

# Memorial Hospital of South Bend

*Quality of Life*

Reportable  
10 CFR 35.33

December 28, 2001

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region III, Medical Licensing Section  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, IL 605532

RE: Misadministration Report

Dear Sirs:

We have enclosed the report on three (3) misadministrations which occurred due to a dose calibrator calibration error at our radiopharmacy for a beta-emitting radiopharmaceutical, Sm-153 supplied by them in unit dosage form.

If you have any questions, please contact Dick Selle, the Nuclear Medicine Manager (219-284-3112), Nina Johnson, our RSO, or myself. Our consulting physicist who assisted with this report is Tracy King of Medical Physics Consultants can be reached at 734-662-3197. Thank you for your cooperation with this matter.

Sincerely,



David A. Hamback, M.D.

615 North Michigan Street  
South Bend, Indiana 46601-1087

Website: <http://qualityoflife.org>

♻️ printed on recycled paper

**REPORT OF MISADMINISTRATION TO USNRC**

**Licensee's Name:** Memorial Hospital  
South Bend Indiana

**Prescribing Physician's Name:** Dr. David A. Hornback

**Brief Description of Event:** Three patients were administered dosages of Samarium-153 that were lower than the prescribed dosage by more than 20%.

**Patient A.**

Date: 06/28/2000  
Prescription No. 718140  
Prescribed Activity: 67 mCi Sm-153  
Administered Activity: 49.86 mCi Sm-153

**Patient B.**

Date: 08/23/2000  
Prescription No. 735173  
Prescribed Activity: 68 mCi Sm-153  
Administered Activity: 48.44 mCi Sm-153

**Patient C.**

Date: 05/11/2001  
Prescription No. 816061  
Prescribed Activity: 60 mCi Sm-153  
Administered Activity: 45.59 mCi Sm-153

**Why the Events Occurred:**

Unit patient dosages of Sm-153 were ordered from Spectrum Pharmacy, Mishawaka, IN, with a requested activity for a specified time. Spectrum Pharmacy filled these orders by withdrawing a calculated volume of Sm-153 into a 3 or 5 ml syringe from a 10 ml vial calibrated at 50 mCi/ml at noon on Wednesday. The syringes were assayed in a Capintec ionization chamber dose calibrator on a setting of 170, and the volume in the syringe was adjusted, if necessary, to produce an activity matching that requested by the ordering facility. The dose calibrator setting of 170 was determined on July 23, 1997, using a NIST traceable Sm-153 standard in a 10 ml vial. During the period December 12-20, 2001, Spectrum Pharmacy determined that the 170 dial setting was appropriate only for the 10 ml glass vial, not for a syringe. Using another NIST traceable Sm-153 standard, Spectrum Pharmacy determined that the actual activity in a syringe is 28.2% less than the activity measured on the 170 setting.

**Effect on the Patients:** The Sm-153 was administered for palliation of bone pain. The effect, if any, of under dosing by the 24.0% to 28.8% is not known. It

would presumably result in a more than expected number of patients not experiencing relief or experiencing less relief from their symptoms

**Improvements Needed, and Actions Taken, to Prevent Recurrence:**

Spectrum Pharmacy, Mishawaka, has established that a Capintec dose calibrator setting of 170 is appropriate for the 10 ml of Sm-153 received from the manufacturer. It has also been determined that a setting of 273 is appropriate for Sm-153 in a 3 ml syringe. Both vial and syringe require no geometric correction factor over the range of 0.5 to 3 ml.

The Sm-153 dosages dispensed by Spectrum Pharmacy will be determined by a subtraction technique:

- a. assay 10 ml vial on 170 setting
- b. draw volume required into syringe, based on desired dosage and concentration of the Sm-153 solution
- c. assay remainder in 10 ml vial on 170 setting
- d. record dosage in syringe by subtracting remainder assay from the whole vial assay
- e. confirm dosage by assaying the syringe on the 273 setting

Since Sm-153 is primarily a beta emitter, facilities receiving and administering the Sm-153 syringes as a unit dose should, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 35, record the administered dosage based on the activity specified by the preparer, Spectrum Pharmacy. A mathematical decay correction should be utilized if the actual time of administration differs substantially from the time for which the dosage has been prepared. Those facilities with Capintec dose calibrators could assay the syringe on the 273 setting to confirm the activity of Sm-153 in the syringe, but the recorded administered dosage should be the (decay corrected) activity specified by Spectrum Pharmacy. If the facility assay differs from Spectrum's specified activity by more than 10%, the dosage should not be administered and Spectrum should be contacted to resolve the discrepancy.

