Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446 DISTRIBUTION:

Docket

OGC DHagan

NRC PDR Local PDR PDIV-2 Reading

GHill (4) CGrimes

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr. Group Vice President, Nuclear JRoe EAdensam OC/LFDCB ACRS (10)

TU Electric

LYandell, RIV

OPA

400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81

TBergman (2)

JCunningham

Dallas, Texas 75201

EPeyton

Dear Mr. Cahill:

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - AMENDMENT SUBJECT:

NOS. 25 AND 11 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89

(TAC NOS. M88950 AND M88951)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 25 and 11 to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89 for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated February 14, 1994.

The amendments revise the TS by replacing the requirements for reporting radiological effluents from semiannual to annual, and the report due dates from 60 days after January 1 and July 1 to prior to May 1. The changes are consistent with the requirements for reporting radioactive effluent releases specified in 10 CFR 50.36a.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

Thomas A. Bergman, Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 25 to NPF-87

2. Amendment No. 11 to NPF-89

Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:

See next page

OFFICE	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/INT.	PDIV-2/PM	OGC	PDI V-2 //D
NAME	EPeyton	ABryant	The fighten ye	Cmarco,	SB1 ack
DATE	5/11 /94	5/ /3 /94	5/16/94	5/18/94	5/ 31 /94

June 1, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446 DISTRIBUTION:

Docket OGC NRC PDR DHagan Local PDR GHill (4)

PDIV-2 Reading

CGrimes OC/LFDCB

Mr. William J. Cahill, Jr. Group Vice President, Nuclear TU Electric 400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81 Dallas, Texas 75201

EAdensam LYandell, RIV ACRS (10)

OPA

JCunningham TBergman (2)

EPevton

JRoe

Dear Mr. Cahill:

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - AMENDMENT SUBJECT:

NOS. 25 AND 11 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89

(TAC NOS. M88950 AND M88951)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 25 and 11 to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89 for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated February 14, 1994.

The amendments revise the TS by replacing the requirements for reporting radiological effluents from semiannual to annual, and the report due dates from 60 days after January 1 and July 1 to prior to May 1. The changes are consistent with the requirements for reporting radioactive effluent releases specified in 10 CFR 50.36a.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

> Sincerely, Original Signed By Thomas A. Bergman, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 25 to NPF-87

2. Amendment No. 11 to NPF-89

3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

OFFICE	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/INT.	PDIV-2/PM	OGC	PDIV-3/D
NAME	EPeyton	ABryant	TBe formen ye	Cmarco,	SB1 ack
DATE	5/11 /94	5/ <i>13</i> /94	5/16/94	5/18/94 (5/ 31 /94

cc w/enclosures: Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 1029 Granbury, Texas 76048

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Mrs. Juanita Ellis, President Citizens Association for Sound Energy 1426 South Polk Dallas, Texas 75224

Mr. Roger D. Walker, Manager Regulatory Affairs for Nuclear Engineering Organization Texas Utilities Electric Company 400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81 Dallas, Texas 75201

Texas Utilities Electric Company c/o Bethesda Licensing 3 Metro Center, Suite 610 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

William A. Burchette, Esq.
Counsel for Tex-La Electric
Cooperative of Texas
Jorden, Schulte, & Burchette
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

GDS Associates, Inc. Suite 720 1850 Parkway Place Marietta, Georgia 30067-8237

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Newman & Holtzinger 1615 L Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 Chief, Texas Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78756

Honorable Dale McPherson County Judge P. O. Box 851 Glen Rose, Texas 76043



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-445

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 25 License No. NPF-87

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee) dated February 14, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-87 is hereby amended to read as follows:

2. Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 25, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Suzanne C. Black, Director Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: June 1, 1994



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-446

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 11 License No. NPF-89

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric, the licensee) dated February 14, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-89 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 11, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated into this license. TU Electric shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Suzanne C. Black, Director Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: June 1, 1994

