



Thomas W. Doering, Manager Performance Assessment Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC 1180 Town Center Drive Las Vegas, NV 89144

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) BSC(V)-02-D-119 RESULTING FROM THE BECHTEL SAIC COMPANY, LLC (BSC) QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT BSC-SA-02-025 OF STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY ASSOCIATES, INC.

BSC's Quality Assurance organization has verified implementation of corrective action for DR BSC(V)-02-D-119 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the DR has been closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either Robert D. Habbe at (702) 295-1631 or Daniel A. Klimas at (702) 295-2665.

Donald T. Krisha, Manager

Quality Assurance

Date Signed

RDH:bw-0613022979

Enclosure:

DR BSC(V)-02-D-119

NMS87

June 14, 2002 Page 2

cc w/encl:

L. W. Bradshaw, Nye County, Pahrump, NV

Margaret Chu, DOE/HQ (RW-1) FORS

J. R. Dyer, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

W. J. Glasser, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

Birdie Hamilton-Ray, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

C. E. Hampton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Henderson, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Horton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

D. A. Klimas, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

D. T. Krisha, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

S. P. Mellington, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

Ram Murthy, DOE/OQA, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

R. E. Powe, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

J. M. Replogle, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD

D. D. vonderLinden, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

Engelbrecht von Tiesenhausen, Clark County, Las Vegas, NV

T. J. Wall, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

B. L. Wilson, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

cc w/encl:

G. M. Gordon, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

R. D. Habbe, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

Yehuda Krampfner, SI, San Jose, CA

J. H. Lee, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

S. A. Silva, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

Tammy Summers, BSC/LLNL, Las Vegas, NV

NMSSOT EN MI

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C

8. ☑ Deficiency Report
☐ Corrective Action Report

No. BSC(V)-02-D-119

Page 1 of

				. 08	,0 1 0,	QA: QA
DEFICIENCY REPOR	T/CORI	RECTIVE ACTION	REPO	RT		
1. Controlling Document: (Document ID and Revision or Date) Structural Integrity Quality Procedure (SIQP) SIQP-16, Revision 6		I	2. Related R BSC-SA-0			
3. Responsible Organization: BSC Performance Assessment / Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. (SI)		cussed With: da Krampfner (SI) and J	oon Lee (B	SC)		
5. Requirement:						
SIQP-16, Revision 6, Section 3.0 states: "The company-w comprehensive assessment of the QA Program."	vide implei	mentation of the progran	n is evaluat	ed annua	ally by a	
6. Description of Condition:			····			
Contrary to the requirement:						!!
	.0, Procure Section 12	ement and Purchasing; S	ection 9.0, orming Pro	Inspection	on and Te	sting;
Timed rame	Date		·········			
10. Recommended Actions: Take the necessary action to evaluate or establish that the implemented.	areas of th	ne Quality Program not o	evaluated a	re being	satisfacto	rily
		42 Banance Duo Date:		THIS	ORIG IS A R	INAL ED STAMP
11. QAR Review: Robert D. Habbe DA Walle S-15-	02	12 Response Due Date:				
	Date	20 Working day	s after issua	ance.		
13. QAM Issuance Approval:	<u></u> .					
Printed Name Donald T. Krisha	Signa	iture Jahry	ule /s	ין שיר	5/16/0	Date
14. Corrective Actions Verified/Closure: Policy D. Ha	ale	15. QAM Closure Approve		1.	1 6/	/4/
Robert D. Habbe 6-10-0 QAR Printed Name Signature 6-10-0 Template AP161-7, 03/25/2002	Date	Donald T. Krisha Printed Name		nature	ha-	My/D ₂ Date

