Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Deposition of Wilson Cooper McArthur

Docket Number: 50-390-CivP; ASLBP No.: 01-791-01-CivP

Location:

Chattanooga, Tennessee

Date:

Thursday, December 13, 2001

Work Order No.: NRC-140

Pages 1-123

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD
5	DEPOSITION
6	x
7	In the Matter of: :
8	: Docket Nos. 50-390-CivP
9	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY: 50-327-CivP; 50-328-CivP
10	: 50-259-CivP; 50-260-CivP
11	(Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, : 50-296-CivP
12	Unit 1; Sequoyah Nuclear :
13	Plant, Units 1&2; Browns : ASLBP No. 01-791-01-CivP
14	Ferry Nuclear Plant, : EA 99-234
15	Units 1, 2 & 3) :
16	x
17	Thursday
18	December 13, 2001
19	Deposition of:
20	WILSON COOPER MCARTHUR,
21	was taken by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
22	pursuant to Notice, commencing at 10:10 a.m., on at
23	the offices of Tennessee Valley Authority, Lucy's
24	Branch, Lookout Mountain Building, 11th and Market
25	Streets, Chattanooga, Tennessee.

.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
3	DENNIS C. DAMBLY, Attorney
4	JENNIFER M. EUCHNER, Attorney
5	NICK HILTON
6	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7	Washington, D.C. 20555
8	
9	On behalf of Tennessee Valley Authority:
10	BRENT R. MARQUAND, Attorney
11	ED VIGLUICCI, Attorney
12	Tennessee Valley Authority
13	400 West Summit Hill Drive
14	Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-1499
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

			4
1		I-N-D-E-X	
2	<u>Number</u>	Description	<u>Paqe</u>
3	1	7/25/93 Memo re Jocher v. TVA	24
4	2	6/12/94 <u>Dayton Herald News</u> article	50
5	3	Vacant Position Announcement	6
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	5
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:10 a.m.)
3	Whereupon,
4	WILSON COOPER MCARTHUR
5	appeared as a witness herein and, having been first
6	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. DAMBLY:
9	Q Would you please state your name for the
10	record?
11	A Wilson Cooper McArthur.
12	Q And where do you presently live?
13	A Well, I have a home in Provo but I'm
14	living in Salt Lake on a church mission for the Church
15	of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Q All right. And are you employed at all
21	now?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you I guess we heard something that
24	you're working with the Olympic Committee.

÷ ...

6 I'm the Public Affairs Director 1 А for 2 interface with the Olympic Committee. 3 0 Could be a pretty busy couple of months 4 coming up. 5 Α Yeah. We just interviewed Bob DeWalters 6 and Tom Brokaw last week getting ready for the 7 Olympics, so --8 In preparing for the deposition today, did 0 9 you review any documents? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q What documents did you review? 12 А Previous testimonies I had given and some 13 other information. I think the information was given 14 down in Atlanta by TVA. 15 Q At the enforcement conference? 16 Α Yes. 17 Did you have those statements or were they . Q 18 provided to you? 19 А Provided to me. 20 0 By whom? 21 Α Ed Vigluicci. 22 Okay. Q 23 Α And Brent Marquand. 24 0 Did you meet with Mr. Marquand and Mr. 25 Vigluicci?

(202) 234-4433

1 А Yes. 2 Other than providing you those documents, 0 3 did they discuss any of the depositions that have 4 taken place in this case? 5 They discussed the information I had read Α 6 through and that was basically the things we covered, 7 they asked me questions. 8 I'm speaking of depositions that have been 0 9 taken now in the NRC case, like we've deposed Mr. 10 Kent, Mr. Cox -- did they talk to you about any of 11 those? 12 Α No. 13 Okay. In this -- through the course of 0 14 the Jocher and the Fiser DOL complaints and the NRC 15 investigation, you have given several statements to 16 TVA's IG. 17 А Yes. 18 When they interview you, do they put you 0 19 under oath? I'm pretty sure -- I don't know for sure, 20 Α but I think they do -- I'm pretty sure they do. Isn't 21 22 that standard procedure? MR. MARQUAND: I don't know what the 23 24 standard procedure is.

8 1 THE WITNESS: I don't really recall for 2 sure. 3 BY MR. DAMBLY: What is your educational background? 4 0 5 А I have a B.S. in physics from East 6 Carolina University, a Masters in radiation physics 7 from University of North Carolina with a major in 8 nuclear engineering at North Carolina State. 9 A major in nuclear engineering? 0 10 Minor in nuclear engineering. А 11 0 Oh, minor. 12 Α Major in radiation physics, a Ph.D. in 13 radiation physics from Purdue University. 14 Q In any of those three degrees, did you 15 take courses on radiochemistry? 16 Α Yes. 17 When, where? 0 18 In all cases, I took several courses in Α 19 the B.S., you know, normal quantitative chemistry, qualitative chemistry and took advanced chemistry 20 courses at both Carolina and at Purdue. 21 22 0 Now after you got out, Okay. your 23 professional employment, did you work between the B.S. 24 and M.S. or did you go all the way through school 25 before you started working?

(202) 234-4433

9 Α I worked some between the Masters and the 1 2 Ph.D. You want a work history? 3 Right. 0 My first employment was with Nuclear 4 А 5 Chicago out of Chicago. 6 0 What were you doing there? 7 I was design engineer for various pieces А of a program for counting equipment. They Baltimore 8 the Cutie Pie and some of those kinds of things back 9 10 then. So I was involved with that group. I also installed accelerators at universities and research 11 labs. And then I went on for the Ph.D. and -- because 12 13 I was actually installing an accelerator at Purdue and 14 it made some sense to do that. And when I left Purdue, I went to Carolina 15 Power & Light Company and was the principal engineer 16 for the Shearon Harris project and for their retrofit 17 projects at Brunswick and at -- I can't think of the 18 name of the other plant, one down in South Carolina. 19 What are the functions of a principal 20 0 engineer? 21 А I was responsible for the design and 22 construction, interface. I dealt with -- we did a lot 23 of our own engineering design and a company Ebasco, 24 you're probably familiar with, out of New York, did 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	10
1	some of the design. So I oversaw what they did, plus
2	what we did internally.
3	Q Did you have a staff?
4	A Yes.
5	Q How large?
6	A About 400 people.
7	Q 400 people under you.
8	A Somewhere in there, I don't remember exact
9	number but it was something like that.
10	Q And after you were done with Carolina
11	Power & Light, where did you go?
12	A I was the Vice President and General
13	Manager of a company called Hittman Nuclear out of
14	Columbia, Maryland.
15	Q Pittman?
16	A Hittman, H-i-t-t-m-a-n. Hittman Nuclear
17	& Development Corporation. I was the Vice President
18	& General Manager and we designed and Baltimore rad
19	waste handling equipment. We also had teams of people
20	that went to facilities and solidified radioactive
21	waste. We also did consulting work in those areas.
22	Q Okay. And when did you leave that job?
23	A God, I don't know.
24	Q Long time ago.
25	A Yes.

1

Where did you go after that? 1 0 A company called Tera, T-e-r-a, out in 2 Α California and there I was the Vice President of 3 Engineering Consulting for them. 4 Is that the organization that was working 5 0 6 on some carousels for the NRC? That's correct, yeah. 7 Α Took a lot of depositions in that case, 8 0 9 but you weren't one of them. No, I wasn't involved. I was in the same 10 А building in Washington for awhile, but I stayed away 11 I understand they had some real 12 from that one. 13 problems with that. Then I went to a company called EDS 14 Nuclear, which later became a part of Impell. I was 15 in the same position, engineering -- Vice President of 16 Engineering Consulting. 17 And then I left there to start my own 18 company, a company called KLM, stood for Kanazeras, 19 Lemmon and McArthur, and we were in California and I 20 did that for a period of time. Then we sold that 21 company to a company called Quadrex, you may have 22 heard of them. 23 Uh-huh. 24 0

1 А Then I left. I had a year's assignment 2 once we sold the company, to go to Quadrex and get 3 them in the decommissioning business. So I spent a 4 year developing plans and making contacts for Quadrex 5 in that area. Then I came to TVA in 1990. 1990. How did you get to TVA, was there 6 0 7 a vacancy, did someone call you up that knew you, what? 8 Yeah, Ike Zeringue, who is the Chairman of 9 Α 10 the Board, used to work with me at Carolina Power & Light -- isn't that terrible that a guy you used to 11 work for -- called me up and asked me would I be 12 interested in coming here to start up a technical 13 programs organization. So I came in and interviewed 14 as a result of his contact. 15 Okay. And that job was the technical --16 0 Manager, Technical Programs. 17 Α And the functions you had at that time? 18 0 Let's see -- radiation control; chemistry; 19 Α environmental; the ERMI, which is the laboratory 20 facilities for TVA; industrial safety; emergency 21 preparedness and at that point in time I had security, 22 I was over 400 security people. 23 Did you have fire protection? 24 0

	13
1	A Yeah, that's right, there were they had
2	a protective services group which consisted of
3	security and fire protection.
4	Q Okay. Now how many total people did you
5	have under you?
6	A Gosh, 140 or something like that.
7	Q Excluding the security?
8	A Oh, not counting security. I didn't count
9	security, I didn't know anything about it, so
10	(Laughter.)
11	A They were just under me.
12	Q So you had 400 plus 140 or so?
13	A Yeah, probably 5-600 people.
14	Q And how long were you in that job?
15	A Several years, probably about three years,
16	I'm guessing. Then we reorganized and I became
17	manager of radcon, chemistry I believe that's
18	correct. You'll find one of the things is I'm not
19	very good on remembering exact dates but
20	Q The thing you're talking about now is when
21	I guess they reorganized, you ended up with one group
22	and Grover with another one, or is this before that?
23	A No that was later. They actually took
24	security out. In fact, I recommended they make
25	security send it to the plants, it's not a

1 corporate function. So it made sense to me to have 2 the sites responsible for security. So they went to 3 the sites and fire protection, I had fire protection still for awhile, but eventually they went to fossil because most of the work they did was with fossil 6 organization anyway. Then we had the radcon, chemistry organization, which was radcon, chemistry, 8 environmental, emergency preparedness and the labs.

9 And then at a later date, a couple of 10 years later, we reorganized into radcon and chemistry. 11 I had hired Ron Grover in as the chemistry guy and the 12 new manager of operational support decided to have one 13 radcon person and one chemistry person. So we did 14 that for awhile and then they went back to the radcon, 15 chemistry organization.

16 0 In your job as the Technical Program 17 Manager and then I guess -- I've looked at a couple of 18 PDs and some have you doing radcon and some have 19 radcon and they have rad waste as a separate group. 20 Is that normally under -- in your first organization, 21 did you have both?

22 Α Yeah, I had both. The whole time I was here, I had rad waste with radcon. 23

Oh, okay. It was broken out separately as 24 0 25 a different function.

(202) 234-4433

4

5

	15
1	A That's correct.
2	I left that out in each one of those
3	for some reason I left that out, so it's just a
4	bunch of waste anyway, right?
5	Q Now I'm going to ask you about some people
6	from your days here at well, I guess I could ask
7	you let's see, we got through radcon. It was
8	broken out radcon and chemistry and then they
9	recombined that later, right?
10	A Right.
11	Q And when they recombined, then you became
12	radcon, chemistry manager. Is that the job you held
13	until you left?
14	A Well, about a year before I left, I think
15	it was about a year, I was taken out of the position
16	and became a direct report to Jack Bailey as a staff
17	person to him.
18	Q Oh. Did somebody else fill that position?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Who was that?
21	A Chandra, Dr I can't even say his last
22	name.
23	Q I think Bill's dealt with that before,
24	right? Chandra.

.