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 25 AND 11

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE	<u>INSERT</u>	
xvi 1-4	xvi 1-4	
3/4 11-1	3/4 11-1	
3/4 11-3 6-19	3/4 11-3 6-19	
6-25	6-25	

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS	
SECTION	PAGE
6.1 RESPONSIBILITY	6-1
6.2 ORGANIZATION	
6.2.1 ONSITE AND OFFSITE ORGANIZATION	6-1
6.2.2 UNIT STAFF	
TABLE 6.2-1 MINIMUM SHIFT CREW COMPOSITION TWO UNITS WITH A COMMON CONTROL ROOM	•
6.2.3 INDEPENDENT SAFETY ENGINEERING GROUP (ISEG)	
Function	6-4
Composition	6-4
Responsibilities	6-4
Records	6-4
6.2.4 SHIFT TECHNICAL ADVISOR	6-4
6.3 UNIT STAFF QUALIFICATIONS	
6.4 TRAINING	
6.5 REVIEW AND AUDIT	6-5
6.5.1 STATION OPERATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE (SORC)	
Function	6-5
Composition	
Alternates	6-5
Meeting Frequency	
Quorum	6-6
Responsibilities	6-6
Records	6-8
6.5.2 OPERATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE (ORC)	
Function	
Composition	
Alternates	
Consultants	
Meeting Frequency	
Quorum	
Review	6-9
Audits	
Records	6-1

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS	
SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
6.5.3 TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROLS	6-11
6.6 REPORTABLE EVENT ACTION	6-13
6.7 SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION	6-13
6.8 PROCEDURES AND PROGRAMS	6-13
6.9 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	6-17
6.9.1 ROUTINE REPORTS	6-17
Startup Report	6-17
Annual Reports	6-18
Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report	6-19
Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report	6-19
Monthly Operating Reports	6-19
Core Operating Limits Report	6-20
6.9.2 SPECIAL REPORTS	6-22
6.10 RECORD RETENTION	6-22
6.11 RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM	6-23
6.12 HIGH RADIATION AREA	6-23
6.13 PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM (PCP)	6-25
6.14 OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL (ODCM)	6-25

DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131

1.12 DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 shall be that concentration of I-131 (microCurie/gram) which alone would produce the same thyroid dose as the quantity and isotopic mixture of I-131, I-132, I-133, I-134, and I-135 actually present. The thyroid dose conversion factors used for this calculation shall be those listed in Table III of TID-14844, "Calculation of Distance Factors for Power and Test Reactor Sites" or Table E-7 of NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109, Revision 1, October 1977.

E - AVERAGE DISINTEGRATION ENERGY

1.13 E shall be the average (weighted in proportion to the concentration of each radionuclide in the sample) of the sum of the average beta and gamma energies per disintegration (MeV/d) for the radionuclides with a halflife greater than ten (10) minutes in the sample.

ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES RESPONSE TIME

1.14 The ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES (ESF) RESPONSE TIME shall be that time interval from when the monitored parameter exceeds its ESF Actuation Setpoint at the channel sensor until the ESF equipment is capable of performing its safety function (i.e., the valves travel to their required positions, pump discharge pressures reach their required values, etc.). Times shall include diesel generator starting and sequence loading delays where applicable.

FREQUENCY NOTATION

1.15 The FREQUENCY NOTATION specified for the performance of Surveillance Requirements shall correspond to the intervals defined in Table 1.1.

IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE

1.16 IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE shall be:

- Leakage (except CONTROLLED LEAKAGE) into closed systems, such as pump seal or valve packing leaks that are captured and conducted to a sump or collecting tank, or
- b. Leakage into the containment atmosphere from sources that are both specifically located and known either not to interfere with the operation of Leakage Detection Systems or not to be PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE, or
- c. Reactor Coolant System leakage through a steam generator to the Secondary Coolant System.