Submittal Page _ of OFFICE OF OFFICE OF OFFICE OF OLIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE OF OLIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE OF								
2. Check if Amended Check if Amended Check if Amended Processing 3. Extended Processing 4. Extent of Condition: (Amended response will be required if all Extent of Orndition Investigations are not complete and documented berein) The SI Internal Audit report for 2001 did not address the following Program sections: 1. Management Responsibility 5. Document Control 7. Extended Processing 7. Extended Proces	Submittal Page of							
3. Extended Processing (S) No. [17-set tryes, submit Participation Processing								
Bislanded Processing requests. WASHINGTON, D.C. DRIGINAL Extended Processing requests. WASHINGTON, D.C. DRIGINAL Processing requests. **PEFICIENCY REPORT/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT COMPLETE RESPONSE** 4. Extent of Condition, furnerinded responses will be required if all Extent of Condition investigations are not completes and documented herein) The SI Internal Audit report for 2001 did not address the following Program sections: 1.0. Management Responsibility 2.0. Drough of Neurostimaing Product 1.0. Images and the string 1.0. Images and the strin	Check if also Initial Response 🛛	so Initial Response CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT Page of			Page of	A. O.		
DEFICIENCY REPORT/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT COMPLETE RESPONSE 4. Extent of Condition: (Amended response will be required if all Extent of Condition investigations are not complete and documented herein) The SI Internal Audit report for 2001 did not address the following Program sections: 1.0 Menagement Responsibility 5.0 Document Control 9.0 Inspection and Testing 10.0 Document Control 1.0 Control of Nonconforming Product 13.0 Corrective Action The SI Internal Audit for 2000 was found to be a complete audit of all QA Program Sections. The SI Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 5. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 6. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 03. 7. Impact (Provide an impact as Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed by \$1 under control will be \$1.0 A Program as Internal Audit for 2002 and 16.0 was excluded early and was Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed by \$1.0 A Program as Internal Audit for 2002 and 16.0 was excluded early and 16.0 was excluded in Mach 2001 and 19.0 A Program in performed in Early 10.0 A Program in Sections 10, 5.0 and 13.0 Internal Audit for 50 and 19.0 A Program Sections 10,		I.		L		A: QA		
DEFICIENCY REPORT/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT COMPLETE RESPONSE 4. Extent of Condition: (Amended response will be required if all Extent of Condition Investigations are not complete and occurrented herein) The SI Internal Audit report for 2001 did not address the following Program sections: 1.0. Management Responsibility 12.0. Control of Netrocortioning Product 13.0. Document Control 13.0. Corrective Action 14.0. Management Responsibility 15.0. Inspectic many Testing 15.0. Document Control 15.0. Corrective Action 15.0. Corrective Action 15.0. Corrective Action 15.0. Audits 16.0. Audits 16.0. Audits 16.0. Audits 17.0. Audits 17.0. Audits 18.0. Inspect (Provide an impact statement relative to wasts isolation and safety, and impact to other work, if any) The quality of SI work, as a whole, was not impeded by the condition listed in 4', above, and specifically, the work performed by SI under contract with BSC was also not impacted. Program sections 5.0. 9.0. 10 and 12.0 are not applicable to the work scaped of the SIC contract. Lis. Si does not provide such found to be safesfactory. Examples are the following: A. Accomplements and the Bis SIO Approgram was conducted in 10 and 200 by the PIC. This sault evaluated the complete program and resulted in no findings. The concluding comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural Integrity has a very effective quality program. It is salisfactory implemented." B. The internal SI audit for 2000 was conducted in Narch 2001, and reviewed by the SIC audit druing the (May '02) audit, it also indicated no deficience in the section sections is lated in his DIK. On Pice SIC Original of the SIC Application of the SIC Control of the SIC Cont		WASHI	NGTON, D.C.		- 1			
4. Extent of Condition: (Amended response will be required if all Extent of Condition investigations are not complete and documented herein) The SI Internal Audit report for 2001 did not address the following Program sections: 1.0 Management Responsibility 9.0 Inspection and Testing 1.0 Linguistic Provides an impact statement relative to weste isolation and safety, and impact to other work, if any) The quality of SI work, as a whole, was not impacted by the condition instead in 4", above, and specifically, the work performed by SI under contract with BSC instead. In SI contract the Audit for 2000 was found to be a Complete audit of all QA Program sections. The SI Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 103. The quality of SI work, as a whole, was not impacted by the condition instead in 4", above, and specifically, the work performed by SI under contract with BSC contract, i.e. SI does not provide such the condition of the SI QA Program (in Section 1.0, 5.0, 1.0, 3.0, and 16.0) was evaluated noticents in Canada to the side state of the side of		LEDODTICODDECTIVE	ACTION DEPONT OO	4D) ET				
