



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other _____

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> <small>(Please explain below)</small>	<u>Somewhat</u>
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

① I only learned about the meeting a few days before so was unable to stay for questions at the end

② The safety of Indian Point with regard to an attack and the devastation on DPC was ignored in part I attended

③ Clearly the recent comments by Todd Fish of the NRC indicating Indian Point's non-terrorist safe design indicates to me, the danger in

Continue Comments on the reverse. ⇨

OPTIONAL

Name Adelma Gillespie Organization citizen

Telephone No. 845-359-5360 E-Mail _____ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: 06/30/2003

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.


COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

keeping it open
 (4) may I be on a mailing list for future meetings?
 Adelma Gillespie
 773 Rte 9W
 Nyack, NY-10960

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY
 COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
 PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

RECEIVED
 REGION 1



2002 JUN 13 PM 1:22

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(59)

PETER ESELGROTH
 DIVISION OF REACTOR PROJECTS
 U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION - REGION I
 475 ALLENDALE RD
 KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406-9866





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
 NRC Mailing List
 Newspaper
 Radio/TV

 Other _____

	Yes	No (Please explain below)	Somewhat
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting <u>time</u> , duration, and location reasonably convenient? <i>need more evening meetings</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

Need to explain point of the arrangements being thrown around, esp. about NRC of plant owners and speaking to one another, as well as on the floor handouts

might want to have the slides on the web

Day meeting presentations run long, pls. consider having more evening meetings that are well publicized

OPTIONAL *of find for questions. Continue Comments on the reverse. →*

Name: Catherine Borgia Organization: Assemblywoman Sandy Gillet

Telephone No. 914 941 6369 E-Mail: Catherine_borgia@msn.com Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other _____

- | | Yes | No
(Please explain below) | Somewhat |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

At today's meeting, a Mr. William Primavera stated that "Several sources say that the river side of the plant is the weak link in security!"

I feel that his comments pose a safety concern. It appears from his statements that someone is leaking safeguard information to the public. This person could have terrorist ties. Mr Primavera should be contacted and questioned as to how he received this

Continue Comments on the reverse. ⇌

OPTIONAL

Name James Gorman Organization _____

Telephone No. _____ E-Mail _____

Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

see request on back side

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

information.

Please keep me informed, in writing of this concern of mine.

This item entered into the Negation system

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

RECEIVED
REGION 1



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

POSTAGE
NEEDED
HERE

61

2002 JUN 11 PM 2:02
BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETER ESELGROTH
DIVISION OF REACTOR PROJECTS
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION - REGION I
475 ALLENDALE RD
KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406-9866





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page NRC Mailing List Newspaper
 Radio/TV Other _____

	Yes	No (Please explain below)	Somewhat
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

REQUEST: HAVE A HEARING ON THE RIVERKEEPER PETITION
DO NOT ALLOW ANY WORKER ON SITE BEFORE THE
SECURITY CLEARANCE IS COMPLETE
PROVIDE WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION FOR EMPLOYEES
BRINGING INFORMATION
DO NOT CERTIFY THE EVACUATION PLAN DUE TO FATALLY
FLAWED ASSUMPTIONS, ESPECIALLY THE LACK OF ATTENTION
TO SHADOW EVACUATION

Continue Comments on the reverse. (C)

OPTIONAL

Name Allegra Dengler Organization Trustee Village of Dobbs Ferry
 Telephone No. 914)693-8023 E-Mail allegra.d@aol.com Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

BE SURE THAT YOU'RE GETTING ACCURATE INFORMATION FROM ENERGY BECAUSE THE PUBLIC IS NOT NOT SATISFIED WITH RESPONSES - DIDN'T ANSWER SOME QUESTIONS, GAVE MURKY RESPONSES, SPOKE IN BROAD GENERALIZATIONS TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS


SECURITY GUARDS ARE NON-UNION, LOW-PAID, HIGH TURNOVER, WHAT TRAINING ARE THEY GETTING & MAKE SURE THEIR BACKGROUND CHECKS ARE ADEQUATE

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY
 COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
 PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300