1 А It always ends up in all these reports as 2 S-h-o-n-d-r-a. 3 0 All right. So during the time you were here -- and is there any particular reason you were 4 5 taken out of that position and made a staff person? I don't know. My boss just called me in 6 А 7 and said that he wanted me to support -- they had some environmental concerns for Bellefonte and for Browns 8 Ferry, and so they decided they needed somebody to 9 spend some time, plus they were getting very much into 10 the Star-7 program, so I was responsible for the Star-11 12 7 program under his direction. I'll ask about some people that you 13 0 probably encountered during your time here at TVA and 14 if you'll tell me when you met them, what your working 15 16 relationship, social relationship, whatever. 17 Α Okay. Mr. Meine. 18 0 He was over operations -- when I came 19 Α here, he was the operations -- I don't think it was a 20 VP position, if I remember correctly. And at one 21 point in time, I was acting Vice President 22 of Operations Support, so I reported to him directly for 23 a period of time. I failed to mention that when I was 24 going through, but that was just like for a year or 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

	17
1	something like that. So I dealt with him then. And
2	when he was the operations guy with plants, spent most
3	of his time out at Sequoyah and Watts Bar and Browns
4	Ferry, I interfaced with him quite a bit during that
5	period of time.
6	Q How about Bill Jocher?
7	A Bill Jocher he was chemistry, he worked
8	for me as chemistry manager here. I hired him in.
9	Q Do you recall he had a DOL complaint?
10	A Yes.
11	Q I guess Dr. Chandra.
12	A Yes. He worked for me most of the time I
13	was here. He came right after Jocher came Sam
14	Harvey and Chandra came at his recommendation. They
15	were from Texas utilities.
16	Q And Sam Harvey was also chemistry work for
17	you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Did you have any personal or social
20	interaction with Chandra?
21	A Not really.
22	Q And how about Mr. Harvey.
23	A No.
24	Q Ed Boyles.

	18
1	A He was the human resources person I dealt
2	with I really dealt with someone else in between
3	him, but I dealt with him quite often too.
4	Q Would the somebody else be Mr. Easley?
5	A Yes, Ben Easley.
6	Q Did you have a lot of interactions with
7	Ben over the years?
8	A He was directly responsible for the
9	organization from an HR standpoint.
10	Q How about Phil Reynolds?
11	A He was over HR, so I dealt with him some
12	also. But less directly than with the other two guys.
13	Q Okay. Charles Kent.
14	A He was when I first came here, he was
15	radcon manager out at Sequoyah. Later on became
16	radcon, chemistry manager, so we were sort of on a
17	peer group, he and myself, the corporate guy and the
18	three plant radcon, chemistry managers formed a peer
19	group, so I dealt with Charles quite a bit.
20	Q Did you ever supervise what was the
21	relationship between corporate and
22	A We were a support organization to the
23	sites.
24	Q Would that be similar for Mr. Corey?

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

.

	19
1	A Yes, he was the Browns Ferry radon,
2	chemistry manager.
3	Q And Mr. Cox?
4	A Same thing at Watts Bar.
5	Q None of those you ever supervised or they
6	never supervised you?
7	A No.
8	Q What about Rick Rogers?
9	A He was with the engineering group here and
10	we interfaced on a number of different projects,
11	engineering type projects, that kind of thing.
12	Q Dan Keuter.
13	A He was my boss at one time. When I was
14	the acting manager of VP of Operational Support, he
15	came in to fill that position on a full time basis, so
16	he was my boss.
17	Q And Mr. Beekin?
18	A He was the plant manager out at Sequoyah.
19	Q You never worked for him at all?
20	A No, but I interfaced with him quite a bit.
21	Q Were any of those individuals we've talked
22	about so far ever on any of the NSRBs?
23	A I believe Beekin was on some, Charles Kent
24	has been, Corey I'm not sure, Cox they were

	20
1	probably acting at some points in time. Did you
2	mention McGrath?
3	Q He was my next guy.
4	A Okay, yeah, McGrath was the director of
5	NSRB for a long period of time. So I interfaced with
6	him quite a bit.
7	Q And did he ever become your boss?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you recall when that was?
10	A He was the last boss I had, other than
11	Jack Bailey, he was back in 1997-'98 time frame I'm
12	thinking.
13	Q Okay, how about a Tom Peterson?
14	A He was a consultant to the NSRB for
15	radcon, chemistry area.
16	Q Was he part of the NSRB or just an adviser
17	or
18	A He was part of the NSRB.
19	Q Gary Fiser.
20	A when I first came here, he was at
21	Sequoyah. I don't remember if he was actually in
22	chemistry then or not, but he was in chemistry along
23	the way and then he ended up coming downtown to
.24	corporate chemistry.
25	Q How about Mr. Fenech?

	21
1	A He was a plant manager, he might have been
2	the site VP.
3	Q At Sequoyah?
4	A Sequoyah, yeah.
5	Q You never worked for him?
6	A No.
7	Q Don Moody?
8	A He was my boss too, he was another VP of
9	I don't know if it was a VP position then or not,
10	but operations support. At some point in time, it
11	became a VP position.
12	Q What about Mr. Maciejewski?
13	A He was my boss I had a lot of bosses,
14	didn't it? He was also operations support. He was
15	not a VP, I remember that.
16	Q Okay. And let's see, Ron Grover.
17	A I hired him in as a chemistry manager.
18	Q How about Mr. Vigluicci here, how long
19	have you known him?
20	A Probably since I've been with TVA, both he
21	and probably more Brent because Brent was involved
22	I knew him first and Ed then later. Ed was
23	involved very much when Oliver Kingsley's staff
24	meetings and that kind of thing, so I knew him there.
25	Q Okay. You knew Mr. Marquand from what?

.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

•

	22
1	A The Jocher case was probably my first
2	introduction, wasn't it?
3	MR. MARQUAND: It was after the surgery.
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
5	BY MR. DAMBLY:
6	Q Okay, tell me what you remember about the
7	Jocher DOL case, what your involvement in that was.
8	A Jocher worked for me and at one point in
9	time with his interface with Sequoyah, a decision was
10	made by Sequoyah plant management that he might be
11	helpful in the chemistry program, so the decision was
12	made by upper management, not at my level, that Jocher
13	and Gary Fiser Gary Fiser was the chemistry manager
14	at Sequoyah and was thought of as not doing a very
15	good job there at that point in time. So they made a
16	switch. It was going to be a year switch, I guess to
17	see how Jocher would work out in the position out
18	there. And so then when the end of the year came,
19	Jocher called me and he was ready to come back to
20	corporate, he liked the big picture of the whole
21	nuclear program. And at that point in time, the plant
22	manager at Sequoyah didn't want Gary back, so I ended
23	up with a problem, I didn't know what I was going to
24	do here. But anyhow, Jocher did come back and Gary
25	was down here too and then at some point in time, we

(202) 234-4433

had a meeting with Joe Bynum, and a decision was made 1 that Jocher was not working out here and I was to 2 advise him that it would be best for him to -- Jocher 3 4 and I had always had this agreement, at least I 5 thought we had this agreement, that if TVA didn't like 6 his performance, he'd leave -- we had that discussion 7 on a number of occasions. And so I went and told him that it would be best if he sought employment 8 9 elsewhere and he said well could I get a year's salary 10 or -- I can't remember the exact point in time, so I 11 went to Joe Bynum and Joe said I'll give him three 12 months separation pay. Somewhere along that point I went into 13 surgery for cancer. Wasn't that about the same time? 14 15 MR. MAROUAND: Yeah. So I was out of pocket for several months 16 Α here and during that period of time Jocher left and I 17 found out that he had come back and filed a DOL 18 complaint about what took place. 19 BY MR. DAMBLY: 20 You're the one that actually negotiated I 21 0 22 guess the -- his leaving? It was a combination of myself, Dan Keuter 23 Ά and Joe Bynum. Joe was the one who made the decisions 24

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	24
1	about what kind of separation pay, I didn't make that
2	decision, I passed it on.
3	MR. MARQUAND: I believe the person is who
4	actually dealt with Jocher.
5	THE WITNESS: I did.
6	BY MR. DAMBLY:
7	Q Let me show you a document which we
8	obtained in discovery and I think it's your document.
9	It doesn't have your name on it so I was going to ask
10	you. It's dated July 25, 1993, it's a note to file,
11	William F. Jocher versus Tennessee Valley Authority.
12	It looks like
13	A It certainly looks like it's mine because
14	I'm referring to myself. Let me go through the whole
15	thing.
16	MR. MARQUAND: Look at the back and see if
17	it's signed.
18	MR. DAMBLY: It's not signed. It's got a
19	number, I don't know if that number at the bottom
20	means anything.
21	MR. MARQUAND: It used to, but there's no
22	way to find out now. It's the old Wang system and we
23	don't have that any more, it's been scrapped.

•

25 1 I can't -- it's not a part THE WITNESS: 2 of my memory, you know. I didn't sign it, I don't 3 know why I wouldn't have signed it, but --4 BY MR. DAMBLY: 5 Is there anything in there, like in the 0 6 second paragraph, "Mr. Jocher states I told him that 7 'Oliver D. Kingsley, President, Generating Group, did 8 not think I was part of the team and that I should 9 find a new job.'" 10 He never said that. Α 11 MR. MARQUAND: Well, that's apparently a 12 quote from Jocher. 13 MR. DAMBLY: Right. 14 BY MR. DAMBLY: 15 Q I'm just saying does that help refresh 16 your recollection as to whether you wrote this or not, 17 not that you said -- it clearly says Jocher says. 18 А It doesn't help. All I can say is it 19 looks like part of my thought process. 20 How about the note in the middle. 0 21 А Yes. 22 Q Is that accurate as to you? 23 Yes. Α 24 0 So you have no reason to believe you didn't write this? 25

```
(202) 234-4433
```

	26
1	A I have no reason to believe I did or
2	didn't.
3	MR. MARQUAND: Could we have a copy of
4	that marked as Exhibit 1 to Mr. McArthur's Dr.
5	McArthur's deposition.
6	MR. DAMBLY: If you wish.
7	MR. MARQUAND: Yes, since we're talking
8	about it and for clarification of the record, I think
9	it would be wise.
10	
11	(The document referred to was marked for
12	identification as McArthur Exhibit 1.)
13	BY MR. DAMBLY:
14	Q The Jocher/Fiser switch for the year,
15	prior to that period of time, what interactions had
16	you had with Gary Fiser?
17	A I did have oversight responsibility at
18	Sequoyah and also as a member of the NSRB, which was
19	an organization that had responsibility kind of like
20	an oversight group looking at the programs at each one
21	of the nuclear plants that we had and giving
22	recommendations and that kind of thing to management.
23	We'd meet on about a quarterly basis, if I remember
24	correctly, with consultants and TVA personnel. And so

27 I met with Gary quite a bit during that period of 1 2 time. Did you have any problem with Gary or his 3 0 programs or his work? 4 5 Ά Yeah, we did have some problems on NSRB on 6 One of them had to do with taking data, occasions. 7 tracking and trending that data and the NSRB, which would be Tom Peterson, myself, didn't feel that he was 8 9 doing -- Dick Mullee, I think, was involved in that 10 too. Dick --11 0 12 Dick Mullee, he's the guy that --А MR. MARQUAND: M-u-l-l-e-e. 13 14 Α -- didn't think that he was doing a very good job of tracking and trending chemistry data. As 15 a part of our oversight responsibility, the outside 16 people brought in a lot of experience from other 17 18 plants and of course, we were internal at the TVA and we'd use that experience to say this is how a good 19 chemistry organization would be run. 20 That was a concern at that particular point in time. 21 Okay, do you recall exactly what that 22 Q 23 concern was? Just used to -- Charles Kent and myself 24 А and I think Corey and maybe Cox had gone down to 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Florida Power & Light Company and a few other utilities kind of doing a look at what other people were doing and we found a lot of good trending was going on and we were not doing as well here at Sequoyah. So from this data, we felt that we should be doing more trending of data so you can determine if you've got some problems or not. And so we came back with that recommendation.

At Carolina Power & Light Company, we 10 always trended data much more in detail than we did here at Sequoyah. Browns Ferry did a pretty good job and Watts Bar did a pretty job, but Sequoyah was not at the same level as the other two plants.

14 0 This has been discussed some, Mr. Fiser, 15 as you know I think, has represented he was tracking 16 I think 52, 53 parameters. 17 MR. MARQUAND: That's not correct. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 19 MR. MARQUAND: He did not testify to that, 20 he said that that was what was recommended to him. 21 MR. DAMBLY: Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sure he was not 23 tracking 52. 24 BY MR. DAMBLY: 25 0 How many was he tracking?