The SI Internal Audit report for 2001 did not address the following Program sections: 1.0 Management Responsibility 5.0 Dicement Control 1.0 Integration and Testing 1.0 Integration and Testing 1.0 Integration and Testing 1.0 Control (Nancoknoming Product 1.3.0 Corrective Action 1.5.1 Control (Nancoknoming) product 1.5.0 Audits 1.5.0 Audits 1.5.1 Integral Audit for 2000 was found to be a complete audit of all QA Program Sections. The SI Internal Audit for 2002 will be performed in early 0.5. Impact (Provide an impact statement reliative to waste isolation and safety, and impact to other work, if any) The quality of SI work, as a whole, was again practiced by the condition listed in 14°, above, and specifically, the work performed by SI under contract with BSC was also not impacted. Program sections 6.0, 9.0, 10 and 1.0 are not applicable to the work scope of the BSC contract, i.e. SI does not provide such tound to be safetiscatory, Examples are the following: A A comprehensive suit of the SI OA Program was conducted in June 2001 by NUPIC. This audit evaluated the complete program and resulted in no findings. The concluding comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Siructural integrity has a very effective quality program, it is satisfactority implemented." B. The internal SI audit for 2000 was conducted in March 2001, and reviewed by the BSC auditor during the (May '02) audit. It also indicated no deficiencies in the sections listed in this DR. C. Several short client audits conducted since Dec. 01 found the SI OA Program implementation satisfactory in the satisfactory in the section of the program in Sections 1.0, 5 and 1.0 state of the program in Sections 1.0, 5 and 1.0 state of the program in Sections 1.0 state of the program in Sections 1.0 state of the program in Sections 1.0 state of the SI Quality program in the subject of the SI Quality program in the program during the report of the SI Quality program in the program during the program during the program sections of the SI Quality program sections of								
1.0 Management Responsibility 9.0 Inspection and Testing 1.0 Control of Nonconforming Product 13.0 Corrective Action 15.0 Corrective Action 16.0 Audits 17.0 Control of Nonconforming Product 15.0 Corrective Action 17.0 Corrective				inpiete and	documented nerein)			
9.0 Inspection and Testing 10.0 Inspection, Measuring and Test Equipment 12.0 Control (Nonconforming Product 13.0 Corrective Advisor 15.0 Corrective 15.0 Corrective Advisor 15.0 Corrective Advisor 15.0 Corrective 15.0 Corrective Advisor 15.0 Corrective Advisor 15.0 Corrective 15.0 Corr				60 Proc	curement and Purchasing			
E. Impact: (Provide an impact statement reletive to waste isolation and safety, and impact to other work, if any) The quality of SI work, as a whole, was not impacted by the condition listed in it*, above, and specifically, the work performed by SI under contract with BSC was also not impacted. Program sections 6.0, 9.0, 10.0 and 12.0 are not applicable to the work scope of the BSC contract, i.e. SI does not provide such such as the second of the SI OA Program (in Sections 1.0, 5.0, 13.0), and 10.0) was evaluated recently and was found to be satisfactory. Examples are the following: A. A comprehensive audit of the SI OA Program was conducted in June 2001 by NUPIC. This audit weaksated the complete program and resulted in no Indings. The conducting comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural Integrity has a very effective quality program. It is satisfactorily in Indings. The conduction comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural Integrity has a very effective quality program. It is satisfactorily to The Indings. The conduction is satisfactorily in Indings. The conduction is satisfactorily to the Indings. The conduction is satisfactorily to the Indings. The conduction is satisfactory. B. The internal SI audit for 2000 was conducted in March 2001, and reviewed by the BSC auditor during the (May '02) audit. It also indicated no deficiencies in the sections listed in this BC. C. Several short client audits conducted since Dec. 01 found the SI QA Program implementation astisfactory. D. The BSC project file (as all of SIs project files) is monitored protricidal; In The last survey was on 4/22/02. The purpose of project file monitoring is to assure that the content of the file is complete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project file monitoring is to assure that the content of the file is complete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project file monitoring is to assure that the content of the file is complete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project file monitoring is	9.0 Inspection and Testing 10.0 Inspection, Measuring and Test Equipment							
The quality of SI work, as a whole, was not impacted by the condition listed in "4", above, and specificatly, the work performed by SI under contract with BSC was also not impacted. Program sections 6.0, 9.0, 10.0 and 12.0 are not applicable to the work scope of the BSC contract, i.e. SI does not provide such services to BSC. Furthermore, the satisfactory implementation of the SI QA Program (in Sections 1.0, 5.0, 13.0, and 16.0) was evaluated recently and was conducted in June 2001 by NUPIC. This audit evaluated the complete program and resulted in no findings. The concluding comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural integrity has a very effective quality program. It is satisfactorily implemented." A. A comprehensive audit of the SI QA Program was conducted in June 2001 by NUPIC. This audit evaluated the complete program and resulted in no findings. The concluding comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural integrity has a very effective quality program. It is satisfactorily implemented." B. The internal SI audit for 2000 was conducted in March 2001, and reviewed by the BSC auditor during the (May '02) audit. It also indicated no deficiencies in the sections listed in this DR. C. Several short client audits conducted since Dec. 01 found the SI QA Program implementation satisfactory. D. The BSC project file (as all of SI's project files) is monitored periodically. The last survey was on 4/22/02. The purpose of project file monitoring is to assure that the content of the file is complete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project was forcomplete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project was forcomplete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project was fedicively performed in accordance with the required size survey was on 4/22/02. The purpose of project file monitoring its to assure that the SI QA Program was satisfactorily implemented in calendar years 2000, 2001 and early 2002, while items if and or calendar years 2001 and early 2002, while items if and contractive	The SI Internal Audit for 2000 was fou	ind to be a complete audit of all QA I	Program Sections. The SI Internal	Audit for 200	02 will be performed in early '	03.		