MASTER RELAY TEST

1.17 A MASTER RELAY TEST shall be the energization of each master relay and verification of OPERABILITY of each relay. The MASTER RELAY TEST shall include a continuity check of each associated slave relay.

MEMBER(S) OF THE PUBLIC

1.18 MEMBER(S) OF THE PUBLIC shall include all persons who are not occupationally associated with the plant. This category does not include employees of the licensee, its contractors, or vendors. Also excluded from this category are persons who enter the site to service equipment or to make deliveries. This category does include persons who use portions of the site for recreational, occupational, or other purposes not associated with the plant.

OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL

1.19 The OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL (ODCM) shall contain the methodology and parameters used in the calculation of offsite doses resulting from radioactive gaseous and liquid effluents, in the calculation of gaseous and liquid effluent monitoring Alarm/Trip Setpoints, and in the conduct of the Environmental Radiological Monitoring Program. The ODCM shall also contain (1) the Radioactive Effluent Controls and Radiological Environmental Monitoring Programs required by Section 6.8.3 and (2) descriptions of the information that should be included in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating and Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Reports required by Specifications 6.9.1.3 and 6.9.1.4.

OPERABLE - OPERABILITY

1.20 A system, subsystem, train, component or device shall be OPERABLE or have OPERABILITY when it is capable of performing its specified function(s), and when all necessary attendant instrumentation, controls, electrical power, cooling or seal water, lubrication or other auxiliary equipment that are required for the system, subsystem, train, component, or device to perform its function(s) are also capable of performing their related support function(s).

OPERATIONAL MODE - MODE

1.21 An OPERATIONAL MODE (i.e., MODE) shall correspond to any one inclusive combination of core reactivity condition, power level, and average reactor coolant temperature specified in Table 1.2.

PHYSICS TESTS

1.22 PHYSICS TESTS shall be those tests performed to measure the fundamental nuclear characteristics of the reactor core and related instrumentation: (1) described in Chapter 14.0 of the FSAR, (2) authorized under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59, or (3) otherwise approved by the Commission.

PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE

1.23 PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE shall be leakage (except steam generator tube leakage) through a nonisolable fault in a Reactor Coolant System component body, pipe wall, or vessel wall.

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

3/4.11.1 LIQUID EFFLUENTS

LIQUID HOLDUP TANKS*

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.1 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each unprotected outdoor tank shall be limited to less than or equal to 10 Curies, excluding tritium and dissolved or entrained noble gases.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the quantity of radioactive material in any unprotected outdoor tank exceeding the above limit, immediately suspend all additions of radioactive material to the tank, within 48 hours reduce the tank contents to within the limit, and describe the events leading to this condition in the next Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report, pursuant to Specification 6.9.1.4.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.1 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each of the unprotected outdoor tanks shall be determined to be within the above limit by analyzing a representative sample of the tank's contents at least once per 7 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank.

^{*}Tanks included in this specification are those unprotected outdoor tanks that are not surrounded by liners, dikes, or walls capable of holding the tank contents and that do not have tank overflows and surrounding area drains connected to the Liquid Radwaste Treatment System.

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

3/4.11.2 GASEOUS EFFLUENTS

EXPLOSIVE GAS MIXTURE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.1 The concentration of oxygen in the WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM shall be limited to less than or equal to 3% by volume whenever the hydrogen concentration exceeds 4% by volume.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION: (Units 1 and 2)

- a. With the concentration of oxygen in the WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM greater than 3% by volume but less than or equal to 4% by volume, reduce the oxygen concentration to the above limits within 48 hours.
- b. With the concentration of oxygen in the WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM greater than 4% by volume and the hydrogen concentration greater than 4% by volume, immediately suspend all additions of waste gases to the system and reduce the concentration of oxygen to less than or equal to 4% by volume, then take ACTION a., above.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.1 The concentrations of hydrogen and oxygen in the WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM shall be determined to be within the above limits by continuously monitoring the waste gases in the WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM with the hydrogen and oxygen monitors required OPERABLE by Table 3.3-7 of Specification 3.3.3.4, or by the associated ACTION statements.

