

Mr. Charles M. Dugger  
 Vice President Operations  
 Entergy Operations, Inc.  
 P. O. Box B  
 Killona, LA 70066

May 15, 1997

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 127 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE  
 NPF-38 - WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M98257)

Dear Mr. Dugger:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No.127 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 for the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 27, 1997.

The amendment changes TSs surveillance requirements 4.5.2.d.3 and 4.5.2.d.4 by increasing the required amount of trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate (TSP) stored in the containment sump from 97.5 cubic feet to 380 cubic feet, and adjusts the TSP sampling requirement accordingly.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,  
 Orig. signed by  
 Chandu P. Patel, Project Manager  
 Project Directorate IV-1  
 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-382

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No.127 to NPF-38  
 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	PUBLIC	PD4-1 r/f	W. Beckner
C. Patel (2)	C. Hawes	ACRS	
OGC (15B18)	G. Hill (2)	F. Gwynn, RIV	
C. Grimes	J. Roe	J. Kilcrease, RIV f/r	
L. Hurley, RIV	E. Adensam (EGA1)	T. Harris (TLH3)	

Document Name: WAT98257.AMD

OFC	PM/PD4-1	LA/PD4-1	OGC <i>NLO</i>
NAME	CPatel/vw <i>CP</i>	CHawes <i>CMH</i>	<i>S. How</i>
DATE	5/8/97	5/9/97	5/9/97
COPY	(YES/NO)	YES/NO	YES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

190039

9705210261 970515  
 PDR ADOCK 05000382  
 PDR



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 15, 1997

Mr. Charles M. Dugger  
Vice President Operations  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P. O. Box B  
Killona, LA 70066

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 127 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE  
NPF-38 - WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M98257)

Dear Mr. Dugger:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 127 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 for the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 27, 1997.

The amendment changes TSs surveillance requirements 4.5.2.d.3 and 4.5.2.d.4 by increasing the required amount of trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate (TSP) stored in the containment sump from 97.5 cubic feet to 380 cubic feet, and adjusts the TSP sampling requirement accordingly.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

*Chandu P. Patel*

Chandu P. Patel, Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-382

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 127 to NPF-38  
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. Charles M. Dugger  
Entergy Operations, Inc.

Waterford 3

cc:

Administrator  
Louisiana Radiation Protection Division  
Post Office Box 82135  
Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2135

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000  
Arlington, TX 76011

Vice President, Operations  
Support  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P. O. Box 31995  
Jackson, MS 39286

Resident Inspector/Waterford NPS  
Post Office Box 822  
Killona, LA 70066

Director  
Nuclear Safety & Regulatory Affairs  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P. O. Box B  
Killona, LA 70066

Parish President Council  
St. Charles Parish  
P. O. Box 302  
Hahnville, LA 70057

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway  
P. O. Box 651  
Jackson, MS 39205

Executive Vice-President  
and Chief Operating Officer  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P. O. Box 31995  
Jackson, MS 39286-1995

General Manager Plant Operations  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P. O. Box B  
Killona, LA 70066

Chairman  
Louisiana Public Service Commission  
One American Place, Suite 1630  
Baton Rouge, LA 70825-1697

Licensing Manager  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
P. O. Box B  
Killona, LA 70066

Winston & Strawn  
1400 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3502



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

ENERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-382

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 127  
License No. NPF-38

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) dated March 27, 1997, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 127, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance to be implemented within 60 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*Chandu P. Patel*

Chandu P. Patel, Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 15, 1997

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 127

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-38

DOCKET NO. 50-382

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

REMOVE PAGES

3/4 5-5  
B 3/4 5-2  
B 3/4 5-3

INSERT PAGES

3/4 5-5  
B 3/4 5-2  
B 3/4 5-3

## EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

---

2. A visual inspection of the safety injection system sump and verifying that the subsystem suction inlets are not restricted by debris and that the sump components (trash racks, screens, etc.) show no evidence of structural distress or corrosion.
  3. Verifying that a minimum total of 380 cubic feet of granular trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate (TSP) is contained within the TSP storage baskets.
  4. Verifying that when a representative sample of  $13.07 \pm 0.03$  grams of TSP from a TSP storage basket is submerged, without agitation, in  $4 \pm 0.1$  liters of  $120 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{F}$  water borated to  $3011 \pm 30$  ppm, the pH of the mixed solution is raised to greater than or equal to 7 within 3 hours.
- e. At least once per 18 months, during shutdown, by:
1. Verifying that each automatic valve in the flow path actuates to its correct position on SIAS and RAS test signals.
  2. Verifying that each of the following pumps start automatically upon receipt of a safety injection actuation test signal:
    - a. High pressure safety injection pump.
    - b. Low pressure safety injection pump.
  3. Verifying that on a recirculation actuation test signal, the low pressure safety injection pumps stop, the safety injection system sump isolation valves open.
- f. By verifying that each of the following pumps required to be OPERABLE performs as indicated on recirculation flow when tested pursuant to Specification 4.0.5:
1. High pressure safety injection pump differential pressure greater than or equal to 1429 psid.
  2. Low pressure safety injection pump discharge pressure greater than or equal to 177 psig.