All appropriate staff at Spectrum Pharmacy and the clinical facility have been (will be) instructed regarding the above procedure.

**Did Licensee Notify Patient or Guardian?** Each surviving patient has or will be notified.

**Information Provided to Patient, if any:** A copy of this report is being provided to each surviving patient.





December 24, 2001

NUCLEAR MEDICINE COLLEAGUES:

RE: ACCOUNT OF EVENTS LEADING TO CALIBRATION ERROR FOR Sm-153

The following is an explanation pertaining to the mistake made in the calibration and dispensing of prescriptions of Samarium-153 Quadramet. This error in calibration, by the pharmacy personnel, ultimately led to the apparent misadministrations within your hospital.

Samarium-153 Quadramet is an injectable radiopharmaceutical indicated for the palliative treatment of metastatic bone pain in terminal cancer patients. It is predominantly a Beta emitting isotope with some gamma emitting components.

Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc first began use of Sm-153 Quadramet on July 23, 1997. At this time a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable standard of Samarium-153 was obtained from DuPont Pharmaceuticals to facilitate calibration of Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc.'s Capintec dose calibrators. This NIST traceable vial was assayed in our dose calibrators to obtain a NIST traceable reference setting for dispensing Sm-153 Quadramet. A value of 170 was obtained and this value was used to calibrate all syringes containing Quadramet. On the morning of December 12, 2001 it came to the attention of one of the pharmacists that upon using a vial subtraction technique based on the manufacturer's assay, the assay of the vial and the assay of the syringe on the 170 calibration setting differed by a factor of approximately minus 25%. Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc. contacted both their health physics consultant, and the current manufacturer of Sm-153 Quadramet concerning this issue. The manufacturer (Berlex) stated that they did not believe that there was a significant difference in assays obtained whether the dose was in a vial or a plastic syringe. They also stated that there were no documented incidents of geometrical variation between syringes and vials for Sm-153. Our medical physics consultant believed that there may indeed be a difference in assays obtained between a glass vial and a plastic syringe due to the Beta emitting component of Samarium-153.

In order to determine the possible difference in assays, an NIST traceable standard was once again ordered from Bristol-Myer-Squibb Medical Imaging Division to obtain a syringe correction value. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 3 office was notified of possible misadministrations of Sm-153 Quadramet doses on the morning of December 14, 2001. After reporting these possible incidents all hospitals involved were contacted by Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc. and instructed to call the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Operations phone number to report the possible misadministrations. One hospital which received three doses in late 1998 was contacted on December 18th, 2001. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued a preliminary notification of the event on the morning of December 18, 2001. A copy was sent to Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc. as well as to all hospitals which were identified as to have received Sm-153 Quadramet doses from Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc.

On the afternoon of December 20, 2001 assays of the NIST traceable source were performed by Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc's Radiation Safety Officer, Scott Van Heesbeke R.Ph. The results of these assays were forwarded to Bob Anger our health physics consultant who was going to assist in the calculations for geometrical variation and differences of attenuation.

Upon evaluation of this data it was determined that there was indeed a difference in assays of Samarium-153 due to the density of the 10ml glass vial the product is calibrated and received from the manufacturer in, and the density of a plastic 3ml or 5ml Becton-Dickinson syringe which the Quadramet was assayed and dispensed for injection in. It was this attenuation difference that resulted in the calibration error due to using a syringe to dispense the Samarium-153 Quadramet. The data obtained from this calibration was used to obtain a secondary NIST traceable syringe dose calibrator reference setting of 273. From this point on Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc. has developed a protocol for assaying Sm-153 Quadramet patient injections which uses a manufacturer's assay vial subtraction technique and a secondary check of the assay using the 273 dose calibrator syringe reference setting.

Thank you for your time and assistance in resolving this matter.

Sincerely,

*Scott Van Heesbeke R.Ph.*

Scott Van Heesbeke R.Ph.  
Manager/RSO  
Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc.