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

GAS STORAGE TANKS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.2 The quantity of radioactivity contained in each gas storage tank shall be limited to less than or equal to 200,000 Curies of noble gases (considered as Xe-133 equivalent).

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION: (Units 1 and 2)

- With the quantity of radioactive material in any gas storage tank a. exceeding the above limit, immediately suspend all additions of radioactive material to the tank, within 48 hours reduce the tank contents to within the limit, and describe the events leading to this condition in the next Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report, pursuant to Specification 6.9.1.4.
- The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 are not applicable. b.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.2 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each gas storage tank shall be determined to be within the above limit at least once per 92 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank.

ANNUAL REPORTS (Continued)

The results of specific activity analyses in which the primary b. coolant exceeded the limits of Specification 3.4.7. The following information shall be included: (1) Reactor power history starting 48 hours prior to the first sample in which the limit was exceeded (in graphic and tabular format); (2) Results of the last isotopic analysis for radioiodine performed prior to exceeding the limit, results of analysis while limit was exceeded and results of one analysis after the radioiodine activity was reduced to less than limit. Each result should include date and time of sampling and the radioiodine concentrations: (3) Clean-up flow history starting 48 hours prior to the first sample in which the limit was exceeded; (4) Graph of the I-131 concentration (μ Ci/gm) and one other radioidine isotope concentration (μ Ci/gm) as a function of time for the duration of the specific activity above the steady-state level: and (5) The time duration when the specific activity of the primary coolant exceeded the radioiodine limit.

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT*

6.9.1.3 The Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report covering the operation of the unit during the previous calendar year shall be submitted before May 1 of each year. The report shall include summaries, interpretations, and analysis of trends of the results of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program for the reporting period. The material provided shall be consistent with the objectives outlined in (1) the ODCM, and (2) Sections IV.B.2, IV.B.3, and IV.C of Appendix I to 10 CFR 50.

ANNUAL RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENT RELEASE REPORT**

6.9.1.4 The Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report covering the operation of the unit during the previous year shall be submitted prior to May 1 of each year. The report shall include a summary of the quantities of radioactive liquid and gaseous effluents and solid waste released from the unit. The material provided shall be (1) consistent with the objectives outlined in the ODCM and PCP and (2) in conformance with 10 CFR 50.36a and Section IV.B.1 of Appendix I to 10 CFR 50.

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORTS

6.9.1.5 Routine reports of operating statistics and shutdown experience, including documentation of all challenges to the PORVs or safety valves,

^{*}A single submittal may be made for a multiple unit station.

^{**}A single submittal may be made for a multi-unit station. The submittal should combine those sections that are common to all units at the station; however, for units with separate radwaste systems, the submittal shall specify the releases of radioactive material from each unit.

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORTS (Continued)

shall be submitted on a monthly basis to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator of the Regional Office of the NRC, no later than the 15th of each month following the calendar month covered by the report.