### 3/4.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)

#### BASES

---

#### 3/4.5.1 SAFETY INJECTION TANKS

The OPERABILITY of each of the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) safety injection tanks ensures that a sufficient volume of borated water will be immediately forced into the reactor core through each of the cold legs in the event the RCS pressure falls below the pressure of the safety injection tanks. This initial surge of water into the core provides the initial cooling mechanism during large RCS pipe ruptures.

The limits on safety injection tank volume, boron concentration, and pressure ensure that the assumptions used for safety injection tank injection in the safety analysis are met.

The safety injection tank power operated isolation valves are considered to be "operating bypasses" in the context of IEEE Std. 279-1971, which requires that bypasses of a protective function be removed automatically whenever permissive conditions are not met. In addition, as these safety injection tank isolation valves fail to meet single failure criteria, removal of power to the valves is required.

The limits for operation with a safety injection tank inoperable for any reason except an isolation valve closed minimizes the time exposure of the plant to a LOCA event occurring concurrent with failure of an additional safety injection tank which may result in unacceptable peak cladding temperatures. If a closed isolation valve cannot be immediately opened, the full capability of one safety injection tank is not available and prompt action is required to place the reactor in a mode where this capability is not required.

#### 3/4.5.2 and 3/4.5.3 ECCS SUBSYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of two separate and independent ECCS subsystems ensures that sufficient emergency core cooling capability will be available in the event of a LOCA assuming the loss of one subsystem through any single failure consideration. Either subsystem operating in conjunction with the safety injection tanks is capable of supplying sufficient core cooling to limit the peak cladding temperatures within acceptable limits for all postulated break sizes ranging from the double-ended break of the largest RCS cold leg pipe downward. In addition, each ECCS subsystem provides long-term core cooling capability in the recirculation mode during the accident recovery period.

When in mode 3 and with RCS temperature 500°F two OPERABLE ECCS subsystems are required to ensure sufficient emergency core cooling capability is available to prevent the core from becoming critical during an uncontrolled cooldown (i.e., a steam line break) from greater than 500°F.



## EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

### BASES

---

#### ECCS SUBSYSTEMS (Continued)

With the RCS temperature below 350°F, one OPERABLE ECCS subsystem is acceptable without single failure consideration on the basis of the stable reactivity condition of the reactor and the limited core cooling requirements.

The trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate (TSP) stored in dissolving baskets located in the containment basement is provided to minimize the possibility of corrosion cracking of certain metal components during operation of the ECCS following a LOCA. The TSP provides this protection by dissolving in the sump water and causing its final pH to be raised to greater than or equal to 7.0. The requirement to dissolve a representative sample of TSP in a sample of water borated to be representative of post-LOCA sump conditions provides assurance that the stored TSP will dissolve in borated water at the postulated post-LOCA temperatures. A boron concentration of 3011 ppm boron is postulated to be representative of the highest post-LOCA sump boron concentration based on the assumptions used in calculation EC-S96-013. The RWSP, SITs, and RCS maximum boron concentrations assumed are conservative estimates of further anticipated boron concentrations. The assumed maximum boron concentrations for the RWSP and SITs are greater than those currently allowed in Technical Specifications in order to bound future expected increases in required boron concentrations because of longer fuel cycles and higher energy fuel designs. Post-LOCA sump pH will remain between 7.0 and 8.1 for the maximum (3011 ppm) and minimum (1504 ppm) boron concentrations calculated using the maximum and minimum post-LOCA sump volumes and conservatively assumed maximum and minimum source boron concentrations.

The Surveillance Requirements provided to ensure OPERABILITY of each component ensure that at a minimum, the assumptions used in the safety analyses are met and that subsystem OPERABILITY is maintained. Surveillance Requirements for throttle valve position stops and flow balance testing provide assurance that proper ECCS flows will be maintained in the event of a LOCA. Maintenance of proper flow resistance and pressure drop in the piping system to each injection point is necessary to: (1) prevent total pump flow from exceeding runout conditions when the system is in its minimum resistance configuration, (2) provide the proper flow split between injection points in accordance with the assumptions used in the ECCS-LOCA analyses, and (3) provide an acceptable level of total ECCS flow to all injection points equal to or above that assumed in the ECCS-LOCA analyses.