was also not impacted. Program sections 6.0, 9.0, 1.0.0 and 12.0 are not applicable to the work scope of the BSC contract, i.e. Sit does not provide such services to BSC, burthermore, the satistactory implementation of the SI QA Program (in Sections 1.0, 5.0, 13.0, and 16.0) was evaluated recently and was found to be satisfactory. Examples are the following: A A comprehensive audit of the SI QA Program was conducted in June 2001 by NUPIC. This audit evaluated the complete program and resulted in no findings. The concluding comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural Integrity has a very effective quality program. It is satisfactorily implemented." B. The internal SI audit for 2000 was conducted in March 2001, and reviewed by the BSC auditor during the (May '02) audit. It also indicated no deficiencies in the sections listed in this DR. C. Several short client audits conducted since Dec. 01 found the SI QA Program implementation satisfactory. D. The BSC project file (as all of SI's project files) is monitored periodically. The last survey was on 4/22/02. The purpose of project file monitoring is to assure that the content of the file is complete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project sometimes discovered minor administrative issues that were corrected within the required this SI was implementing an effective program in Sections 1.0, 5.0 and 13.0. E. The recent BSC audit BSC SA-02-025 found that SI was implementing an effective program in Sections 1.0, 5.0 and 13.0. E. Was a section of Cemenstrate that the SI QA Program was satisfactorily implemented in calendar years 2000, 2001 and early 2002, while items of an administrative interval of the Extent of Conditions. 10.0 current that the BSC project was effectively performed in accordance with the required BSC quality criteria. Remedial Actions: (Document lat actions necessary to address the results of the Extent of Condition). No remedial actions are necessary because adequate documentation is available to substantiate that all program								
no findings. The concluding comment of the NUPIC audit report was "Structural Integrity has a very effective quality program. It is satisfactorily implemented." The Internal SI audit for 2000 was conducted in March 2001, and reviewed by the BSC auditor during the (May '02) audit. It also indicated no deficiencies in the sections listed in this DR. Several short client audits conducted since Dec. 01 found the SI QA Program implementation satisfactory. The BSC project file (sal of SI's project files) is monitored periodically. The last survey was on 4/22/02. The purpose of project file monitoring is to assure that the content of the file is complete and accurate. Previous monitoring of the BSC project sometimes discovered minor administrative issues that were corrected within the required time. The recent BSC audit BSC-SA-02-025 found that SI was implementing an effective program in Sections 1.0, 5.0 and 13.0. Items 'A' B' and 'C' demonstrate that the SI QA Program was satisfactorily implemented in calendar years 2000, 2001 and early 2002, while items 'p' and 'D' further demonstrate that the BSC project was effectively performed in accordance with the required BSC quality criteria. For Remedial Actions: (Document all actions necessary to address the results of the Extent of Condition). No remedial actions are necessary because adequate documentation is available to substantiate that all program sections of the SI quality program that required implementation for the BSC contract were effectively implemented in calendar year 2001. The Root Cause (For a significant CAO, attached results of formal root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q) Apparent Cause The second second of the SSC Contract were effectively implemented in calendar year 2001. The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit that did not address all terms of the SI QA Program. Second of the CAP Program. Printed Name Signature Date The SI QA Monogram is sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager will addr	was also not impacted. Program secti services to BSC. Furthermore, the sat found to be satisfactory. Examples are A. A comprehensive audit of th	ons 6.0, 9.0, 10.0 and 12.0 are not a iisfactory implementation of the SI Q. e the following: ne SI QA Program was conducted in	pplicable to the work scope of the 8 A Program (in Sections 1.0, 5.0, 13 June 2001 by NUPIC. This audit e	SSC contract.0, and 16.0	ct, i.e. SI does not provide suc) was evaluated recently and	ted in		
tems 'A' 'B' and 'C' demonstrate that the SI CA Program was satisfactorily implemented in calendar years 2000, 2001 and early 2002, while items 'F and 'D' further demonstrate that the BSC project was effectively performed in accordance with the required BSC quality criteria. 6. Remedial Actions: (Document all actions necessary to address the results of the Extent of Condition) No remedial actions are necessary because adequate documentation is available to substantiate that all program sections of the SI quality program that required implementation for the BSC contract were effectively implemented in calendar year 2001. 7. □ Root Cause (For a significant CAQ, attached results of formal root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q) Apparent Cause It was necessary to employ a contractor to perform the 2001 internal audit, as all suitable SI personnel were involved with the program during the year 2001, and therefore could not serve as unbiased auditors. Furthermore, this audit was performed shortly after the assignment of a new QA manager at SI. These two factors resulted in an internal audit that did not address all items of the SI QA Program. 8. Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Address those actions necessary to prevent the identified cause from recurring) The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: 10. Responsible Manager: Printed Name 11. QAR Evaluation: Accept Partially Accept Reject Reject Reject Revealuation: Re-evaluated for significance Printed Name Signature Date	no findings. The concluding implemented." B. The internal SI audit for 200 deficiencies in the sections. C. Several short client audits c. D. The BSC project file (as all to assure that the content of issues that were corrected v.	comment of the NUPIC audit report 00 was conducted in March 2001, and listed in this DR. conducted since Dec. 01 found the SI of SI's project files) is monitored peri f the file is complete and accurate. P within the required time.	was "Structural Integrity has a very dreviewed by the BSC auditor during QA Program implementation satist odically. The last survey was on 4/2 revious monitoring of the BSC projections."	effective quant the (May factory. 22/02. The pect sometime	pality program. It is satisfactor '02' audit. It also indicated no burpose of project file monitories discovered minor administ	rily o ing is trative		