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

- 6.9.1.6a Core operating limits shall be established and documented in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) before each reload cycle or any remaining part of a reload cycle for the following:
 - 1). Moderator temperature coefficient BOL and EOL limits and 300 ppm surveillance limit for Specification 3/4.1.1.3,
 - 2). Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit for Specification 3/4.1.3.5,
 - 3). Control Rod Insertion Limits for Specification 3/4.1.3.6,
 - 4). AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE Limits and target band for Specification 3/4.2.1.,
 - 5). Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, K(Z), W(Z), and F_Q^{RTP} for Specification 3/4.2.2,
 - 6). Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor Limit and the Power Factor Multiplier for Specification 3/4.2.3.
- 6.9.1.6b The following analytical methods used to determine the core operating limits are for Units 1 and 2, unless otherwise stated, and shall be those previously approved by the NRC in:
 - 1). WCAP-9272-P-A, "WESTINGHOUSE RELOAD SAFETY EVALUATION METHODOLOGY,"
 July 1985 (W Proprietary). (Methodology for Specifications 3.1.1.3 Moderator Temperature Coefficient, 3.1.3.5 Shutdown Bank Insertion
 Limit, 3.1.3.6 Control Bank Insertion Limits, 3.2.1 Axial Flux
 Difference, 3.2.2 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, and 3.2.3 Nuclear
 Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor.)
 - 2). WCAP-8385, "POWER DISTRIBUTION CONTROL AND LOAD FOLLOWING PROCEDURES TOPICAL REPORT," September 1974 (W Proprietary). (Methodology for Specification 3.2.1 Axial Flux Difference [Constant Axial Offset Control].)
 - 3). T. M. Anderson to K. Kniel (Chief of Core Performance Branch, NRC January 31, 1980--Attachment: Operation and Safety Analysis Aspects of an Improved Load Follow Package. (Methodology for Specification 3.2.1 Axial Flux Difference [Constant Axial Offset Control].)
 - 4). NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Section 4.3, Nuclear Design, July 1981. Branch Technical Position CPB 4,3-1, Westinghouse Constant Axial Offset Control (CAOC), Rev. 2, July 1981. (Methodology for Specification 3.2.1 Axial Flux Difference [Constant Axial Offset Control].)

6.13 PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM (PCP)

Changes to the PCP:

- a. Shall be documented and records of reviews performed shall be retained as required by Specification 6.10.30. This documentation shall contain:
 - 1) Sufficient information to support the change together with the appropriate analyses or evaluations justifying the change(s) and
 - 2) A determination that the change will maintain the overall conformance of the solidified waste product to existing requirements of Federal, State, or other applicable regulations.
- b. Shall become effective after review and acceptance by the SORC and the approval of the Vice President, Nuclear Operations.

6.14 OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL (ODCM)

Changes to the ODCM:

- a. Shall be documented and records of reviews performed shall be retained as required by Specification 6.10.30. This documentation shall contain:
 - Sufficient information to support the change together with the appropriate analyses or evaluations justifying the change(s) and
 - 2) A determination that the change will maintain the level of radioactive effluent control required by 10 CFR 20.106, 40 CFR 190, 10 CFR 50.36a, and Appendix I to 10 CFR 50 and not adversely impact the accuracy or reliability of effluent, dose, or setpoint calculations.
- b. Shall become effective after review and acceptance by the SORC and the approval of the Vice President, Nuclear Operations.
- c. Shall be submitted to the Commission in the form of a complete, legible copy of the entire ODCM as a part of or concurrent with the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report for the period of the report in which any change to the ODCM was made. Each change shall be identified by markings in the margin of the affected pages, clearly indicating the area of the page that was changed, and shall indicate the date (e.g., month/year) the change was implemented.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 25 AND 11 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-87 AND NPF-89

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated February 14, 1994, Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric/the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (Appendix A to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-87 and NPF-89) for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2 (CPSES). The proposed changes would revise the reporting period and submittal date for the radioactive effluent release report.

Regulations concerning technical specifications on effluents from nuclear power reactors are found in 10 CFR 50.36a. For CPSES, technical specifications (TS) require submission of semiannual CPSES Radioactive Effluent Release Reports 60 days after January 1 and July 1. The licensee has requested that the CPSES technical specifications be revised to change the frequency of reports for radiological effluents from semiannual to annual and the due dates from within 60 days after January 1 and July 1 to prior to May 1.

2.0 EVALUATION

The proposed request would not change the method or the time interval between measuring radioactive effluents or the methodology of calculating offsite doses to the public. The proposed TS changes are consistent with 10 CFR 50.36a, which allows annual reporting of radioactive effluent releases. The proposed changes are administrative and editorial only, and have no safety consequences. Therefore, the staff concludes that the proposed changes are acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Texas State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: A. Bryant, NRR

Date: June 1, 1994