RE: ACTION PLAN FOR REPORTING PURPOSES  
DATE: December 24, 2001

Dear Spectrum Pharmacy Colleagues:

On the afternoon of December 14, 2001 I, Scott VanHeespeke of Spectrum Pharmacy spoke with the radiation safety officer of your hospital. I informed this individual that the possibility existed that prescriptions of Samarium-153 Quadramet were calibrated with 20% to 30% less activity than the amount indicated on the prescription and ordered by the physician. This error occurred due to a difference in the assay characteristics between a 10ml glass vial and a 3ml or 5ml plastic syringe. This was an unintentional mistake and we are very sorry that this error has occurred.

Please be aware that we are working with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and our health physics consultant to make the reporting of these incidents, by your hospital, go as easily and accurately as possible. Attached you will find data specific to your hospital indicating the prescriptions dispensed, the date dispensed and the suspected dosage error as calculated by our health physics consultant. There is also an article from the Journal of Nuclear Medicine relating to the management of bone pain.

You are required to report to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, in writing, within 15 days of initially discovering a misadministration (December 29, 2001). When corresponding with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning this incident, you may consult the information included with this letter. This information lists the pertinent data you will need in putting together your report.

Additionally, our health physics consultant has prepared some guidelines that can be modified by each facility to prepare your written report to the NRC. In particular, the authorized users may want to expand the information in the effect on the patient section.

### **SUGGESTIONS FOR THE WRITTEN REPORT TO THE NRC**

#### **Brief description of the event:**

Patients were administered an amount of Sm-153 that differed from the prescribed amount by more than 20%. (List each patient ID, not the patient's name, the ordered and the actual administered activities, as obtained from the Pharmacy)

Why it occurred

Unit patient dosages of Sm-153 were ordered from Spectrum Pharmacy, Mishawaka, IN, with a requested activity for a specified time. Spectrum Pharmacy filled these orders by withdrawing a calculated volume of Sm-153 into a 3 or 5 ml syringe from a 10 ml vial calibrated at 50mCi/ml at noon on Wednesday. The syringes were assayed in a Capintec ionization chamber dose calibrator on a setting of 170, and the volume of the syringe was adjusted, if necessary, to produce an activity matching that requested by the ordering facility. The dose calibrator setting of 170 was determined on July 23, 1997, using a NIST traceable Sm-153 standard in a 10ml vial. During the period December 12-20, 2001, Spectrum Pharmacy determined that the 170 dial setting was appropriate only for the 10 ml glass vial, not for a syringe. Using another NIST traceable Sm-153 standard, Spectrum Pharmacy determined that the actual activity in a syringe is 28.2% less than the activity measured on the 170 setting.

Effect on the patient(s):

The Sm-153 was administered for palliation of bone pain. The effect, if any, of underdosing by 21 to 29% would presumably be a more than expected number of patients not experiencing relief from their symptoms.

Improvements needed, and actions taken, to prevent recurrence:

Spectrum Pharmacy, Mishawaka, has established that a Capintec dose calibrator setting of 170 is appropriate for the 10 ml of Sm-153 received from the manufacturer. It has also been determined that a setting of 273 is appropriate for Sm-153 in a 3 ml syringe. Both vial and syringe require no geometric correction factor over the range of 0.5 to 3 ml.

The Sm-153 dosages dispensed by Spectrum Pharmacy will be determined by a subtraction technique:

- a. assay 10 ml vial on 170 setting
- b. draw volume required into syringe, based on desired dosage and concentration of the Sm-153 solution
- c. assay remainder in 10 ml vial on 170 setting
- d. record dosage in syringe by subtracting remainder assay from the whole vial assay
- e. confirm dosage by assaying the syringe on 273 setting



Since Sm-153 is primarily a beta emitter, facilities receiving and administering the Sm-153 syringes as a unit dose should, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 35, record the administered dosage based on the activity specified by the preparer, Spectrum Pharmacy. A mathematical decay correction should be utilized if the actual time of administration differs substantially from the time for which the dosage has been prepared. Those facilities with Capintec dose calibrators could assay the syringe on the 273 setting to confirm the activity of Sm-153 in the syringe, but the recorded administered dosage should be the (decay corrected) activity specified by Spectrum Pharmacy. If the facility assay differs from Spectrum's specified activity by more than 10%, the dosage should not be administered and Spectrum should be contacted to resolve the discrepancy.