No remedial actions are necessary because adequate documentation is available to substantiate that all program sections of the SI quality program that required implementation for the BSC contract were effectively implemented in calendar year 2001. 7. Root Cause (For a significant CAQ, attached results of formal root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q) It was necessary to employ a contractor to perform the 2001 internal audit, as all suitable SI personnel were involved with the program during the year 2001, and therefore could not serve as unbiased auditors. Furthermore, this audit was performed shortly after the assignment of a new QA manager at SI. These two factors resulted in an internal audit that did not address all items of the SI QA Program. 8. Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Address those actions necessary to prevent the identified cause from recurring) The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: NA Robert D. Habbe 10. Responsible Manager: Printed Name Signature Date Date	'D' further demonstrate that the BSC p	project was effectively performed in a	ccordance with the required BSC q	00, 2001 an uality criteri	d early 2002, while items $\dot{\mathcal{F}}$:	and		
7. Root Cause (For a significant CAQ, attached results of formal root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q) Apparent Cause It was necessary to employ a contractor to perform the 2001 internal audit, as all suitable SI personnel were involved with the program during the year 2001, and therefore could not serve as unbiased auditors. Furthermore, this audit was performed shortly after the assignment of a new QA manager at SI. These two factors resulted in an internal audit that did not address all items of the SI QA Program. 8. Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Address those actions necessary to prevent the identified cause from recurring) The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: NA 10. Responsible Manager: Re-evaluated for significance Printed Name 11. QAR Evaluation: Accept Partially Accept Reject Printed Name Signature Date Date			•					
It was necessary to employ a contractor to perform the 2001 internal audit, as all suitable SI personnel were involved with the program during the year 2001, and therefore could not serve as unbiased auditors. Furthermore, this audit was performed shortly after the assignment of a new QA manager at SI. These two factors resulted in an internal audit that did not address all items of the SI QA Program. 8. Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Address those actions necessary to prevent the identified cause from recurring) The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager words a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: NA 10. Responsible Manager: Robert D. Habbe 11. QAR Evaluation: Accept Partially Accept Reject Donald T. Krisha Frinted Name Signature Date	No remedial actions are necessary be required implementation for the BSC of	cause adequate documentation is available and contract were effectively implemented	/ailable to substantiate that all prog d in calendar year 2001.	ram section:	s of the SI quality program tha	at		
2001, and therefore could not serve as unbiased auditors. Furthermore, this audit was performed shortly after the assignment of a new QA manager at SI. These two factors resulted in an internal audit that did not address all items of the SI QA Program. 8. Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Address those actions necessary to prevent the identified cause from recurring) The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: N/A 10. Responsible Manager: Robert D. Habbe 12. QAM Concurrence: Donald T. Krisha Signature Date	7. ☐ Root Cause (For a significant C ☐ Apparent Cause	AQ, attached results of formal root of	cause determination prepared in ac	cordance wi	th AP-16.4Q)			
The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: N/A 10. Responsible Manager: Robert Hondows Signature Partially Accept Partially Accept Reject 12. QAM Concurrence: Population: Re-evaluated for significance Population: N/A Donald T. Krisha Printed Name Signature Date	2001, and therefore could not serve as	s unbiased auditors. Furthermore, thi	s audit was performed shortly after	ivolved with the assignn	the program during the year nent of a new QA manager at	:SI.		
The SI QA Manager will assure that the 2002 internal audit, to be conducted in early '03, will address all program sections. To ensure this, the SI QA Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002 to the BSC project file requesting the project manager to verify that the 2002 internal annual audit address all program sections of the QA Program. 9. Due Date for Completion of Corrective Action: N/A 10. Responsible Manager: Robert Hondows Signature Partially Accept Partially Accept Reject 12. QAM Concurrence: Population: Re-evaluated for significance Population: N/A Donald T. Krisha Printed Name Signature Date	8 Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Ac	Idress those actions necessary to pro	event the identified cause from reci	urring)				
Robert Henders &	The SI QA Manager will assure that th Manager wrote a memo on 5/31/2002	e 2002 internal audit, to be conducte	ed in early '03, will address all progr	am sections	s. To ensure this, the SI QA al annual audit address all			
Robert Henders &	9 Due Date for Completion of Correct	ive Action	10 Responsible Manager		<i>,</i>			
11. QAR Evaluation: Accept Partially Accept Reject Re-evaluated for significance Columbia. Habbe 12. QAM Concurrence: Donald T. Krisha Printed Name Signature Date			Robert Henderson	Signature	el 6-5-	02		
Robert D. Habbe Printed Name Signature Date		Partially Accept Reject			11. 1 %			
				Signature				