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

29 On the order of four, five, six, something 1 Α 2 I don't remember the exact number. like that. Т 3 don't want to go on record of saying exactly what the number is. 4 5 0 Would the trending data for the '90, '91, 6 '92 period be preserved somewhere at TVA? 7 А I'm sure it would. I'm not responsible 8 for that, I would think they would keep that data. 9 Was the issue -- Mr. Fiser has represented 0 10 the issue, they were prodding him to do certainly more 11 than four, five or six, but that Mr. McGrath wanted it 12 plotted every day and they were plotting like Tuesday 13 they did Monday data, but that they were collecting 14 it. 15 Is it your recollection that they were 16 collecting it or they weren't collecting it? They were collecting some. They were not 17 Α 18 collecting all the data -- it was not being recorded, 19 let's put it that way. 20 0 Ever? I can't say ever, but --21 Α 22 Q I'm trying to understand whether the issue 23 is, you know, people weren't in over the weekend, as 24 I understand Mr. Fiser's representation, but when they got in on Monday, they got the data, the printed out 25

NEAL R. GROSS

30 the plots and distributed them on Tuesday, they 1 2 covered that and then on Wednesday, Thursday and 3 Friday, they put in stuff --4 Α That wasn't recollection, my my 5 recollection was that some data was just not being 6 tracked or trended. 7 Okay. Do you remember when this meeting 0 8 was? 9 It was a scheduled NSRB meeting. А No. 10 0 Somewhere like January of '92? 11 I wouldn't even hazard a guess. А 12 Do you recall Mr. Fiser being an outage 0 13 manager? 14 Α I don't think we interfaced during that 15 period of time or he told me he had been in outage 16 management. 17 Do you recall how long after he returned 0 18 from outage management that you had this NSRB discussion? 19 I wouldn't know that. 20 Δ McGrath involved in the 21 Q Was Mr. 22 discussion? 23 А Yes. Tell me what his role was? 24 Q

1 А I think Tom McGrath and Mullee and myself 2 were looking at the program, we came up with this 3 concern and part of our responsibility in the NSRB is we would periodically sit down as Tom, since he was 4 5 the coordinator for the director of the NSRB and 6 reported to him we had this concern. I remember he 7 came into a meeting later on and that topic came up 8 and Gary took a strong position that he didn't think 9 he could -- he didn't want to do that. He didn't want 10 to track that much data. 11 0 Do you recall whether there was а 12 discussion about making this a required procedure or 13 the discussion was I'm just not doing the trending? 14 Α He just said I don't want to do that much 15 data taking. 16 Do you remember any discussion of setting 0 17 up plant procedures requiring it? That would be a natural follow up to 18 Α No. 19 that, but I don't remember that discussion. 20 Do you remember Mr. McGrath's reaction to 0 21 Mr. Fiser's statement? He wasn't happy. I wasn't happy either. 22 А I think I was not happy because I felt that if I had 23 been i Gary's shoes, I would have said look, I 24 wouldn't mind doing it but I don't have the resources. 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

1 I'll go to my management and try to get a resource. 2 But we didn't get that kind of -- that's what I was 3 looking for. I see something here that may be of 4 value to me, but he didn't respond that way. I didn't 5 think it was a very good management response. 6 0 If the NSRB had wanted to follow up on 7 that issue, how would they have gone about that? 8 А It would have gone into the minutes of the 9 meeting. At the end of our NSRB meetings, we'd meet 10 with the whole key plant management people and we'd 11 discuss our concerns that were identified. And if it 12 was agreed to by plant management as a concern on both 13 of us's side -- it wasn't just we just rammed things 14 down somebody's throat, we'd discuss it and decide if 15 it was a real issue and it would become a part of the 16 minutes and we'd follow up on it. 17 0 Did the NSRB have any direct authority 18 over, say, Sequoyah? Could the NSRB order anything? 19 А No. 20 Now how long after that did the Jocher --0 21 well, do you recall, was that before the Jocher/Fiser 22 switch? You know, I don't know the answer to that 23 А 24 question. My feeling is it was, but I don't --

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

because he was there and Jocher wasn't out there, so 1 2 3 0 And actually he never came back, Mr. Fiser 4 never came back to Sequoyah, right? 5 Α No. 6 MR. MARQUAND: Not as a chemistry manager. 7 THE WITNESS: Not as a chemistry manager. BY MR. DAMBLY: 8 9 So, how did the Jocher/Fiser switch come 0 10 about? Who determined that had to take place? You know, I can't really truthfully answer 11 Α 12 the question other than to say that I know that Joe Bynum and the plant manager and the site VP were 13 14 talking about it and they came to me and wanted to know how I felt about it and I said -- well, first of 15 Jocher didn't want it to 16 all. I was reluctant. happen, he didn't want Gary -- he was very in to his 17 18 responsibilities and didn't want somebody to come in and mess it up, is the way he put it to me. So he did 19 not want Gary to come downtown, he didn't think Gary 20 did a good job out at the site. So he wanted to stay 21 down here. And then I think over a period of time, if 22 somebody pats you on the back enough and says I think 23 vou could solve our problems -- he became convinced 24

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

that maybe it'd be a good exchange for him to go out to the site.

Other than Jocher expressing misgivings 0 about Fiser coming to corporate, did you hear from anybody else -- Mr. McGrath, Peterson?

Dan Keuter was my boss then and I went 6 А 7 down to Keuter and I expressed Jocher's concern and my concern also and Dan gave me a comparison of when he 8 9 was at a plant in Oregon that he had a guy that was not considered a good manager but given a chance, he 10 performed. He said let's give the guy a chance and he 11 said I'll leave it up to you on a period of time if 12 you think he performs in the corporate position. So 13 he knew Jocher's concern. In fact, Jocher went 14 15 independently down to talk to Dan Keuter and said I 16 don't think the guy ought to be put in this position 17 down here.

Did anybody make any statements to you 18 0 along the lines that they wanted Jocher to go out 19 20 there because he kept saying there were all these problems and this was like a put up or shut up deal? 21 Α No. I think that'd be a foolish thing to 22 do, I would think, to have somebody come out just to 23 get even with them. I can't visualize a person in the

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

24

1

2

3

4

position of a plant manager or a site VP taking that kind of a position.

Q Well, how about somebody in corporate going you guys are doing this wrong, you're doing this wrong, you're doing this wrong and you need to fix it. Would it be foolish for them to say well, they're going to come out and show us how to fix them?

8 Α That'd be fine, if you've got a way to fix 9 it; yeah, that'd be fine. We didn't operate that way. 10 We weren't on a competitive or animosity type, we were 11 a support organization. Quite often, we did -- we'd 12 meet at the first of the year and we'd say what kind 13 of things would you like us to do this year -- we want 14 a new chemistry monitor for this, we want a new 15 radiation monitor, and we'd be responsible for going 16 to do that. So a lot of things we would do as a 17 corporate support organization would be do those 18 things.

19 NSRB was different, we were an oversight 20 organization. There was always a discussion about how 21 much oversight and how much technical support do you 22 give. The sites didn't like the oversight part, they 23 liked the technical support part, which I can 24 understand.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

	36
1	Q When Mr. Jocher was I guess the corporate
2	chemistry manager, was there friction between him and
3	Mr. Sabados at Browns Ferry?
4	A They had some problems.
5	Q Do you recall the nature of what that
6	disagreement was?
7	A If I remember, there was a position that
8	was to be filled and Jocher wanted somebody in his
9	position, which was not his responsibility and Sabados
10	wanted somebody else. And the first person Sabados
11	wanted got the position. Jocher got upset about it
12	and they had some conflicts over that. There were
13	probably some other things.
14	Jocher was an overpowering he's a big
15	guy, I don't know if you've met him before, very big
16	guy, who was an actor. He performed in this thing up
17	in Dayton
18	MR. MARQUAND: Scopes monkey trial.
19	A Scopes monkey trial, he was a big star
20	in that. He had also been a pro football player at
21	least I was told he was, I don't know that for a fact.
22	He was an overbearing guy. He could come into the
23	room and he could upset people just because of the
24	big size he had, you know. That's my opinion. And
25	Sabados took him on a few times I think.

(202) 234-4433

37 1 0 Do you remember there being any 2 disagreement between them because Mr. Jocher was 3 treating Mr. Sabados as a subordinate? 4 А I don't recall that being the case. That 5 was not the case, he was the support for a person. If 6 I had been aware of that, I would have gotten myself 7 involved, but that was not the case. 8 Let me show you your 1994 interview --0 9 actually it's '93. August 31, 1993, TVA IG record of 10 interview with Wilson C. McArthur in Chattanooga. And 11 on page 4, the top paragraph under the heading 12 "Jocher's performance problems", it says "1. 13 According to McArthur, while Jocher was the corporate 14 chemistry manager, Bynum knew that Jocher had a lot of 15 problems dealing with John Sabados, the chemistry 16 manager at Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant and Gary Fiser, Sabados told McArthur on 17 the SQN chemistry manager. a couple of occasions that he had told Bynum that 18 19 Jocher was opinionated and overbearing and he, 20 Sabados, did not want Jocher involved in his BFN chemistry program. However, McArthur confirmed that 21 22 the problems with Sabados had occurred before Jocher 23 became the SQN manager."

24 Do you remember making that statement to 25 the IG?

(202) 234-4433

	38
1	A Let's see, which one is it?
2	Q The top one on the page.
3	A Oh, okay.
4	(The witness reviews the document.)
5	A I'd say that's an accurate statement. In
6	fact, the plant manager I know I'm not supposed to
7	ad lib, but it's probably important here that the
8	plant manager, I can't remember who it was John
9	Scalice I believe called me in his office and said
10	he didn't want Jocher on the site any more. Lot of
11	other issues, it wasn't directly related to Sabados,
12	but other issues. That's a bad organization as a
13	support organization to have somebody tell you, you
14	know, your key people are not
15	Q Did you ever have any other people that
16	worked for you that the site said I don't want them on
17	my site besides Jocher?
18	A I can't think
19	MR. MARQUAND: You mentioned somebody else
20	this morning.
21	THE WITNESS: Hmmm. Somebody else that
22	wasn't welcome on site. Oh, Ron Grover, yeah, Ron
23	Grover was not welcome by John Corey in that he was
24	always late for meetings and they felt he was not
25	committed to supporting the plant.

(202) 234-4433

	39
1	BY MR. DAMBLY:
2	Q Somewhere I read a statement that you said
3	that.
4	A I did. I used to get phone calls from
5	Corey saying where is Grover, you know, and he'd be on
6	his way down I guess.
7	Q So after the switch was made and Fiser
8	came to work for you, how did that work out?
9	A Not very good.
10	Q Why was that?
11	A There was two little cliches, there was a
12	Fiser, Chandra and let's see who else was involved
13	there anyway, they had one group of people and then
14	there was the Sam Harvey, Adams and in fact, I would
15	meet with I'm one that has objectives and I sit
16	down with my guys at the beginning of each month and
17	I say what are your plans for this month, what are you
18	going to do. And I required them to spend quite a bit
19	of time on the site, I expect them to spend about half
20	their time on the sites. And Fiser could not meet his
21	commitments, he wouldn't deliver the product on time
22	and the plants were complaining and he wouldn't spend
23	enough time at Browns Ferry. He didn't mind going to
24	Sequoyah, but he just didn't like to go down to Browns
25	Ferry very much. And that was part of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

(202) 234-4433

į	40
1	responsibility of that position, so I wanted him to
2	become more involved with Browns Ferry.
3	So he wasn't performing very well at all.
4	So eventually I came to the point of saying this is
5	not going to work, I went to Dan Keuter so I could be
6	able to take him out of that position because he
7	wasn't performing.
8	Q Okay, and where did he go when you took
9	him out of that position?
10	A he stayed in the chemistry group at
11	corporate as a chemistry program manager.
12	Q And who became
13	A I believe it was Sam Harvey at that point
14	in time.
15	Q Did Mr. Fiser have a, I guess, lack of
16	technical experience in all areas that would be
17	required to be in the corporate chemistry management
18	position?
19	A From my viewpoint, he did.
20	Q What was his strengths and weaknesses?
21	A His strengths were primary water
22	chemistry, fairly weak in secondary water chemistry
23	and very weak in BWR, hydrogen water chemistry, most
24	of the things to do with BWR because most of his
25	experience had been in PWRs.

