to each injection point is necessary to: (1) prevent total pump flow from exceeding runout conditions when the system is in its minimum resistance configuration, (2) provide the proper flow split between injection points in accordance with the assumptions used in the ECCS-LOCA analyses, and (3) provide an acceptable level of total ECCS flow to all injection points equal to or above that assumed in the ECCS-LOCA analyses.

3/4.5.4 REFUELING WATER STORAGE TANK

The OPERABILITY of the refueling water storage tank (RWST) as part of the ECCS ensures that a sufficient supply of borated water is available for injection by the ECCS in the event of a LOCA. The limits on RWST minimum volume and boron concentration ensure that: (1) sufficient water is available within containment to permit recirculation cooling flow to the core, (2) for small break LOCA and steam line breaks, the reactor will remain subcritical in the cold condition following mixing of the RWST and the RCS water volumes with all control rods inserted except for the most reactive control assembly, (3) for large break LOCAs, the reactor will remain subcritical in the cold condition following mixing of the RWST and the RCS water volumes with all shutdown and control rods fully withdrawn, and (4) sufficient time is available for the operator to take manual action and complete switchover of ECCS and containment spray suction to the containment sump without emptying the RWST or losing suction.

The required indicated level includes a 4-percent measurement uncertainty, an unusable volume of 45,494 gallons and a required water volume of 428,237 gallons.

The limits on indicated water volume and boron concentration of the RWST also ensure a long-term pH value of between 8.25 and 10.5 for the solution recirculated within containment after a LOCA. This pH band minimizes the evolution of iodine and minimizes the effect of chloride and caustic stress corrosion on mechanical systems and components.

BASES

3/4.9.1 BORON CONCENTRATION

The limitations on reactivity conditions during REFUELING ensure that: (1) the reactor will remain subcritical during CORE ALTERATIONS, and (2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained for reactivity control in the water volume having direct access to the reactor vessel. These limitations are consistent with the initial conditions assumed for the boron dilution incident in the safety analyses. The value of 0.95 or less for K_{eff} includes a 1% $\Delta k/k$ conservative allowance for uncertainties. Similarly, the boron concentration value of 2400 ppm or greater includes a conservative uncertainty allowance of 50 ppm boron. The locking closed of the required valves during refueling operations precludes the possibility of uncontrolled boron dilution of the filled portion of the RCS. This action prevents flow to the RCS of unborated water by closing flow paths from sources of unborated water.

3/4.9.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the source range neutron flux monitors ensures that redundant monitoring capability is available to detect changes in the reactivity condition of the core.

3/4.9.3 DECAY TIME

The minimum requirement for reactor subcriticality prior to movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of the short-lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses.

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS

The requirements on containment building penetration closure and OPERABILITY ensure that a release of radioactive material within containment will be restricted from leakage to the environment. The OPERABILITY and closure restrictions are sufficient to restrict radioactive material release from a fuel element rupture based upon the lack of containment pressurization potential while in the REFUELING MODE.

3/4.9.5 COMMUNICATIONS

The requirement for communications capability ensures that refueling station personnel can be promptly informed of significant changes in the facility status or core reactivity conditions during CORE ALTERATIONS.

3/4.9.6 REFUELING MACHINE

The OPERABILITY requirements for the refueling machine main hoist and auxiliary monorail hoist ensure that: (1) the main hoist will be used for movement of fuel assemblies, (2) the auxiliary monorail hoist will be used for latching, unlatching and movement of control rod drive shafts, (3) the main hoist has sufficient load capacity to lift a fuel assembly (with control rods), (4) the auxiliary monorail hoist has sufficient load capacity to latch, unlatch and move the control rod drive shafts, and (5) the core internals and reactor vessel are protected from excessive lifting force in the event they are inadvertently engaged during lifting operations.

3/4.9.7 CRANE TRAVEL - SPENT FUEL STORAGE AREAS

The restriction on movement of loads in excess of the nominal weight of a fuel and control rod assembly and associated handling tool over other fuel assemblies in a storage pool ensures that in the event this load is dropped: (1) the activity release will be limited to that contained in a single fuel assembly, and (2) any possible distortion of fuel in the storage racks will not result in a critical array. This assumption is consistent with the activity release assumed in the safety analyses.

3/4.9.8 RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL AND COOLANT CIRCULATION

The requirement that at least one residual heat removal (RHR) loop be in operation ensures that: (1) sufficient cooling capacity is available to remove decay heat and maintain the water in the reactor vessel below 140°F as required during the REFUELING MODE, and (2) sufficient coolant circulation is maintained through the core to minimize the effect of a boron dilution incident and prevent boron stratification.

The requirement to have two RHR loops OPERABLE when there is less than 23 feet of water above the reactor vessel flange ensures that a single failure of the operating RHR loop will not result in a complete loss of residual heat removal capability. With the reactor vessel head removed and at least 23 feet of water above the reactor pressure vessel flange, a large heat sink is available for core cooling. Thus, in the event of a failure of the operating RHR loop, adequate time is provided to initiate emergency procedures to cool the core.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 26 AND 12 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated February 14, 1994, Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric/the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (Appendix A to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89) for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Units 1 and 2. The proposed changes would revise the Technical Specifications (TS) by increasing the Unit 2 boron concentration for the refueling water storage tank (RWST) and the emergency core cooling system (ECCS); and make an administrative change for both units to delete a requirement concerning refueling canal boron concentration that is no longer applicable. The increase in RWST and ECCS boron concentration is necessary to accommodate shutdown margin and safety analysis requirements associated with an extension of the reload cycle to 18 months. The April 29, 1994, supplement provided additional editorial changes and did not change the initial no significant hazards consideration.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The proposed changes to boron concentration for the RWST and ECCS have been previously evaluated for CPSES Units 1 and 2 in a safety evaluation dated October 5, 1993. The license amendments supported by that safety evaluation made identical changes to the Unit 1 boron concentration limits for the Unit 1 RWST and ECCS. The safety evaluation was applicable to both units. In that safety evaluation, the staff determined that the proposed changes were acceptable.

In addition, the licensee has requested an administrative change to delete a footnote requirement concerning refueling canal boron concentration for CPSES Units 1 and 2. This footnote is only applicable during the initial fuel load for each unit. As both units have completed the initial fuel load, this footnote is no longer applicable.

9408080090 940802
PDR ADOCK 05000445
P PDR

3.0 EVALUATION

As determined by the staff's safety evaluation dated October 5, 1993, supporting Amendment Nos. 19 and 5, the proposed boron concentration for the Unit 2 RWST and ECCS are acceptable. The staff reviewed the effects of the proposed increased boron concentration limits on the non-LOCA and LOCA safety analyses and concluded that all pertinent safety criteria were satisfactorily met. The proposed technical specification changes (1) do not significantly change the corrosive nature of fluids in the RWST, ECCS Accumulators or RCS, (2) will not change the results of the licensee's calculations of the offsite iodine doses which are determined to be released following a design basis accident, and (3) will not significantly increase the amount of primary water stress corrosion cracking in the reactor vessel internals or steam generator components fabricated from Inconel 600. Thus, the licensee's request to increase the boron concentration range in the RWST to 2400-2600 ppm and to 2300-2600 ppm in the accumulators is acceptable. Prior to operation with a revised core configuration, the effects on the accident analyses of the higher boron concentrations in the RWST, ECCS accumulators, and RCS will be explicitly evaluated by the licensee during the reload safety evaluation process. The administrative change concerning boron concentration in the refueling canal for both units is acceptable as the conditions for the requirement are no longer applicable.

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Texas State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and change surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (59 FR 22015). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: T. Bergman

Date: August 2, 1994