Signature

Date

Printed Name

Submittan a	ge 0
l OR	IGINAL
	red

Cubmittal Daga

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

☑ DR/CAR/QO □ SWO
No: BSC(V)-02-D-119
PageofQA: QA

CONDITION ADVERSE TO QUALITY CONTINUATION PAGE

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF DR BSC(V)-02-D-119

This verification was based on a review of documentation provided by Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. (SI).

Verification of Impact Determinations:

The QAR performed a review of the NUPIC Audit Report dated 06/29/2001 of SI. QAR verified that all SI QA Program elements were audited and that there were no findings.

The QAR performed a review of the SI Internal Audit Report for FY2000 and verified that all SI QA Program elements were audited during this audit.

The QAR performed a review of Audit Reports by PCI Energy Services dated 03/18/2002 and Transnuclear West, Inc. dated 01/02/2002. Both audits indicate that SI was effectively implementing its QA Program and that there were no audit findings.

The QAR performed a review of the SI internal quality monitoring form, dated 04/22/2002 for the BSC project. Periodic monitoring indicates that all work on the BSC project has been completed in accordance with QA Program requirements.

Verification of Action to Preclude Recurrence:

The QAR performed a review of a SI Memorandum dated 05/31/2002 from Y. Krampfner, SI QA Manager, to B. M. Gordon, SI Project Manager, requiring that the Project Manager verify that all elements of the SI QA Program have been audited at the completion of the FY2002 internal SI audit.

A review of the extent of condition did not indicate that this DR is a significant condition.

The documentation provided and the corrective actions taken provide satisfactory implementation of correction actions for this DR. Based on the above, this DR is considered closed.

Robert D. Habbe

Date Signed