(202) 234-4433

•

41 1 0 Okay. And Mr. Harvey, what were his 2 strengths? 3 Α He was -- he had a little strength in both 4 areas, PWRs and BWRs, he had worked in both. I think 5 in BWR primarily as a consultant before he went to 6 Texas but he had good experience in BWRs and PWRs. 7 0 How about in the PWR, primary versus 8 secondary, where was Harvey's strengths? 9 Secondary, probably the best secondary Α 10 chemistry guy I've ever known. 11 0 You say you never socialized with Mr. 12 Harvey at all or had any outside interactions? 13 Well, we were a member of the same church Δ 14 and a lot of people made the comment that was the reason that perhaps he was promoted and that was a 15 bunch of bull. We didn't relate -- he was in a 16 17 different location in the city, but we were members of 18 the same church. Just like your Baptist friends, do 19 you favor your Baptist friends? No, you don't. There 20 was no favoritism on that part, we didn't socialize in 21 that regard. 22 0 Somewhere I heard the comment that you 23 introduced Mr. Harvey to his wife? 24 А My wife did. 25 Your wife did. 0

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	42
1	A I didn't know her.
2	Q Hopefully you knew your wife, right?
3	A Hopefully.
4	Q If not, well, probably a normal marriage.
5	Back when we have Fiser up here and he's
6	no longer the manager and we've got up here in
7	corporate and we've got Jocher down in Sequoyah.
8	And there was an agreement that he would come back in
9	a year.
10	A As his original position.
11	Q Did that happen?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And then what happened to Mr. Jocher?
14	A At some point in time, we had a I met
15	with Joe Bynum on kind of a regular weekly basis
16	discussing concerns, things that I had uncovered and
17	wanted to take a look at and he would ask my opinion
18	about things. And the decision was made that Jocher
19	just didn't fit into the organization and that I was
20	to ask him to find employment elsewhere. And the
21	question I asked was if he didn't accept that, what do
22	I do. And I said well, he has told me if we didn't
23	think he fit in, he'd go someplace else. I said but
24	what if he doesn't do that. And they said well, tell
25	him we'll terminate him. And that's basically what I

	43
1	told Jocher. Jocher, in the first round of that
2	discussion was pretty agreeable because he said well,
3	I want a year or six months' pay and that'll be fine.
4	And then Joe Bynum said I'll give him three months and
5	that's when I went into the hospital, so after that
6	Q That Jocher wasn't working out, that was
7	after he was back in corporate chemistry?
8	A He was back in chemistry.
9	Q Did you get negative reports on him when
10	he was in Sequoyah chemistry?
11	MR. MARQUAND: Sequoyah didn't want to
12	keep him.
13	THE WITNESS: What?
14	MR. MARQUAND: Sequoyah didn't want to
15	keep him.
16	THE WITNESS: No, they wanted rotation to
17	take they wanted him to come back but they didn't
18	want Fiser either.
19	BY MR. DAMBLY:
20	Q And why didn't they want Fiser?
21	A I don't think that Beekin had a lot of
22	respect for his management style and how he had
23	performed before. That's my opinion. He made it very
24	clear to him that Fiser was not welcome back at
25	Sequoyah in that position.

(202) 234-4433

•

(202) 234-4433

	44
1	Q Prior to the switch with Fiser and Jocher,
2	did you have any indication from your management that
3	Jocher wasn't working out at corporate chemistry?
4	A No. Other than the problems down at
5	Browns Ferry that we talked about. Not at Sequoyah or
6	Watts Bar.
7	Q And did Bynum never had any problems and
8	talked to you about Jocher's not doing a good job?
9	A In the corporate organization?
10	Q Right.
11	A Other than the Browns Ferry situation, no.
12	Q Did something happen after he returned
13	from Sequoyah that suddenly he had management problems
14	he didn't have before he went to Sequoyah?
15	A I can't respond to that.
16	Q I mean did you have problems, would you
17	have terminated him?
18	A Would I have terminated him? I probably
19	wouldn't have terminated him, not with that
20	information.
21	Q Would not?
22	A Probably would not without any information
23	more than I had.
24	Q Okay. When you go about terminating
25	somebody at TVA, is there a for cause termination, do

45 1 you have to make out a case of some kind or people, 2 employees are at will? 3 I would go to HR for anything like that, Α 4 and ask them before I proceed with anything in that 5 direction. I received a lot of direction during that 6 period of time from HR and from Joe Bynum as to how to 7 handle that, but I was not -- like I said, I'm not an 8 expert, I'm a technical and management person at TVA, 9 I'm not an HR expert, so I would certainly seek advice 10 at each step as to what to do in those cases. 11 0 You ever talk to Mr. Easley about that? 12 А Oh, absolutely. 13 Did you talk to Mr. Marguand about it? Q 14 I don't know if we talked about it. А 15 MR. MAROUAND: Not directly until it 16 became a case. 17 Α Not until it became a case, I don't think. 18 0 Okay. 19 MR. MARQUAND: Can we take a break? 20 MR. DAMBLY: Any time you want to take --21 do you need a break? 22 THE WITNESS: I just want something to drink. 23 24 Let's take a five minute MR. DAMBLY: 25 break.

	46
1	(A short recess was taken.)
2	MR. DAMBLY: Back on the record.
3	THE WITNESS: On Jocher, I mentioned that
4	when he came in a room, he like he had trouble with
5	Sabados, he had some trouble with Fiser. He had an
6	overall attitude of being very overpowering, very
7	opinionated and was not tremendously liked, but it
8	wasn't a situation where you would say you're out of
9	here. A lot of people did not like Fiser I mean
10	Jocher because of his overbearing, opinionated
11	attitude, but I thought he was a very competent
12	individual.
13	But I was wrong once.
14	MR. MARQUAND: You were?
15	BY MR. DAMBLY:
16	Q You were wrong once?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q You've got a better batting average than
19	most of us. Now at about the time of Mr. Jocher's DOL
20	case, Mr. Fiser also filed a DOL case. Do you know
21	what that was about?
22	A For some reason I never have gotten really
23	into that one. I know that it's been explained to me
24	by the lawyers before, but I wasn't I don't
25	remember being so directly involved that it's much a

.

(202) 234-4433

47 1 part of my memory, but I do know a little bit about 2 it. 3 Okay. Now when Jocher came back and Fiser 0 4 was still in corporate --5 А Yeah. 6 -- Fiser never went back to Sequoyah? 0 7 Α No. 8 0 And why was that? 9 Α Because the site didn't want him. 10 0 Okay, and so what position did he occupy in corporate? 11 12 Α It was a project management position, 13 chemistry project manager. 14 0 Okav. And how long did he stay in that 15 position after Jocher came back? 16 Α To the point in time that I was directed 17 that Jocher needed to find another position and Gary 18 would be RIF'd. 19 Who directed you to do that? 0 20 А It came from HR and Joe Bynum. 21 Who in HR? Q 22 А I don't remember directly who it was. 23 Probably -- I'm just saying Ben Easley because he was 24 my contact, but it could have been somebody else.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 0 Did you have any positions available in 2 corporate that Mr. Fiser could have filled? 3 Α If that position was RIF'd, there would 4 have been no position. I was upset, I went to Joe and 5 was fighting to keep Fiser there. I didn't understand 6 why they were going to RIF him, but he wanted to --7 from Joe's standpoint, it was that industry required 8 that we downsize some of the chemistry organization so 9 he wanted to RIF one of those positions. 10 Okay, did you have a position that was 0 11 being vacated by Mr. Adams? 12 А See, that was part of my argument at that 13 point in time. The position would have gone away. I 14 don't remember the particulars about that, but Adams 15 did go out to the site. All I know is I did not have 16 another position at that point in time. 17 Do you know what position -- well, how did 0 18 Fiser end up leaving your organization? 19 Α He was RIF'd. 20 By whom? 0 21 HR sent him a letter, I guess, that's Α 22 normal procedure. 23 0 You didn't send the letter or sign the 24 letter?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

49 I don't think I signed the letter, I think 1 А that was HR that would do that -- I believe. Again, 2 3 I'm not --Do you know which position it was that he 4 0 was occupying that he was RIF'd from? 5 It was a corporate program manager, 6 Α 7 chemistry program manager position. That may be a conflict, I heard the story that he still had the 8 position as chemistry manager out at -- again, like I 9 10 said, I'm not an expert on HR type things, so I don't know what the letter said as far as what position he 11 12 was RIF'd from. Okay. But you know that led to his filing 13 0 14 the DOL complaint? 15 Α I don't know what led to it, but I see that was a part of his concern. 16 What do you know about his complaint or 17 0 18 what did you know then? You know, there's something at TVA, you 19 Α don't just sit down with everybody and tell them here 20 are all the reasons that somebody filed a DOL 21 complaint, so I usually wouldn't know a whole lot. I 22 may just know that somebody filed a DOL complaint, but 23 I didn't receive a copy of something in the mail 24 saying what actually took place. 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	50
1	Q Did you understand that his complaint had
2	to do with the interaction of the NSRB between he and
3	McGrath and the trending?
4	A I understood that.
5	Q You did understand that that was part of
6	it.
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q When were you first aware that Mr. Fiser
9	had gone to DOL?
10	A Oh, I don't have a clue when it was, but
11	it was in that time frame. Remember, I had just come
12	back from when I came back from medical, I went
13	into a new position, I was again acting VP of
14	Operations Support. Every time that they got rid of
15	somebody, I'd be the acting guy for awhile never
16	got the position, but I was always acting. Probably
17	didn't act good enough, that's the reason I didn't get
18	it full time.
19	MR. DAMBLY: Let's mark this as well,
20	this is Exhibit 17 from Fiser, but we'll just put
21	another sticker on it and call it
22	MR. MARQUAND: You can just use the same
23	exhibit number and say it is from the Fiser testimony.
24	BY MR. DAMBLY:

(202) 234-4433

51
Q Okay, this is Exhibit 17 from the Fiser
deposition, it's a letter to James Sasser, Honorable
James Sasser, dated August 16, 1993 and it's signed by
Jocher, Fiser and Dr. D.R. Matthews. I'll show you
that letter.
(The witness reviews the document.)
Q Do you recall ever seeing this letter?
A I can tell you already, I don't remember
seeing anything signed by the three individuals, that
I can remember.
Q During the time you were at TVA, when
someone wrote in to a Congressman about a problem they
perceived with TVA, did that normally get sent
forwarded to TVA for a response?
MR. MARQUAND: How would he know that?
A I don't know.
Q Did you ever get assigned to respond to
any letters from Congressmen to Congressmen?
A I don't recall any.
Q No?
A Seems like there was one at Browns Ferry,
I can't remember what I just remember the thought
in my mind that some Congressman had written about
some kind of the restart of Browns Ferry Unit 1 or

.

(202) 234-4433

.

	52
1	something like that, but I don't remember anything
2	other than that. I don't remember this letter.
3	MR. DAMBLY: We'll make this McArthur
4	Exhibit 2.
5	
6	(The document referred to was marked for
7	identification as McArthur Exhibit 2.)
8	BY MR. DAMBLY:
9	Q I'm going to show you an article from the
10	Dayton Herald News dated 6/12/94. I'll ask you if you
11	ever saw that article.
12	A I do remember seeing this, yeah.
13	Q Do you recall when you saw it?
14	A No. Probably within a short time frame
15	after it came out in the paper, I would guess. But
16	somehow it didn't seem to be in this format, but I
17	remember seeing maybe a different paper or something,
18	but I remember seeing this issue come up.
19	Q During your tenure at TVA, did you as a
20	manager get some kind of a publication, I don't know
21	what format, that would have news clippings of
22	relevance to TVA?
23	A Sometimes I would. Other people seemed to
24	collect them more than I did and I would see what they
25	had done. Quite often, you could go up to the sixth

(202) 234-4433

53 floor and you'd see documents that were available of 1 2 newsworthy items. 3 0 I was speaking more of a routine. For 4 example, NRC has some kind of news clipping service 5 and they put out everything that mentions NRC in the 6 newspapers and it's distributed to certain people. 7 Α No. 8 Do you have anything like that? 0 9 No, I didn't. Α 10 Did you ever discuss that article with Mr. Q Fiser? 11 12 А I don't remember doing that. 13 Do you have any recollection of discussing 0 14 any newspaper stuff, anything mentioning Mr. Fiser in 15 the newspaper with him? Whether he should do that, 16 not do it. 17 That he should do what? А 18 Talk to the press or not. 0 19 I would never advise my employees whether Α 20 to or not to do something like that. 21 Were you interviewed by the TVA IG in --Q 22 let me find the right one -- do you recall being interviewed about Mr. Fiser's '93 DOL complaint by the 23 24 TVA IG?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

A Not specifically, but I had many interviews like that, so it wouldn't be surprising to find out that I did.

