June 27, 2002

Mr. David A. Christian Senior Vice President - Nuclear Virginia Electric and Power Company Innsbrook Technical Center 5000 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

SUBJECT: NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES QUENCH SPRAY AND RECIRCULATION SPRAY NOZZLES SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY (TAC NOS. MB4270 AND MB4271)

Dear Mr. Christian:

The staff has reviewed your proposed license amendment submittal dated February 26, 2002, which requested changes to the surveillance frequency for the quench spray and recirculation spray nozzles at North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2. Your response to the enclosed request for additional information (RAI) is required in order for the staff to complete their review.

The staff requests a response to the RAI within 20 days of the date of this letter to support the completion of the staff's review by August 2002.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stephen R. Monarque, Project Manager Project Directorate II-1 Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-338 and 50-339

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. David A. Christian
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
Virginia Electric and Power Company
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

SUBJECT: NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES QUENCH SPRAY AND RECIRCULATION SPRAY NOZZLES SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY (TAC NOS. MB4270 AND MB4271)

### Dear Mr. Christian:

The staff has reviewed your proposed license amendment submittal dated February 26, 2002, which requested changes to the surveillance frequency for the quench spray and recirculation spray nozzles at North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2. Your response to the enclosed request for additional information (RAI) is required in order for the staff to complete their review.

The staff requests a response to the RAI within 20 days of the date of this letter to support the completion of the staff's review by August 2002.

Sincerely,

#### /RA/

Stephen R. Monarque, Project Manager Project Directorate II-1 Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-338 and 50-339

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

**Distribution**:

BLeFaveRidsNrrPMSMonarquePUBLICRidsNrrDlpmLpdiiRidsNrrLAEDunningtonPDII-1 R/FRidsOgcRpRidsAcrsAcnwMailCenterG. Hill (2)RidsRgn2MailCenterRLobelSWeerakkody

Accession Number: ML021790077

OFFICE	PDII-1/PM	PDII-2/LA	SPLB/SC	PDII-1/SC
NAME	SMonarque	CHawes for EDunnington	RLobel for SWeerakkody	RMartin for JNakoski
DATE	06/27/02	06/26/02	06/26/02	06/27/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mr. David A. Christian Virginia Electric and Power Company

CC:

Mr. C. Lee Lintecum County Administrator Louisa County P.O. Box 160 Louisa, Virginia 23093

Ms. Lillian M. Cuoco, Esq.
Senior Nuclear Counsel
Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.
Millstone Power Station
Building 475, 5th Floor
Rope Ferry Road
Rt. 156
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Dr. W. T. Lough Virginia State Corporation Commission Division of Energy Regulation P.O. Box 1197 Richmond, Virginia 23209

Old Dominion Electric Cooperative 4201 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Mr. Stephen P. Sarver, Director Nuclear Licensing & Operations Support Virginia Electric and Power Company Innsbrook Technical Center 5000 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, Virginia 23060-6711

Office of the Attorney General Commonwealth of Virginia 900 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

Senior Resident Inspector North Anna Power Station U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1024 Haley Drive Mineral, Virginia 23117 North Anna Power Station Units 1 and 2

Mr. David A. Heacock Site Vice President North Anna Power Station P.O. Box 402 Mineral, Virginia 23117-0402

Mr. Richard H. Blount, II Site Vice President Surry Power Station Virginia Electric and Power Company 5570 Hog Island Road Surry, Virginia 23883-0315

Robert B. Strobe, M.D., M.P.H. State Health Commissioner Office of the Commissioner Virginia Department of Health P. O. Box 2448 Richmond, Virginia 23218

Mr. William R. Matthews Vice President - Nuclear Operations Virginia Electric and Power Company Innsbrook Technical Center 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060-6711

# REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

## NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

## PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES

## QUENCH SPRAY AND RECIRCULATION SPRAY NOZZLES

## DOCKET NOS. 50-338 AND 50-339

Virginia Electric and Power Company is requested to address the following questions below.

- 1. Experience at D. C. Cook, Units 1 and 2 (Licensee Event Report (LER) 98-027-02) indicates that boric acid plate-out with the potential to block flow through the containment spray headers and nozzles can occur following an inadvertent spray actuation.
  - (a) Please indicate if there ever has been an inadvertent actuation of the containment spray at North Anna Power Station.
  - (b) If an inadvertent actuation of containment spray had occurred, describe the type of inspection that was done of the spray system, including piping and nozzles, and what other steps were taken to ensure that no boric acid plate-out occurred.
  - (c) If an inadvertent actuation of containment spray had not occurred, describe what type of inspection would be conducted following an inadvertent spray actuation including why this method is sufficient to detect blockage due to boric acid plate-out.
- 2. Experience at D. C. Cook, Units 1 and 2 (LER 98-027-02) indicates that the typical test for blockage in the containment spray lines and nozzles may not be effective in detecting debris in the spray lines at the amounts reported in this LER.
  - Please indicate if your testing records show any evidence that the containment spray flow blockage test may have a sensitivity to debris in the lines or nozzles, which cannot be detected by this test. For example, has construction debris or other debris been found in the containment spray system from later inspections, tests or repair work that was not discovered by the containment spray system blockage test required by your technical specifications?
- 3. Describe any previous maintenance activities on the containment spray system that had the potential to introduce debris. What assurance is there that no such debris presently exists (including debris from construction)?
- 4. Describe how the plant's foreign material exclusion program would prevent debris from remaining in the containment spray system piping, headers and nozzles following maintenance, testing or inspections which result in opening the system.

Enclosure

Demonstrate why your foreign material exclusion program is sufficient, following any opening of the system, to ensure that nothing remains in the system sufficient to block the system and cause a decrease in spray flow. Shouldn't a blockage test be run to provide a defense in depth that the containment spray system is still capable of performing its safety function after the system is opened?

Following maintenance on a component of the containment spray system, what specific criteria are used to determine whether a flow blockage test of the containment spray system is required? At what level of management is this decision approved?