NO POSTAGE
 NECESSARY
 IF MAILED
 IN THE
 UNITED STATES



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETER ESELGROTH
 DIVISION OF REACTOR PROJECTS
 U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION - REGION I
 475 ALLENDALE RD
 KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406-9866





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
 NRC Mailing List
 Newspaper
 Radio/TV
 Other acquaintance

	Yes	No (Please explain below)	Somewhat
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

"Open Com." "SL112"

1. ④ Jargon should be explained, or not used (I&C, "workaround", etc) if you want public understanding.
2. ⑤ Hearings should be held at an hour which makes it possible for working people to attend.
3. "Open conditions", "corrective actions" not graded (trivial, sensitive, ^{potentially} serious, etc.) SHOULD BE! Report seem mechanistic. I am glad improvement ^{seems to be} occurring.
4. Nothing ^{by Entergy} said about security (terrorism), screening of personnel. No problems? "Acceptability to public" aside - What specific measures are being taken to really safeguard the plant, the control room, the fuel pools? Our concerns are real! We do not wish to be placated, or have sugar coating - (over)

Continue Comments on the reverse. ↪

OPTIONAL

Name Lucille Weinstein Organization IPSEC

Telephone No. _____ E-Mail lwweinstat@yahoo.com Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197 Expires: 06/30/2003

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

5. Are there plans to strengthen physical safeguards of electric wiring outside the control rooms, diesel generator buildings, fuel pools? If not, why not?

6. What is being done to protect "whistleblowers"? Referring it to the Labor Dept. does not seem adequate.

7. Did Indian Point pass the Osprey Tests? When? When will they be repeated? Is water access well safeguarded?

8. Taking a questioner's telephone number does not satisfy others who would like to know the answers.

Public safety does not seem to be NRC's main concern.


It seems that you are more interested in keeping the plants running and the public quiet (in order to keep the plants running).

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETER ESELGROTH
DIVISION OF REACTOR PROJECTS
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION - REGION I
475 ALLENDALE RD
KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406-9866





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other e-mail

- | | Yes | No
(Please explain below) | Somewhat |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

I never have been
Thank you for answering these questions.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

The dialogue between I.P. and NRC sounds good, but I have listened to such good dialogue before between NRC and plant owners only to be alarmed by incidents at a nuclear plant afterward. Too much jargon and initials are used. The public understands interjections of the word improvement but not the particulars of what is being improved. Knowing about the Davis-Besse situation, I have little

Continue Comments on the reverse. ⇨

OPTIONAL

Name Tom Baldino Organization IPSEC

Telephone No. 845-831-5435 E-Mail tomalbaldino@hotmail.com Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: 06/30/2003

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

faith in the NRC to keep me safe. The NRC
blamed plant management, the plant management
pleaded guilty and excused themselves on the
basis that they were still learning. The
same scenario took place between the NRC and
The New York State Power Authority back in the
mid-nineties. The same scenario took
place at T.P. in Feb, 2000. The NRC
blame Con Ed for not using state-of-the-
art testing in their test of the generator
tubes.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001




NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETER ESELGROTH
DIVISION OF REACTOR PROJECTS
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION - REGION I
475 ALLENDALE RD
KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406-9866





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other ENTERGY

	Yes	No (Please explain below)	Somewhat
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

3. Improvement effort is in a ~~broader~~ broader context of security improvements and continued public concern focused on that. One would never know of that issue, demanding of licenses and NRC resources, from the prescribed part of the meeting.
Of course this got aired during Q & A later.

Continue Comments on the reverse. ↩

OPTIONAL

Name Michael Blatt Organization ENTERGY
 Telephone No. 914 271-7201 E-Mail mblatt@entergy.com Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: 06/30/2003

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: 05/30/2002

Meeting Title: Regulatory Performance Meeting to review Entergy's Performance Improvement Efforts as described in the Indian Point 2 Fundamentals Improvement Plan (FIP).

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other _____

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> (Please explain below)	<u>Somewhat</u>
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

Continue Comments on the reverse. ↩

OPTIONAL

Name _____ Organization _____

Telephone No. _____ E-Mail _____

Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.