4	Q I'll show you one, it's a TVA office of
5	Inspector General Record of Interview; name, Wilson
6	McArthur; Investigation on January 10, 1994,
7	Chattanooga. And the first paragraph says "McArthur,
8	who is aware of the identity of the interviewing agent
9	[which was Beth Thomas] was contacted at his office
10	and interviewed regarding Gary Fiser's reduction in
11	force and issues raised in Fiser's subsequent DOL
12	Department of Labor, DOL complaint."
13	A Okay. You want me to look at the things
14	in green, is that what
15	Q Well, that first paragraph just indicates
16	that the subject matter that they were talking to you
17	about was Mr. Fiser's complaint, his DOL complaint.
18	A Okay.
19	Q Do you recall being interviewed regarding
20	that?
21	A Not specifically, but like I said, there
22	were a lot of interviews during that period of time,
23	so
l	

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

.

Q And the reason I ask is because in '96, you were interviewed by the IG concerning Mr. Fiser's later complaint.

A Uh-huh.

4

Q And said you had no previous knowledge of
his '93 complaint.

7 There was some point in time that Brent А 8 made me aware -- may have been more than once, but I 9 don't know how to explain this other than the fact 10 that I'm a technical person and that was the personal business of somebody else. Unless it directly related 11 12 to me, you know, I did not consider it an issue. 13 That's the reason it wasn't an issue whenever we did 14 the review board, it just wasn't an issue because I 15 didn't know enough about those things to do anything 16 about them, unless I was directly involved.

MR. MARQUAND: I mean if he said in '96 he didn't know about it, that could also mean he did not remember it, which I think was often the case.

20THE WITNESS:Sure, that could be.21BY MR. DAMBLY:

Q I was more concerned -- obviously they didn't write it down in here, do you remember anybody when the IG person interviewed you in' 96 going well, wait a minute, in '93 we interviewed you about this?

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

	56
1	A All I can tell you, sir, is I was
2	interviewed quite often, so I can't tell you.
3	Q I appreciate that, because you certainly
4	were. Somewhere in this time frame of Mr. Fiser's '93
5	DOL complaint, did anybody ever make you aware that
6	Mr. Fiser was tape recording conversations?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Who told you that?
9	A Legal.
10	Q Who in Legal?
11	A I believe it was Brent. Am I correct in
12	that?
13	MR. MARQUAND: Probably.
14	Q And what did they tell you?
15	MR. MARQUAND: Objection, that's attorney-
16	client privilege.
17	MR. DAMBLY: What you told him is not a
18	privilege.
19	MR. MARQUAND: What we discussed is work
20	product and privilege and I object.
21	MR. DAMBLY: Work product, what work
22	product?
23	MR. MARQUAND: You've heard my response.
24	MR. DAMBLY: Okay. I'd like an answer
25	what you were told about the taping.

.

	57
1	THE WITNESS: Well, my attorney has told
2	me not to respond to that, so I cannot respond.
3	BY MR. DAMBLY:
4	Q Now you discussed what you were told both
5	in your OI interview and at the PEC.
6	A PEC?
7	Q Predecision enforcement conference. To
8	the extent there's a privilege, it's been waived.
9	I'd like to know what you were told and
10	what you were told not to do with Mr. Fiser.
11	MR. MARQUAND: Before he responds, do you
12	want to show us what the response was that he made?
13	BY MR. DAMBLY:
14	Q Let me show you a statement you gave to
15	the Department of Labor in 1997 in regard to Mr.
16	Fiser's complaint of '96. And I'll first ask you
17	it's dated April 24, 1997 and ask you to look at the
18	last page and tell me under "I have read this
19	statement and it is correct," whether that's your
20	signature.
21	A That's my signature.
22	Q Now let me give you the right page to look
23	at. It's on the fourth page of the document, the last
24	paragraph on the bottom says "Yes, I was told by
25	Legal/TVA to be very careful of Fiser because he was

(202) 234-4433

.

	58
1	recording people's conversations and I should be
2	sensitive of that."
3	A Let me make an overall comment.
4	MR. MARQUAND: Wait until he asks a
5	question.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	BY MR. DAMBLY:
8	Q Do you recall signing that document and
9	making that statement?
10	A There's something that goes along with
11	that that I need to say. This is the most
12	unintelligent human being I've ever dealt with in my
13	life.
14	MR. MARQUAND: You're talking about
15	whoever interviewed you?
16	THE WITNESS: Whoever wrote this. He
17	wrote this thing at least half a dozen times and
18	finally, out of total frustration, I signed it,
19	because I knew I was never going to get him out of my
20	office. But the guy could not get anything right. I
21	should have put a note on there, that's my mistake, to
22	say I don't know if anything in here is correct at
23	all.
24	Q Well, do you recall telling him
25	A I don't remember

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

	59
1	MR. MARQUAND: Why don't you just read the
2	statement and tell him if that statement is correct.
3	(The witness reviews the document.)
4	THE WITNESS: I do not remember Legal
5	telling me to be very careful, they just said be
6	sensitive of the fact that you're being recorded. I
7	was told that be sensitive of the fact that a tape
8	recording is I don't remember it may have
9	happened, but I do not remember the comment about be
10	very careful about what you say.
11	BY MR. DAMBLY:
12	Q Do you remember discussing it with Ms.
13	Benson when she interviewed you, the OI investigator
14	from NRC?
15	A I don't remember. If you've got something
16	that says I did.
17	Q We've read your statement and it's in
18	there. Do you recall ever seeing any transcripts that
19	were generated from those tapes?
20	A We talked about that and I don't I
21	remember being told they existed and I think somebody
22	flashed them in front of me, but I don't remember
23	sitting down and reviewing a number of transcripts.
24	If I did, it was very quickly to look at a page or two
25	or something. I did not in detail look at any

1 transcripts. I was told that there was not really 2 anything in there of any consequence. 3 told you there was nothing of Who 0 4 consequence? 5 I don't remember. А 6 0 Who showed you the transcripts? 7 I don't remember that, I just know I saw Α 8 them. 9 Do you recall during the enforcement 0 conference -- and it's on page 48 of the transcript --10 Mr. McNulty, who was the OI field office inspector, 11 12 said "Have you seen any transcripts of the tapes?" And Mr. McArthur, "We did see some transcripts, it was 13 14 very hard to understand and the transcripts were not 15 -- nothing came out of any particular interest from 16 what I recall. I didn't hear all of them, but I heard 17 a number of them and read some transcripts." 18 Α All I remember is looking at a couple of 19 pages. Do you recall what was on those pages that 20 0 you looked at? 21 22 Α No. 23 This is Fiser Exhibit 18, starting on page 0 6, bottom of page 6 and it runs through I think the 24 it, through page 81, purports to be 25 rest of

NEAL R. GROSS

61 1 transcripts that Mr. Fiser typed up from his tapes. 2 If you could just take a look at that and tell me 3 whether ---4 Gary Fiser typed these? А 5 Yes, that's my understanding. Can you 0 tell me -- just look through, if you recall if that's 6 7 the document you looked at or was it in a different 8 format? 9 It was in a different MR. MARQUAND: 10 format. 11 Α I don't remember. What document am I 12 looking at? 13 MR. MARQUAND: If you'll look at the 14 second page, you'll see that this is -- the second 15 page of the document you've got, it's got a title on 16 it and then he's referring to page 6 of this 17 particular document. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't remember seeing 19 this document. 20 BY MR. DAMBLY: Do you remember seeing the transcribed 21 0 22 portion, which is 6 through 81? 23 MR. MARQUAND: The transcribed portion 24 begins on page 6 down at the bottom.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	62
1	A Like I said, all I saw I briefly looked
2	at a couple of pages.
3	Q It was in a format different than this?
4	A It wasn't this.
5	Q Okay.
6	A I don't know who transcribed it.
7	Q We asked for transcripts and were told
8	there weren't any. Do you know what it was
9	MR. MARQUAND: The same document appears
10	in a somewhat different format attached to the OIG
11	to an OIG investigation. I don't know if that's what
12	he's talking about or not, but it looks a little
13	different.
14	BY MR. DAMBLY:
15	Q Did you ever discuss with anybody that you
16	were informed Mr. Fiser was taping you?
17	A Did I discuss it with anybody?
18	Q Anybody else at TVA, any other managers,
19	Mr. Kent, Mr. Cox, Mr. Corey, Bynum.
20	A You know, it me it was obvious I was being
21	taped because I could tell when Gary came in that he
22	was taping me. And by the way, he asked questions, he
23	was trying to trap me into saying something, I could
24	tell that by the way he said things.

.

63
Q It was obvious before you were told or
after?
A After.
Q Okay.
A I didn't have any clue that he was doing
it beforehand. I thought it was a very rude thing for
any individual to do when I found out about it.
I don't remember I was very close to
Kent and people like, but I don't remember
specifically telling them that I was being taped, but
it's possible I did. I just don't it wasn't
important to me, because I didn't think there was
anything I was going to say that would make that kind
of difference anyway.
Q Did you change your interactions with Mr.
Fiser after you
A I'm sure I was more careful in what I
would say. He would ask me things, well, I don't like
that guy McGrath, what do you think you know,
something like that. Well, I'm not going to respond
to that, I'm not going to talk about my boss to him.
Q Would you have done that before you found
out he was taping?
A I wouldn't have talked about I don't
talk about my boss with other people.

П

(202) 234-4433

	64
1	MR. MARQUAND: Except in this deposition.
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, except in this
3	deposition.
4	MR. DAMBLY: Well, we haven't talked about
5	him yet.
6	BY MR. DAMBLY:
7	Q And that is your former boss, so you're
8	okay.
9	A Yeah.
10	Q After Mr. Fiser went I guess he went
11	to the employee transition program when he was RIF'd?
12	A That's correct, yeah.
13	Q Do you know if he was surplused or RIF'd?
14	A No. I think he was RIF'd but again, I
15	don't know. My answer has to be I don't know.
16	Q Okay. Do you recall a situation where Mr.
17	Kent out at Sequoyah wanted to or considered
18	bringing Mr. Fiser back to Sequoyah while he was still
19	over in the employee transition program?
20	A Yes, I remember that.
21	Q Tell me what you remember about it.
22	A I remember I was very surprised that he
23	was he called me and asked me what I thought and I
24	said well, I had problems with Gary down here. My
25	position was not to tell Charles Kent what to do, I

•

	65
1	could only give him my advice. And I said Gary has
2	some has had problems with me in the corporate
3	position down here, but you know Gary better than I do
4	because he's worked for you before.
5	And if I remember correctly, he asked me
6	to check around. So I talked with Keuter and Dan
7	Keuter didn't have much position and Joe Bynum felt he
8	didn't do a very good job at Sequoyah. That's
9	basically the information that I passed back to
10	Charles. But I did not make a recommendation that he
11	not hire Gary Fiser. Charles is a big boy, he could
12	do what he wanted to do.
13	Q Do you remember any discussions after he
14	decided not to hire him, indicating it was probably a
15	good move he didn't?
16	A No.
17	Q Don't remember any discussions, anybody
18	make any comments that if Mr. Fiser went back to
19	Sequoyah, he'd be almost designed to fail?
20	A No.
21	Q Never heard any discussion about that at
22	all?
23	A I don't think so.
24	Q Did you have any involvement with the
25	settlement of Mr. Fiser's '93 DOL complaint?

	66
1	A I don't recall. Usually the technical
2	manager is not involved in settlements like that. I
3	may be asked my opinion, but I don't remember
4	anything. In fact, I don't know what the settlement
5	was. Is that the one where he came back?
6	Q Right.
7	A I don't I was not involved in that
8	decision.
9	Q Were you informed that he would be coming
10	back?
11	A When he came back to corporate?
12	Q Right.
13	A I had to be at some point in time because
14	he'd be working for me. I don't
15	Q When he came back, did you have any
16	discussions with anybody about this was part of the
17	settlement or any mention of his DOL complaint as part
18	of why he was back?
19	A I just understood he was coming back to
20	work in the chemistry group.
21	Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Grover about how
22	that came about?
23	A I don't think so. Usually I wouldn't
24	to me, that was a hands off type thing, you just
25	didn't you just stayed away from it.