The requirement to verify the minimum pump discharge pressure on recirculation flow ensures that the pump performance curve has not degraded below that used to show that the pump exceeds the design flow condition assumed in the safety analysis and is consistent with the requirements of ASME Section XI.

## EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

### BASES

---

---

#### 3/4.5.4 REFUELING WATER STORAGE POOL (RWSP)

The OPERABILITY of the refueling water storage pool (RWSP) as part of the ECCS also ensures that a sufficient supply of borated water is available for injection by the ECCS in the event of a LOCA. The limits on RWSP minimum volume and boron concentration ensure that (1) sufficient water is available within containment to permit recirculation cooling flow to the core, and (2) the reactor will remain subcritical in the cold condition following mixing of the RWSP and the RCS water volumes with all CEAs inserted except for the most reactive control assembly. These assumptions are consistent with the LOCA analyses.

The contained water volume limit includes an allowance for water not usable because of pool discharge line location or other physical characteristics.

The lower limit on contained water volume, the specific boron concentration and the physical size (approximately 600,000 gallons) of the RWSP also ensure a pH value of between 7.0 and 11.0 for the solution recirculated within containment after a LOCA. This pH band minimizes the evolution of iodine and minimizes the effect of chloride and caustic stress corrosion on mechanical systems and components.

The maximum limit on the RWSP temperature ensures that the assumptions used in the containment pressure analysis under design base accident conditions remain valid and avoids the possibility of containment overpressure. The minimum limit on the RWSP temperature is required to prevent freezing and/or boron precipitation in the RWSP.



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 127 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-38

ENERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3

DOCKET NO. 50-382

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated March 27, 1997, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), submitted a request for changes to the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3, (Waterford 3), Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested changes would modify surveillance requirements 4.5.2.d.3 and 4.5.2.d.4 by increasing the required amount of trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate (TSP) stored in the containment sump from 97.5 cubic feet to 380 cubic feet. Also, the corresponding amount TSP in the solubility test will be increased from  $4 \pm 0.01$  grams to  $13.07 \pm 0.03$  grams in  $4 \pm 0.1$  liters of solution. The licensee is planning in the future to use longer fuel cycles and higher energy fuel which would require higher concentrations of boric acid in the reactor coolant. In order to neutralize this additional boric acid in the water collected in the containment sump after an accident, more TSP will be needed. The licensee calculated the amount of TSP required to ensure that for any future operations with higher enrichment fuel, the post-accident pH of the sump water will be maintained at the value greater than or equal to 7.

2.0 EVALUATION

In an operability evaluation, the licensee has determined that, for the existing boric acid concentrations in different systems in the plant, the amount of 97.5 cubic feet of TSP, currently stored in the sump baskets, is sufficient to maintain pH equal to or greater than 7 in the containment sump water after an accident. Also, this pH will not exceed the value where corrosion of aluminum surfaces or zinc on galvanized surfaces or in organic coatings could result in significant hydrogen generation. However, in the future, this amount will not be sufficient because the licensee is planning to increase concentration of boric acid in the Reactor Coolant System (RCS), Safety Injection Tanks (SITs) and Refueling Water Storage Pool (RWSP) to account for the longer fuel cycles and higher energy fuel designs at Waterford 3. This increase will result in a higher concentration of boric acid in the water collected in the containment sump after an accident. The licensee calculated that the highest expected concentration of boric acid in the sump will correspond to 3011 ppm of boron.

To neutralize this amount of boric acid and ensure that at all times the sump water pH will stay above 7, 380 cubic feet of TSP will be required. This larger amount of TSP will not cause operational problems with the existing boric acid concentrations because buffering action of the boric acid-TSP system will ensure that even for the solutions with lower concentration of boric acid, sump water will not reach alkalinity levels which would cause significant corrosion of aluminum and zinc. The licensee calculated that the highest expected pH of the sump water will be 8.1. This pH is below the value at which high corrosion of aluminum and zinc is expected. The staff performed independent verification of the licensee's analyses, presented in the submittal, and found them to provide a conservative evaluation of the problem.

As discussed above, the staff has evaluated the proposed TS amendment for post-accident control of pH in the containment sump water. It concludes that the licensee's proposed increase in the amount of TSP stored in the containment sump baskets will allow increased concentrations of boric acid in the RCS, SITs and RWSP without causing any operational problems. The proposed modification of TS surveillance requirements 4.5.2.d.3 and 4.5.2.d.4 and its Bases, is therefore, acceptable.

### 3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Louisiana State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding (62 FR 17234). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such

activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: K. Parczewski

Date: May 15, 1997