All appropriate staff at Spectrum Pharmacy and the clinical facility have been (will be) instructed regarding the above procedures.

Were the patients notified? (If not, why not?)

This needs to be addressed for each of the patients identified in the "Brief description of the event".

I hope the information above and the provided enclosed data helps streamline and simplify your process and effort in the matter. Again, I am very sorry for the error in the calibration of the Sm-153 and promise you that corrective actions have already been implemented.

Sincerely,

*Scott Van Heesbeke R.Ph.*

Scott Van Heesbeke R.Ph.  
Manager/RSO  
Spectrum Pharmacy, Inc.

# Bone Pain Palliation with <sup>85</sup>Sr Therapy

Francesco Ciammarello, Thomas Mogenst, Cynthia Brubaker, Table Descriptions and Table Removal

Nuclear Medicine Department, Centre Leon Berard, Lyon, France

The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the efficacy of <sup>85</sup>Sr for the palliation of metastatic bone pain. The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the efficacy of <sup>85</sup>Sr for the palliation of metastatic bone pain. The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the efficacy of <sup>85</sup>Sr for the palliation of metastatic bone pain. The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the efficacy of <sup>85</sup>Sr for the palliation of metastatic bone pain.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Patients

Between 1977 and 1992, 108 patients (52 males, 56 females; age 16-88 y; mean age 62.5 y) with hyperalgetic generalized bone metastases (not satisfactorily relieved by drug therapy), including metastases received a therapeutic dose of <sup>85</sup>Sr chloride. 11 patients attained at least the same response rate as that reported with palliative treatment in patients with refractory bone pain. We attained at least the same response rate as that reported with palliative treatment in patients with refractory bone pain. We attained at least the same response rate as that reported with palliative treatment in patients with refractory bone pain. We attained at least the same response rate as that reported with palliative treatment in patients with refractory bone pain.

**Key Words:** <sup>85</sup>Sr; radionuclide therapy; bone pain palliation

The palliation of pain in patients with painful bone metastases is of primary importance in the clinical management of advanced cancer. Internal therapy with radionuclides, which concentrate at sites of increased bone turnover, is used to control pain and improve quality of life as an alternative to conventional therapies. The  $\beta$ -emitter <sup>85</sup>Sr was first used in 1941 for the palliation of pain from metastasized prostatic carcinoma (1). The effectiveness of <sup>85</sup>Sr therapy has been demonstrated by many researchers, suggesting that

Received Mar 24, 1998; revision accepted Oct 3, 1998.

For correspondence or reprint contact, Francesco Ciammarello, M.D., Centre Leon Berard, Service de Médecine Nucléaire, 28, rue Laennec, F-69371 Lyon cedex 08, France.

FIGURE 1. Images of 55-y-old man with metastatic prostatic carcinoma were obtained 14 d after intravenous injection of 445 MBq (12 mCi) <sup>85</sup>Sr, using large field-of-view gamma camera with high-energy collimator rated to 514 keV. Anterior and posterior whole body images show multiple focal areas of increased uptake at metastatic sites.



The quality of the post-therapeutic <sup>85</sup>Sr bone scans was mostly acceptable (Fig. 1). Whole-body distribution of <sup>85</sup>Sr

**RESULTS**

**Clinical Results**

A statistical program was used for calculations of means, ranges and the analysis of variance.  $\chi^2$  test was also used where appropriate and  $P$  value  $< 0.05$  was considered as statistically significant. When using  $\chi^2$  test, partial response and complete response were considered as one group. No valid control group could be set up because most eligible patients in our institution received <sup>85</sup>Sr treatment.

**Statistics**

No. of cases	Mean (range)	Standard deviation (SD)
5	1.1 (0-2)	0.8 (0.7-1.0)
84 (31.8)	2.7 (2.2-3)	0.8 (0.7-1.0)

TABLE 3  
Pain Extent to Bone

Site	Female	Male	Total
Prostate	100 (100)	100 (100)	200 (100)
Breast	4 (4)	41 (41)	45 (22.5)
Others	16 (16)	16 (16)	32 (16)
Total	116 (58)	157 (78)	273 (136.5)