67
Q Do you remember any discussions with Mr.
McGrath about Fiser's return?
A Other than he was coming back. I think
McGrath is the one that told me he was coming back.
MR. MARQUAND: When was this that he came
back?
MR. DAMBLY: '94.
MR. MARQUAND: Who was he working for?
THE WITNESS: All I know is I knew he was
coming back and he'd be in our organization, which was
fine with me.
BY MR. DAMBLY:
Q In '94, we were talking about earlier,
about a reorg in the technical operations position
that I guess was abolished and became radcon
management, remember that?
A Uh-huh.
Q Do you recall how that came about that you
became radcon manager?
A John Maciejewski, who was my boss then,
called me down and said he had recommended to
management that they divide the organization into
radcon and chemistry. I never knew the reason why,
but that was a fact and that's all I recall.

,

	68
1	Q Do you know if that was a posted vacancy
2	that you had to apply for?
3	A I think at one point in time, John
4	Maciejewski told me it would be posted and then a
5	little later on, he called me in and said you'll be in
6	that position and Grover will be in the other
7	position. I don't know how that transpired. I know
8	I did not go before a selection review board for that
9	position.
10	Q Let me show you a document here, it's the
11	vacancy position announcement TVA Nuclear, the closing
12	date is 5/25/94. We'll mark this as McArthur Exhibit
13	3. It purports to be for the Manager of Radiological
14	Control.
15	
16	(The document referred to was marked for
17	identification as McArthur Exhibit 3.)
18	Q Tell me if that's the position that you
19	ended up in in '94.
20	A Okay.
21	MR. MARQUAND: Counsel, you should have
22	another VPA that follows up with this one yeah, I
23	think you do, because we produced it.
24	MR. DAMBLY: Another one that follows up?

	69
1	MR. MARQUAND: Yeah, this one was canceled
2	and I think there was another one that was issued
3	after this one.
4	MR. DAMBLY: Not that I'm aware of.
5	MR. MARQUAND: I'm sure there is. There's
6	another one just like this one for Manager Radcon.
7	This one shows that the supervisor of this position
8	being posted is Wilson McArthur. You have another VPA
9	that shows Dr. Maciejewski as the supervisor.
10	MR. DAMBLY: Not that I'm aware of.
11	MS. EUCHNER: We have one for the manager,
12	chemistry and environmental protection.
13	MR. MARQUAND: No, there's one for 1994,
14	like two months after this one or so, that shows John
15	Maciejewski as the supervisor.
16	MS. EUCHNER: The VPA I have for the
17	manager of chem and environmental is at the end of
18	August 1994, but it wasn't attached with all of the
19	other VPAs in that group.
20	MR. MARQUAND: It's just like this one
21	that's a month or two later for radcon that shows John
22	Maciejewski.
23	THE WITNESS: The only thing I can
24	respond here is the summary of description of duties
25	is the

	70
1	BY MR. DAMBLY:
2	Q That is the position?
3	A That's the position, yeah.
4	Q And you were selected somehow for that
5	position?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Do you have any doubt you were in that
8	position?
9	A Did I have any doubt?
10	Q Yeah, I mean did anybody tell you you
11	weren't really in that job?
12	A No.
13	Q Did you get any performance appraisals
14	while you were in that job?
15	A John was usually pretty good about
16	performance appraisals. I don't recall specifically,
17	but I'm sure he would do that.
18	Q We have one so
19	A It doesn't matter.
20	Q We do have one for that period. Do you
21	recall your grade, for lack of a better term
22	A PG-11.
23	Q that was a PG-11 in the radcon
24	position?

•

71 1 А Yeah and the other position was a PG-2 Senior. 3 Okay. Was there a reduction in salary 0 4 involved in that or just --5 Α I don't think so. 6 0 And at the time they created this 7 position, radcon, and then they broke off chemistry 8 and environmental, was that also a PG-11 position? 9 А Yes. 10 And that's one Mr. Grover was in? 0 11 Α That's correct. 12 they eliminated the 0 When technical 13 operations position -- operations support position -did you get any kind of RIF notice about that? 14 15 Α All I remember is what I told you before, 16 that I was called in and told -- I don't remember if 17 I saw that position description or what, but that I 18 was going to be in that position. 19 Since you brought up Q the position 20 description, do you recall writing one for the 21 position that's described in Exhibit 3? 22 Α That's usually standard procedure. Ι 23 I know I've written for radcon, don't recall, 24 chemistry technical programs. In my mind, I wrote one

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

for radcon. I don't know if I even have a copy in my files or anything.

Q Have you looked to see if you have copies? A I moved out west, so everything I've got is in boxes in Provo, so I don't know what's there.

Q Do you recall indicating to Ms. Benson during your OI interview that you had written a position description?

9 А standard It was practice in our 10 organization when you got a new position, you wrote up 11 a position description and got it approved by your 12 supervisor. So it would be standard practice to do 13 that.

On page 32 of your OI interview, 14 0 Ms. Benson says "So anyway, the point being is that you do 15 16 recall writing that position description." And vou 17 answered "Yes, I do." And it goes on to talk about 18 submitting it to people, on the next page you're 19 discussing the Hay system, the Hay Committee --20 actually that says Pay Committee but I think it was 21 Hay Committee.

Right, yeah.

Q And you say "It pretty well tells you where you stand on the pay scale and that kind of thing, but I don't remember that happening. It could

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

(202) 234-4433

	73
1	have happened, but I don't remember that." And then
2	
3	A I was on the Hay Committee.
4	Q Oh, so it would have been submitted to
5	you.
6	A Yeah.
7	Q That's not a bad job.
8	A Not bad. Usually in a case like that, you
9	go out of the room. If your position came up, you
10	were not a part of the Hay review.
11	Q Okay. And Mr. Marquand said "If you
12	recall, the it does have Maciejewski's name on it,
13	I believe it does say that it was pending and it uses
14	the word" and then in parens (I can't hear) but
15	"approval." Do you remember Mr. Marquand making that
16	statement?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. Does that document exist?
19	MR. MARQUAND: What document?
20	MR. DAMBLY: The one that you referred to.
21	MR. MARQUAND: I told you there's a VPA.
22	MR. DAMBLY: No, I'm talking about the
23	position description.
24	MR. MARQUAND: I found and I thought we
25	produced it to you, but I'll make a copy and give it

•

	74
1	to you, I found a position description for that job
2	that doesn't have any of the signatures filled in.
3	MS. EUCHNER: It was never provided.
4	MR. MARQUAND: Okay, I'll make a copy of
5	it.
6	MS. EUCHNER: Thank you.
7	BY MR. DAMBLY:
8	Q I'll cover one more short topic before
9	lunch and then we'll get to the '96 stuff. But
10	somewhere in about the '95 time frame
11	A Could we just have somebody bring a
12	sandwich in?
13	MR. DAMBLY: Well we could probably just
14	go down to the little place downstairs because it's
15	raining.
16	MR. MARQUAND: You wouldn't want to
17	continue the deposition while you have a mouthful, so
18	we'll just take a very short break.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	MR. DAMBLY: We'll try and get you out of
21	here as soon as possible.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
23	BY MR. DAMBLY:
24	Q But in the '94 I guess '95 time frame,
25	when the positions over in chemistry under Mr. Grover

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

75 were changed from chemistry program managers to 1 chemistry and environmental, do you recall that? 2 3 А Yeah. Did you have any involvement in that? 0 4 Usually he and I would exchange and look 5 А I don't recall specifically, but 6 at each other's. that may have happened, that it was just common 7 courtesy to review each other's PDs to make sure 8 there's no mixup between the two positions. 9 Did you have any knowledge of what the 10 0 11 purpose of that change was? There was a big effort that came down from 12 Α 13 above that we should be working on cost containing efforts and so the idea was it was a good way to 14 minimize manpower to have people trained in more than 15 And so the idea was that we would train 16 one area. some people in the environmental area. 17 When I first came there, we had a pretty 18 good size -- we did all the environmental stuff for 19 the sites and just one day we went out and said we no 20 longer do the environmental, they were ticked off at 21 us like crazy because they took it away and so we did 22 very little other than just some regulatory review. 23 And there was also another Knoxville organization that 24did a lot of regulatory review process and that kind 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	76
1	of thing. So our impact was very minimal at that
2	point in time, after reorganization where we had like
3	five or six people that left the company.
4	Q At the time they made this switch to do
5	some cross training, did the radcon section, division,
6	whatever it was, did you make any post any PDs to
7	cross train any of your people?
8	A No.
9	Q That was just in the chemistry side?
10	A Yes, I had radcon and rad waste at that
11	point in time. We had one rad waste person, so
12	MR. DAMBLY: Okay, why don't we take a
13	lunch break and we'll get into the '96 time frame.
14	(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken at
15	12:14 p.m., the deposition to resume at 1:10 p.m., the
16	same day.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

•

1

2

3

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	78
1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	(1:10 p.m.)
3	Whereupon,
4	WILSON COOPER MCARTHUR
5	RESUMED his status as a witness herein, and was
6	examined and testified further as follows:
7	EXAMINATION (Continued)
8	BY MR. DAMBLY:
9	Q I think during the enforcement conference
10	do you remember telling us that you once picked
11	Fiser back in '94?
12	MR. MARQUAND: Picked him?
13	Q You selected him or you and the selection
14	review board.
15	A I remember that, yeah, I think that's the
16	case, yeah.
17	Q Did you well, what are we up to, 4?
18	MR. MARQUAND: Yeah. This is already
19	marked as a Fiser exhibit.
20	MR. DAMBLY: Oh, is it?
21	MS. EUCHNER: My copy just has the Ex. 25,
22	it doesn't have a sticker on it.
23	BY MR. DAMBLY:
24	Q Is that when he was selected as a
25	chemistry and environment?

•

	79
1	A Right, chemistry and environmental.
2	Q Okay, let me show you a package, I guess
3	it's Fiser Exhibit 15. And it shows chemistry and
4	environmental specialist, people that are going to be
5	interviewed I guess, and the rad control specialist.
6	You guys under you there was also some changes at
7	the same time, is that right?
8	A Let's see, at that time, I was the radcon
9	chemistry manager?
10	Q No, I think you were radcon here.
11	A I would have done the second four. I
12	guess we did all of them.
13	MR. MARQUAND: Review the whole document
14	before you answer the question.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. This looks like I
16	shared it with Pat Hughes.
17	BY MR. DAMBLY:
18	Q You'll see in the back the ratings that
19	were given to Fiser by the panel.
20	A Yeah.
21	Q Or by the board, I should say. And one of
22	them is PWH, which I assume is Pat Hughes.
23	A Right.
24	Q Apparently you and he were both sharing
25	that position or something. Then you had Sabados on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

,

	80
1	here and then we've got something that doesn't have a
2	name on it and then Gordon Rich had the last one. Can
3	you tell by that writing or the numbers?
4	A That's not my writing there, I'm pretty
5	sure that's the case.
6	Q Okay.
7	A It may have been that Pat and I were
8	sharing these. I was in part of the time and he was
9	in part of the time. We don't generally do it that way
10	but that's possible. It shows it was shared here.
11	Q Do you recall specifically interviewing
12	Fiser for the chemistry and environmental specialist
13	position?
14	A No, not offhand.
15	Q Okay. Moving on to '96, there was a
16	reorganization. Tell me how that came about and how
17	much you remember about
18	A We were called into Tom's office and he
19	said we're going to reorganize. My understanding was
20	that we were going to cutback significantly, I don't
21	remember exactly, 17 percent or some number like that,
22	or more. And he wanted us to think about
23	organizations to bring to him for his review. And I
24	remember Ron and I worked on several. Then during
25	this period of time, I was told by Tom I would be go

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

before a selection panel for the position, 1 but 2 somewhere along in there, the decision was made by HR 3 or Tom or the two of them together that I would be the radcon, chemistry manager. And so I was selected and 4 then I became more involved in organizations. 5 Also Ron Grover was involved. 6 Now Ron came up with an 7 organization chart which he was concerned because he was not going to have a position, so he worked out an 8 9 organization where I was the radcon, chemistry manager 10 and he was reporting directly to me. And I told him, 11 I said, Ron, that doesn't make any sense, Tom is not 12 going to go for it because it's just an extra head, 13 you know. I'm not sure how you define that position. 14 But I said you go ahead and present it to Tom, I will 15 not say anything, I won't be negative, just go ahead 16 and make the presentation to him. So that's what 17 happened. As soon as Tom saw it, he said that's not 18 acceptable, I can't do that. 19 Q Do you recall -- my understanding is Mr. 20 Kingsley had said there was a five-year plan I guess, 21 to get down by 40 percent by 2001? 22 Some number like that. А 23

Q Do you remember if Mr. McGrath decided to go down the 40 percent the first year?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

24

1 А I know he wanted to accelerate it, I don't remember exact numbers, but I know he said we might as well just go ahead and try to get there. I remember that discussion.

0 Do you remember any plan drawn up by Grover and/or yourself together or separately that would have, at least for the first year, for the 17 percent cut, kept all three PGA chemistry and environmental specialists?

Α No, I think we were told right up front there would be two chemistry, one PWR and one BWR chemistry people.

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

0 By Mr. McGrath?

Α McGrath, yeah.

0 Okay. Now at the time this was first --I don't know the exact time, but there's a time when Mr. Harvey was being considered to go to Sequoyah with Mr. Kent?

19 Α There was a discussion between Ron Grover 20 and Charles Kent in which that was discussed. I don't 21 know much about the discussion. And I know that 22 Charles called me and asked me what I thought and I 23 said well -- you know, guite often I would give up 24 people to go to the site because the site was more 25 than corporate, important we were support а

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

organization. Gordon Rich was an example, we sent him right to the site when they needed somebody there. He was downtown in corporate. So that wasn't an unusual thing for us to do.

5 I lost my train of thought. Where were 6 we?

MR. MARQUAND: You were talking about Kent called you --

9 Oh, he called me and wanted THE WITNESS: 10 to know what I thought and I said well, I said, do you 11 have an open position, slot, and he said well, you 12 could transfer the position and the budget out here, 13 and I knew that didn't sound too good, and so I went 14 down and talked to Tom and Tom said absolutely not, he 15 says, they have an opening, they can bid that position, put it out for bid and let people apply for 16 17 it and if Sam wins it, he wins it. Whoever wins it, 18 wins it. And he told Charles that. And from what I 19 remember, that kind of ended that. I know that Sam 20 spent a lot of time out at Sequoyah, especially during 21 steam generator outages. He worked with Goetcheus, 22 David Goetcheus. I've got a lot of respect for his 23 knowledge in the steam generator area. He spent a lot of time out there during those outages. 24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

That's all I remember about that.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

	84
1	BY MR. DAMBLY:
2	Q Was Mr. Kent interested in having him come
3	out there?
4	A Yes.
5	Q He expressed that he was pleased with Mr.
6	Harvey?
7	A Yes, he enjoyed his technical
8	capabilities, yes. But he did not have I remember
9	he did not have an opening.
10	Q Okay, and that's why I mean the issue
11	that you recall is McGrath wasn't going to transfer
12	the budget and the position.
13	A No, the only thing he could really do
14	within the rules that we had was transfer the whole
15	chemistry group if he did that. We had three I
16	think we had three chemistry people then, maybe four,
17	but he would have had to transfer the whole chemistry
18	group and he did not want to do that.
19	Q Now you mentioned a minute ago, as part of
20	this reorg, we eliminated the radcon manager and the
21	chemistry and environmental manager and combined them
22	into one position.
23	A That's right.
24	Q And you got that job.

85 And that was kind of the standard industry А 1 2 practice, by the way, not to have a radcon and a 3 chemistry manager, but to have one. Which is what they had at the sites. 4 0 5 Α That's correct. In fact, during that together standard 6 period of time, we put а 7 organization and that's basically the way the standard organization was laid out. 8 And how did you come to end up as the 9 0 person in the radcon, chemistry position? 10 I have no clue. All I know is Tom told me 11 Α 12 I was going to be competing for it and then sometime later while we were doing the evaluation for the 13 organization, he called me in and said you have much 14 more experience, you'll be in that position. He said 15 HR has done some kind of evaluation -- I was not 16 involved in the evaluation -- and you will be the 17 radcon, chemistry manager, and get on with getting the 18 organization finalized. 19 Did you ask -- when Mr. McGrath 20 Okav. 0 indicated that the position would be posted, did you 21 ever contact him and say hey, that's the job I had 22 23 before? Oh, yeah, I went down -- it was not an 24 А argumentative session. I had been the technical 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 programs manager and was over all of that and then was 2 radcon, chemistry manager for a long period of time, 3 and then he actually brought another guy in when I was 4 radcon manager to be the new radcon, chemistry 5 manager, the guy from Browns Ferry, a guy by the name 6 of Alan Sorrell. But I actually performed -- the guy 7 never performed in the position, I performed the 8 position. 9 Now what position was this? 0 10 Α Radon, chemistry manager. 11 So Sorrell at some point was technically Q 12 over your position? 13 А Yes. But he stayed down at Muscle Shoals 14 and was very interested in the laboratory facility and 15 didn't spend any time in Chattanooga and I ran the 16 organization for some period of time. 17 0 Now at some point, you went from technical 18 operations --19 MR. MARQUAND: Technical programs. 20 MR. DAMBLY: Technical programs. 21 0 support, whatever, organization to 22 radcon --23 Α To radcon, chemistry. 24 0 -- to radcon, chemistry.

	87
1	A And then to radcon and then back to
2	radcon, chemistry.
3	Q Okay, and was there a position description
4	ever for radcon, chemistry that you had?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What year was that in?
7	A For the new position, I know I wrote one.
8	Q For the '96 one. I'm talking about the
9	one you had before you became radcon only.
10	A There was one, but that was yeah, there
11	was a position description, sure, because it had to go
12	through Hay Committee, so there was definitely a
13	position description.
14	Q We have for you two PDs at the moment. We
15	have one for your 1990 job as technical support and we
16	have one for the '96 radcon, chemistry.
17	A Okay.
18	Q In between there, you had a radcon
19	position that we don't have a PD for.
20	A There should be one for the radcon,
21	chemistry position.
22	Q We don't have that one either.
23	MR. MARQUAND: I've never seen it.

	88
1	Q From looking through the thing, I didn't
2	know there ever had been that position that you were
3	in.
4	So at some point after you were in the
5	technical programs
6	A They eliminated the protective services,
7	which was fire protection and security.
8	Q Right.
9	A And that became just radcon, chemistry
10	organization.
11	Q Oh, okay, so when from your technical
12	programs, basically because they eliminated or you
13	sent out to the sites two things, there was still the
14	same job, but
15	A Yeah.
16	Q minus two functions.
17	A That's right.
18	Q Okay. It eliminated the job you were in,
19	but they just retitled it.
20	MR. MARQUAND: De facto, I think he was
21	the radcon, chemistry manager.
22	THE WITNESS: Because that's all that was
23	left of that organization.
24	BY MR. DAMBLY:

•

.

89 1 0 Oh. okav. At the time you had the 2 discussion with McGrath where he told you we're going 3 to put you in the job and they talked to personnel or HR, did he tell you the reason is because they can't 4 5 find a PD for your radcon manager position, and so they're going by the position description you had as 6 7 technical program? 8 No, I was told to write a new position А 9 description. 10 Q The '96 one. 11 Α Yeah. 12 0 But I mean when McGrath told you you would be put in it because HR had done some checking, did he 13 14 mention anything to you about there being no position 15 description for the job you were in as radcon manager 16 and therefore --17 Α No, I remember anything about that. 18 0 That wasn't discussed at all? Okay. You 19 wrote the PD for the '96 radcon, chemistry position? 20 А Right. 21 Q When did you write that PD? 22 Α Right after he told me, he gave me a 23 couple of weeks or something and I wrote one and gave it to him for his approval and it went to the Hay 24 25 Committee.

	90
1	Q Okay, and that position had the same
2	functions you had as the technical program manager
3	once you lost protective services?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And was that position still a position on
6	the organization chart at that time?
7	A I don't understand the question.
8	Q At the time you were the radcon person
9	A Yeah.
10	Q was there still a position somewhere
11	that Sorrell had occupied that was radcon, chemistry
12	above you and Grover?
13	A I don't know if it was on the organization
14	chart, I know he was put in that position, at Ike
15	Zeringue's direction. He was at Browns Ferry and he
16	came downtown to fill that position. It was not an
17	advertised position, he just took that position. That
18	when Moody, Don Moody, was the organizational support
19	VP or manager. Whether there was an organization
20	chart, I can't really say.
21	Q You've already told us that McGrath is the
22	one that decided there was going to be a PWR and a BWR
23	position.
24	A That's correct. There was going to be one
25	radcon, chemistry manager in PWR/BWR and we were going

	91
1	to eliminate the it ended up that there was one
2	person in environmental or maybe two, I don't remember
3	for sure. But environmental would be very limited.
4	Q Yeah. When you became the radcon,
5	Chemistry manager in the new organization in '96, how
6	many people did you actually have working for you?
7	A Wow. The final organization?
8	Q Final organization.
9	A There are two chemistry, two radcon, one
10	rad waste, one or two environmental and then the ERMI
11	function which had maybe 20 people.
12	Q ERMI was still in?
13	A Yes, ERMI was always in there.
14	Q And when you were the technical programs
15	manager and shipped the protective services out to the
16	plants, how many people did you have reporting to you
17	back then, I thought you said 140 or so before.
18	A Somewhere in the area of 100, 100+, I
19	don't remember exact number. About six environmental
20	people, five or six radcon, five or six chemistry and
21	emergency preparedness had 10 people. They were
22	always a part of that organization, all the way down
23	through. So with ERMI and with emergency
24	preparedness, you had 30 people right there in those
25	two.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

•

(202) 234-4433

	92
1	Q And ERMI is E-R-M-I, right?
2	A E-R-M-I.
3	Q Do you want to tell us what that stands
4	for?
5	A I used to know I'm a missionary now.
6	(Laughter.)
7	A Environmental radiological monitoring and
8	instrumentation. It did all the instrument repairs
9	and calibrations and it did all the environmental
10	monitoring in the plants. That was their primary
11	function.
12	Q The PDs for the chemistry PWR specialist
13	and the chemistry BWR specialist, who developed those?
14	A The individuals themselves. There was
15	some conflict in regards to who actually did. Sam had
16	always indicated that he was not involved in putting
17	them together. I got involved somewhere along the
18	line and said everybody will be involved and they came
19	up with a consensus PD.
20	Q Do you recall any statements by Sam Harvey
21	that the PDs were written to favor Mr. Fiser?
22	A Beforehand, before I got involved in it,
23	yes.
24	Q Do you remember what changes were made
25	after that?

93 To the PDs? 1 А 2 Right. Q 3 Α No, I don't specifically. I just know he 4 reviewed them but what changes he made, I'm not 5 familiar with. 6 Okay, who selected the selection review 0 7 board for the PWR, BWR --8 Α It was a peer team decision. We met and 9 -- first of all, I was going to get -- I was looking 10 at radcon, chemistry and environmental person and then 11 I represented that to the peer group and they said 12 well, you're the primary users, the radcon, chemistry 13 managers will be the peer team. So it was going to be 14 Charles Kent, Jack Cox and John Corey and myself. Ι 15 was going to be on the committee at that point in 16 time. 17 Okay. And how far -- I mean do you recall 0 18 at all the timing for when the decision was made it 19 was going to be Cox, Kent and Corey and when the 20 actual selection date was going to be? 21 А I think it was about a month before when we had one of the radcon, chemistry peer group 22 meetings, we made the decision then that we were going 23 to have a regular radcon, chemistry peer group meeting 24

	94
1	in the morning and do the review board in the
2	afternoon.
3	Q Okay, and was the date of that fixed the
4	prior month? I mean did you set your monthly meetings
5	for the first Tuesday or something?
6	A We had them for the whole year.
7	Q Okay, so it was already known.
8	A Yes.
[`] 9	Q And when you first set that date, did Mr.
10	Cox indicate to you any problems in being available?
11	A No, not at that point.
12	Q When did he indicate he was going to have
13	a problem?
14	A I don't know the exact date but it was not
15	time for me to try to make some changes because he
16	called and said I just can't make it, a week, 10 days,
17	again, I don't know specifically. And I went to HR
18	and to Tom McGrath and said we've got a problem, Jack
19	can't make it and he said, you know, try somebody from
20	Watts Bar and I said how about the system plant
21	manager, I can't remember his name. So we called and
22	tried to schedule him, but he was not available. And
23	I didn't want to go any lower than that, I wanted to
24	stay up at the radcon, chemistry manager level
25	position because they were the primary users. And

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 then I believe it was -- I don't know if Ben was in there or not, but Tom called me in and said well, how about using Rick Rogers, he knows Gary, he knows Sam, he's a very respected person, how about him. And I said sure, that's fine, that made sense to me, so he was selected to be -- and we still tried to get Jack to participate even at that point, even on the day of the meeting, we tried to get Jack to stay and he just decided he wasn't going to stay.