TABLE 1  
Patient Characteristics

Site	Female	Male	Total
Prostate	100 (100)	100 (100)	200 (100)
Breast	4 (4)	41 (41)	45 (22.5)
Others	16 (16)	16 (16)	32 (16)
Total	116 (58)	157 (78)	273 (136.5)

**Dosimetry**  
Because of the hematological toxicity of the treatment, the standard dose of 370 MBq (10 mCi) was reduced gradually in cases of high risk of bone marrow depletion (radiation, recent chemo- or radiotherapy). Large extent of the metastatic disease on bone scans) (Conversely, the dose was increased in cases of severe pain or if the metastatic extension to bone was the major factor, the mean activity administered was 335 MBq, but the range varied from 45 to 740 MBq (1.2-20 mCi) (Table 1).

**Follow-up**

To avoid environmental contamination after the injection, all patients were admitted to hospital isolation facilities for at least 7 d (mean 10 d), until radiation doses returned to the permitted levels ( $25 \mu\text{Sv/h}$  at a distance of 1 m from the body). Daily hospitalization, urine was collected and its activity measured. Bone scans, obtained up to 8 wk after injection, allowed determination of isotope biodistribution and estimation of absorbed doses. For each patient, a clinical follow-up was performed at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 wk. A blood count was also obtained. Any modification of bone pain, mobility and use of pain medication was recorded. Subjective relief was evaluated subjectively by the patient and objectively by the reduction of the analgesic dose and the change in performance status. The response to treatment was defined as complete (complete pain relief), a decrease in performance status (at least 1 point already 0) and at least a 50% decrease in opiate consumption during at least 4 mo, unless patient died sooner than 4 mo after starting efficient pain control), partial (significant improvement of pain control) during at least 2 mo) or none (all others), and the duration of the remission was recorded.

TABLE 2  
Performance Status

Performance status (WHO)	No. of cases
0	13 (6.1)
1	88 (40.7)
2	38 (17.6)



Systemic radionuclide therapy is an optimal choice in the management of inoperable metastatic bone pain. However, despite many large-scale studies, the choice of the optimal radiopharmaceutical is still under discussion. Among the potential radionuclides, <sup>89</sup>Sr and <sup>153</sup>Sm EDTMP are approved for metastatic pain palliation by American, French and other European regulatory authorities, and new agents

DISCUSSION

In a significant number of cases, these data were sparse. A reduction in leukocyte and thrombocyte levels was observed in most patients, with a mean time to reach the nadir of about 6 wk. The mean reduction in nadir was about 30% for leukocytes and 40% for thrombocytes (Table 7). The toxicity of the treatment seemed higher with bone marrow depletion as a consequence of either previous treatments or metastatic marrow involvement. Transfusion was necessary in 24 patients, with no significant relation to dose, but 23 of them had already needed transfusions before <sup>89</sup>Sr therapy. No connection was observed between the reduction of blood counts and the extent of bone metastases. (The patient with significant bone marrow involvement had a fatal myelodepression in the fourth month. In another patient, an acute myeloid leukemia was reported 11 mo after treatment.

Hematological Results

Knowing  $\bar{U}$  of the sample,  $M$  and  $K$  evaluated from the <sup>89</sup>Sr bone scan, it is possible to estimate the absorbed dose to normal and pathological compartments delivered by the administered activity  $A$  at infinite time. In this work, the estimation was impossible to make in 27 of 119 cases, because of a failure to collect the urine effectively or the bad quality of the images (Table 6). Usually, the urinary excretion of <sup>89</sup>Sr was important mainly in the first 2 d after therapeutic administration, representing about 75% of the total activity in urine collected during hospitalization. The macroscopic dose estimation suggested that the absorbed dose by normal bone marrow rarely exceeded 70 cGy for all of the patients. The absorbed dose ratio of metastatic bone to marrow ranged from a low of 3 to a high of 15, with a mean of 8.2. Although the estimated doses delivered to lesions seemed higher in cases of complete response (mean 630 cGy, with a range of absorbed dose of 1.81 cGy/Mbq [67 cGy/mCi]), especially in prostate cancers, this has not been proved statistically significant.

D. M., P., C., M., S. D., and P., K., 1997. The mean distribution of the radiopharmaceutical (D) in compartments are not equivalent and must take into account the mean excretion  $\bar{U}$  that has a proper relative grade  $K$ . Thus, if we imagine a homogeneous distribution of the radiopharmaceutical (D) in compartments, the absorbed dose to normal marrow and  $\bar{U}$  (cGy/Mbq) is given by the formula:  $\bar{U} = \frac{D \cdot K}{M}$ . Knowing  $\bar{U}$  of the sample,  $M$  and  $K$  evaluated from the <sup>89</sup>Sr bone scan, it is possible to estimate the absorbed dose to normal and pathological compartments delivered by the administered activity  $A$  at infinite time.

TABLE 6  
Excretion Results

Patient	Total	Breast		Other		Marrow		Marrow		Marrow											
		Cases (%)	Mean activity (Mbq)	Urinary excretion (cGy)	Dose to metas (cGy)	Dose to marrow (cGy)	Tumor ratio	Cases (%)	Mean activity (Mbq)	Urinary excretion (cGy)	Dose to metas (cGy)	Dose to marrow (cGy)	Tumor ratio								
1	46 (100)	11 (33.3)	415	35.8	493	70	1.19	6 (46.2)	305	26.1	491	62	1.61	25 (27.2)	355	29.0	508	508	68	1.44	7.49
2	46 (100)	6 (18.2)	325	33.5	555	75	1.71	4 (30.8)	370	22.3	555	74	1.50	21 (23.9)	340	24.3	551	530	72	1.62	7.65
3	46 (100)	16 (48.5)	335	24.6	574	70	1.71	3 (58.7)	220	16.3	534	63	2.43	46 (50)	350	19.9	630	630	71	1.81	8.89
4	46 (100)	33 (100)	360	30.0	544	71	1.51	3 (58.7)	360	22.7	520	66	1.70	92 (100)	350	23.4	579	579	70	1.66	8.24

†Mean estimated dose to metastases.  
‡Mean estimated dose to normal bone marrow (the estimate-dose to normal bone is four times this value (12)).  
§Mean ratio between dose to metastases and activity.  
¶Mean ratio between dose to metastases and normal bone marrow.



scored higher than in the control group, probably because of a larger metastatic burden in our patients (27-31).

## CONCLUSION

Systemic radionuclide therapy with  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  is a feasible, effective and well-tolerated palliative treatment in patients with refractory bone pain. Almost all patients received a benefit, lasting up to 3 y, median duration with very few serious side effects. This therapy is especially effective in patients with prostate cancer. These results were obtained when patients received the treatment while in an early stage of metastatic disease. This has not been shown with  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  and contrasts with the usual indication of palliative radionuclide therapy. Response was correlated neither to injected activity nor estimated dose. A major problem of  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  therapy is related to the gamma emission of the isotope and to radioprotection problems. However, in this retrospective study, we attained at least the same response rate as that reported with bone-seeking  $\beta$ -emitting radionuclides. Thus the use of  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  for therapeutic purposes should be reconsidered.

A limitation of this study is the absence of a control group. These results would theoretically warrant a prospective study to evaluate  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  versus another bone seeking radionuclide, but the radioprotection problem is such that it is unlikely it will be done in the foreseeable future.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the technical staff of the Nuclear Medicine Department, Centre René Bénard, Lyon, France, for their assistance. We acknowledge the work of the late Professor B. Lahneche, who initiated this study.

## REFERENCES

1. Pecher C. Biological investigations with  $^{45}\text{Ca}$ ,  $^{47}\text{Ca}$ ,  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ . *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med*. 1941;46:87-91.
2. Liang AH, Achery DM, Barry RJ, et al.  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  chloride for pain palliation in prostatic skeletal malignancy. *Br J Radiol*. 1981;54:816-817.

3. Liang AH, Li SH, Hsu J, et al. The use of  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  chloride in the palliative radiotherapy of metastatic prostatic carcinoma. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*. 1982;55:1318-1323.
4. Morton AW, Simons DJ, Fisher VL. Strontium-89 therapy and relief of pain in patients with prostatic carcinoma metastatic to bone: a dose response relationship? *Am J Clin Oncol*. 1987;10:101-104.
5. Pecher C, Liang AH, Li SH. Palliative radionuclide therapy of bone metastases with  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  chloride. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1987;10:117-119.
6. Ogata T, Nakazaki O, Hagiwara H, et al. A comparison of the palliative effects of intravenous  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  chloride and external beam radiotherapy in metastatic prostate cancer. *Radiation Oncol*. 1993;31:33-40.
7. Robinson FC, Pearson TE, Schacter EM, Baxter EG. Strontium-89 therapy for the palliative treatment of osseous metastases. *JAMA*. 1995;274:420-424.
8. Mahoney J, Pearson J, Ask A, Fenwick J, Abrahamson PA. Painful bone metastases in hormone refractory prostate cancer: economic costs of strontium-89 and/or external radiotherapy. *Cancer*. 1997;80:747-753.
9. Maxon HR, Evanschitzky HA, Thomas SR, et al. Re-186( $^{90}\text{Sr}$ ) HEDP for treatment of multiple metastatic foci in bone: human biodistribution and dosimetric studies. *Radiology*. 1988;166:591-597.
10. Singh A, Holme J, RA, Farhang M, et al. Human pharmacokinetics of samarium-153-EDTMP in metastatic cancer. *J Nucl Med*. 1989;30:1814-1818.
11. Adams HL, Marone LF, Srivastava SC, et al. Biodistribution of  $^{90}\text{Y}$ -DOTA-DTPA for palliative therapy of painful osseous metastases. *Radiology*. 1993;186:779-783.
12. Lahneche B, Saati F, Chauvet P, Bouvier JF, Lacroze M. Curiothérapie métabolique ( $^{89}\text{Sr}$ ) des métastases osseuses diffuses algiques [ $^{89}\text{Sr}$  radiotherapy of painful bone metastases]. *Bulletin ACOMEN*. 1988;3:193-196.
13. Spencer R, Herbert R, Rish MW, Laule WA. Bone scanning with  $^{85}\text{Sr}$ ,  $^{87\text{m}}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{187}\text{Fr}$ . Physical and radiopharmaceutical considerations and clinical experience in 50 cases. *Br J Radiol*. 1967;40:641-654.
14. Maxfield WS, Watson JD Jr. Strontium-85 bone scans as an aid in the selection of patients for phosphorus-32 therapy of skeletal metastasis. *J Nucl Med*. 1967;8:390.
15. Faber DD, Wahman GE, Bailey TA, Flocks RH, Culp DA, Morrison RT. An evaluation of the strontium 85 scan for the detection and localization of bone metastases from prostatic carcinoma: a preliminary report of 93 cases. *J Urol*. 1967;97:526-532.
16. Ronai F, Winchell HS, Anger HO. Skeletal survey for metastatic tumors of bone using  $^{90}\text{Y}$  and  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  with scintillation camera and whole-body scanner. *J Nucl Med*. 1968;9:517-522.
17. Vollen WA, Goeckeler WF, Eihardt GJ, Ketting AR. Therapeutic radionuclides: production and decay property considerations. *J Nucl Med*. 1991;32:174-185.
18. De Agostini A, Mascaro L, Pizzocaro C, Panarotto MB, Bestagno M.  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  contaminant as a reliable tracer of  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  for monitoring urinary radioactivity in patients treated with  $^{89}\text{Sr}$  for bone metastases. *J Nucl Biol Med*. 1993;37:38-44.
19. de Klerk JMH, van het Schip AD, Zonnenberg BA, et al. Evaluation of thrombocytopenia in patients treated with rhenium-186-HEDP: guidelines for individual dosage recommendations. *J Nucl Med*. 1994;35:1423-1428.
20. Silberstein EB, Williams C. Strontium-89 therapy for the pain of osseous metastases. *J Nucl Med*. 1985;26:345-348.







**PREGNANCY**

**NURSING MOTHERS**

There is no information available regarding the presence of Quadramet in breast milk. The presence of Quadramet in breast milk may be harmful to the nursing infant. Therefore, nursing mothers should not take Quadramet.

**PEDIATRIC USE**

Quadramet is not recommended for use in children. The safety and efficacy of Quadramet in children have not been established.

**ADVERSE EVENTS**

The most common adverse events observed in controlled clinical studies of Quadramet are listed in Table 6. The most common adverse events reported in controlled clinical studies of Quadramet are listed in Table 6. The most common adverse events reported in controlled clinical studies of Quadramet are listed in Table 6.

**TABLE 6: SELECTED ADVERSE EVENTS REPORTED IN  $\geq 1.0\%$  OF PEOPLE WHO RECEIVED QUADRAMET OR PLACEBO IN CONTROLLED CLINICAL TRIALS**

ADVERSE EVENT	Quadramet 10 mg/50 mg	Placebo
# Patients with Any Adverse Event	72 (69.2%)	72 (69.2%)
Body As A Whole	50 (48.2%)	100 (95.9%)
Part: Face/Redness	5 (4.9%)	14 (13.5%)
Cardiovascular	19 (18.3%)	32 (30.8%)
Arteritis	2 (1.9%)	10 (9.5%)
Chest Pain	1 (1.0%)	0
Hypertension	0	6 (5.7%)
Hypotension	2 (1.9%)	4 (3.8%)
Digestive	41 (39.3%)	82 (78.7%)
Abdominal Pain	12 (11.5%)	12 (11.5%)
Diarrhea	3 (2.9%)	12 (11.5%)
Nausea &/or Vomiting	37 (35.4%)	12 (11.5%)
Hematologic & Lymphatic	12 (11.5%)	54 (51.5%)
Coagulation Disorder	0	5 (4.8%)
Hemoglobin Decreased	21 (20.2%)	91 (87.2%)
Leukopenia	6 (5.7%)	116 (111.3%)
Lymphadenopathy	0	4 (3.8%)
Any Bleeding Manifestations*	8 (7.7%)	37 (35.4%)
Eczema	1 (1.0%)	3 (2.9%)
Eosinophilia	1 (1.0%)	3 (2.9%)
Infection	10 (9.5%)	34 (32.5%)
Fungal and/or Chlamy	10 (9.5%)	17 (16.2%)
Infect on Not Specified	4 (3.8%)	14 (13.5%)
Grp. Moniliasis	1 (1.0%)	4 (3.8%)
Pruritus	1 (1.0%)	3 (2.9%)
Musculoskeletal	28 (27.0%)	55 (52.3%)
Myasthenia	8 (7.7%)	13 (12.4%)
Parotid Fracture	2 (1.9%)	5 (4.8%)
Nervous	39 (37.3%)	59 (56.0%)
Dizziness	1 (1.0%)	8 (7.7%)
Parosmia	7 (6.7%)	4 (3.8%)
Spinal Cord Compression	0	13 (12.4%)
Cerebrovascular Accident/Stroke	0	2 (1.9%)
Respiratory	24 (22.9%)	35 (33.5%)
Bradycardia/Heart Block	2 (1.9%)	8 (7.7%)
Special Senses	11 (10.5%)	11 (10.5%)
Skin & Appendages	17 (16.2%)	15 (14.3%)
Hair	2 (1.9%)	7 (6.7%)

\*Includes reports of fingernail discoloration and reports of hair loss.

**OVERDOSSAGE**  
The safety and efficacy of Quadramet in children have not been established. The safety and efficacy of Quadramet in children have not been established.

**DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION:** The recommended dosage of Quadramet is 10 mg/50 mg twice daily with meals. The safety and efficacy of Quadramet in children have not been established.

**ADVERSE EVENTS**  
The most common adverse events observed in controlled clinical studies of Quadramet are listed in Table 6. The most common adverse events reported in controlled clinical studies of Quadramet are listed in Table 6.

**TABLE 7**

70 kg ADULT	Radical Dose	Radical
mg/kg	1.54	0.0041
6.76	0.097	0.0026
25.0	3.69	0.010
Radical Dose	0.065	0.018
Radical Dose	0.037	0.011
Radical Dose	0.032	0.0086
Radical Dose	0.028	0.0076
Radical Dose	0.023	0.0062
Radical Dose	0.020	0.0054
Radical Dose	0.019	0.0051
Radical Dose	0.018	0.0049
Radical Dose	0.016	0.0041

**HOW SUPPLIED**  
Quadramet is supplied in a single-dose 10 mL glass vial containing 1850  $\pm$  185 MBq/mL (50  $\pm$  5 MBq/mL) of <sup>131</sup>I sodium iodide. The vial is sealed with a tamper-evident cap and includes a tamper-evident seal. The vial is supplied in a single-dose 10 mL glass vial containing 1850  $\pm$  185 MBq/mL (50  $\pm$  5 MBq/mL) of <sup>131</sup>I sodium iodide.