Q Was there any thought given when he indicated -- when Mr. Cox told you that he wouldn't be able to stay that day -- not that day, but when he first told you, of moving the selection review board, the panel?

A If I'd moved it, somebody else wouldn't have been able to make it, so -- we've gone through those exercises before.

18 Q Okay. Now did Mr. -- do you recall some 19 statement by Mr. Cox that he was biased in favor of 20 Mr. Fiser?

A We were standing outside of the -- where we had the peer group meeting and he just made the statement, he said I don't really need to be in it anyway, because I'd pick Gary Fiser or he did a good job for me -- I don't think it was he exactly said I

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

would pick Gary Fiser or I would select -- he did a good job at Watts Bar.

Q And this was the day the actual panel was going to meet?

I believe that's correct. I don't 5 Yes. А 6 know exactly when it was. Could have been the month before, but he did make that statement. And then we 7 tried, I tried to find out why he couldn't make it and 8 I knew he had a farm and I 9 was not very successful. know he left -- because I used to try to call him in 10 the evenings, he left the plant right after 5:00 and 11 it was always very difficult to get him after 5:00, so 12 I believe that was the reason or some other reason, I 13 don't know exactly. It was his decision not to be 14 there. I was very disappointed because I wanted the 15 three radcon, chemistry managers. 16

Q When he made the statement, I'm satisfied with Gary, he's done a good job for me, did that cause you a problem?

thought it reported that, I was 20 А Ι pertinent enough information to McGrath and to HR. 21 And Tom said well he's not going to be on the 22 selection board anyway, it doesn't really make any 23 But if he was going to be on there, I'd 24 difference.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

	97
1	have to investigate that. That's basically how that
2	ended.
3	Q Well, was it a surprise to you that Mr.
4	Fiser that Mr. Cox thought Mr. Fiser had done a
5	good job for him at Watts Bar?
6	A No.
7	Q Would it have been a surprise for you that
8	Mr. Kent thought Mr. Harvey had done a good job for
9	him at Sequoyah?
10	A He did, he would have said the same thing.
11	Q In fact, they had tried to hire him down
12	there just before that because he wanted his
13	expertise.
14	A Yeah, and Browns Ferry liked Chandra. So
15	Shondra, S-h-o-n-d-r-a.
16	(Laughter.)
17	A And they got him.
18	Q Given McGrath's statement that, you know,
19	he he'd have to investigate it if Cox was going to be
20	there, did it did you go well, Kent also was
21	interested, as you recall, in trying to hire him down
22	there, that would seem to indicate he's happy too;
23	shall we look into that?
24	A Well, the way I looked at it and my
25	thoughts about this is like when you've got to bid

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

•

(202) 234-4433

98 1 something, you investigate all the bidders, you select 2 the three and that means those three people that you 3 selected are capable of doing the job. Then you 4 either get the price or you interview them or whatever 5 the case is, and you make a decision. 6 It appeared to me all three of the guys 7 were qualified. In my mind, I had different opinions 8 about the guys. My opinion was the final straw was 9 going to be go before this panel, there'll be 10 technical questions and they'll be graded and ranked 11 and then you select the two best people. We could 12 only select two out of three. 13 0 Right. 14 Α So to me, that was cut and dried. 15 MR. DAMBLY: Let's take two seconds. 16 (A short recess was taken.) 17 THE WITNESS: I got to thinking, I didn't 18 clarify something well enough in regards to Jack Cox. 19 I was under the impression when we had our discussion 20 outside, he just felt like the use of a review 21 committee was a waste of time, from his standpoint, so 22 that wasn't a priority to him. That was my feeling. 23 I can't speak for Jack and say that was his feeling, but I certainly felt like that was the feeling he had. 24

BY MR. DAMBLY:

25

(202) 234-4433

Q And before the review panel actually met that day and interviewed people, do you recall any statements by Mr. Kent concerning Fiser's DOL complaint?

A He mentioned the fact -- I don't remember the exact words, but something like are you guys aware of Gary's DOL? I basically said there's no further discussion on that topic and that ended it.

9 Q Do you remember who was present when he 10 said it?

A Not for sure, but it usually was -- I don't want to say because I don't know exactly who was there. I do know that Jack and myself and Kent were there.

Q Do you recall -- did Mr. Kent say anything to you before the actual interviews of the people that because Fiser had this DOL thing going and you'd had some involvement with it in the past, that you should refrain from being involved?

A At some point, he may have made that suggestion. I didn't quite understand it, but he felt pretty strongly about it. Because I wasn't even aware of the DOL. I said well, that's fine, you three guys can, you know, be the voting part of this, I'll just observe. I knew I had the final decision, but I also

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

knew the rules, if you decided to go against the panel, you'd go to your boss and review it with him to get his approval, if you changed something that the review board came up with.

Q Okay. Speaking of the rules, what are the rules regarding review boards, as you know them?

I don't know if -- there is a Business 7 Α Practice 201 or something like that that talks about 8 9 that there is a document sent out to let people know that the position is being bid and you receive that 10 information, HR compiles that information together and 11 12 they send it up to the selecting individual, the guy that's looking to fill the position, and you review 13 those documents for education and -- it's a laid out 14 sheet that gives you all the information about the 15 16 individual. And you select the ones that qualify, 17 meet all the requirements.

18 Q The manager that's involved.

19 A That's correct.

20 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

A And then you select a review committee, and it's important to select people that have -- are familiar with the area. It's not unusual to have two very technical people and then a business person in there, people that you respect and you feel can make

(202) 234-4433

a selection. Then you make a recommendation to management. If it's not the same as the review board selected, you give your argument to your boss or somebody else. Then you make an offer to the individual.

Q Now the review board, you've sat on reviewboards before yourself.

А

1

2

3

4

5

8

Yes.

9 Q What is your understanding of what review 10 board members can consider in coming up with their 11 ratings of a candidate?

Well, in this case, I had prepared, with 12 Α input from others, some -- less than 20 questions, I 13 remember, 16, 17 questions, that were 14 don't technically and managerially oriented. 15 Those are presented to the review board for their consideration. 16 They can eliminate all of them if they want to or they 17 can select those. They're not bound by anything. In 18 this particular case, they picked 10 or so and added 19 one on molar ratio, they added a particular question. 20 21 Then it was decided who was going to ask the question. We wanted to have the same guy ask the question each 22 time. And then they were to rank from 1 to 10 how the 23 individual responded. And Ben Easley, from HR, was 24 the one who kept that record. 25

1 0 Okay, now in ranking the responses, are 2 they allowed to consider their personal knowledge of 3 the candidate? For example you ask someone a molar 4 ratio question, we'll say, and they give you a not too 5 good answer but they just worked with you for six 6 months in that area and done stuff and you know they know it cold, they're just having a bad day. Can you 8 take that into account or you just give them a bad day because they --

I can't speak for each one of 10 А the 11 individuals on there, but you do have an opportunity 12 ahead of time to look at their record and -- the 13 person submits whatever they want to submit.

14

7

9

Right.

0

15 They can be previous appraisals, degrees, А 16 information, they can submit whatever they want to 17 submit and that's available to the review board. And 18 then it's up to them to decide how well the person 19 answered a particular question. They can -- it's hard 20 for me to sit here and say what each individual uses as their criteria. 21

22 0 No, I'm not asking what they use as their criteria, I'm just trying -- did anybody ever tell you 23 that -- when you sat on review boards, that all you 24 could consider is the answer to the question as they 25

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

said it on that day and forget the resume, forget the

2 3 No, I never had that feeling. It was an А important part of the response because you can have a 4 bad day and select somebody -- but usually these 5 questions are -- I think they were well couched 6 questions that would -- and you could have a bad day 7 but certainly some things you would need to know 8 definitely. You'd need to know the answer to what a 9 molar ratio -- if you're going to be a chemistry 10 11 manager, you've got to know --What is a molar ratio? 12 0 It's just a sodium phosphate ratio of the 13 Α 14 plant. Is that on the primary or secondary side? 15 0 It's been a steam generator Secondary. 16 А that's the reason we went to volatile 17 problem, chemicals instead of the sodium phosphate addition to 18 the steam generators. 19 Now I'll provide you with a copy of the 20 0 questions, but having looked at them, and we've been 21 through this before, there are two questions that were 22 on this list that are I would call technical 23

competence questions, plus the molar ratio one that was added. One is define the term denting.

1

	104
1	A Yeah.
2	Q And the other one was discuss the INPO
3	chemistry index.
4	A Okay.
5	Q Now denting is something that applies only
6	secondary?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And the
9	A Well, it can apply to other areas, that's
10	where you generally think about it in PWRs. Denting
11	is kind of a generic term in some ways, but steam
12	generator people know what denting means specifically.
13	Q And the INPO chemistry index, that's a
14	secondary?
15	A That's everything. You're actually
16	looking at the chemistry of the plant, there can be
17	primary information and secondary information for the
18	plant.
19	Q Okay. Did anybody make any suggestion to
20	you about including some specific primary chemistry
21	questions in this list?
22	A No.
23	Q Is secondary more important than primary?
24	A I think the INPO chemistry index includes
25	primary, so that's a primary question.

	105
1	Q But the other two were strictly secondary?
2	MR. MARQUAND: What other two?
3	MR. DAMBLY: Denting and the molar ratio.
4	A Molar ratio can be both, steam generators
5	are a secondary side, but they're both I would
6	consider those mostly secondary side; two secondary
7	side, one primary side question.
8	Q Which one is the primary side question?
9	A The chemistry index.
10	Q That covers both sides?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did Mr. Grover have any input on these
13	questions?
14	A Who?
15	Q Ron Grover.
16	A I had some questions from him. I don't
17	know if his were included or not.
18	Q In a PWR, is secondary chemistry more
19	important than primary chemistry?
20	A It's according to who you're talking to.
21	Secondary chemistry is creating most of the problems
22	in PWRs, let me put it that way. The primary side has
23	not been the major problem. You can look at it that
24	way, I wouldn't say one is any more important than the
25	other, but in a PWR, you've got to really watch out.

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

106 You spend most of your chemistry sampling in the 1 2 secondary side. 3 Was -- would it be fair to say 0 Okay. you're more interested in -- for this PWR specialist 4 5 position in somebody with secondary than primary? 6 Α I'd want somebody that knew both, but if 7 I had to pick one, I'd pick secondary side because that's where most of the problems are. But they're 8 9 both very important. 10 0 Given the -- what you told us earlier 11 about Mr. Harvey's expertise was secondary, Mr. 12 Fiser's was primary --That's my opinion. Gary may not agree 13 Α 14 with that. Sam -- I don't know what Sam's feelings 15 would be, but -- state the question again. 16 I didn't get a question yet, I just asked 0 -- you earlier had said that, you know, Mr. Harvey had 17 18 Usually a person that spends a lot of time 19 А at the site like Gary had, should know a lot about 20 21 secondary side chemistry, you would think. But you didn't think that was his strong 22 0 23 point. 24 Α No.

ł	107
1	Q In your mind, did these questions favor
2	Mr. Harvey over Mr. Fiser?
3	A No, I think a lot of the questions has to
4	do with management style, what are your strengths,
5	what are your weaknesses, what are the projects that
6	you would consider more important Sequoyah or PWRs
7	or BWRs, whatever. No, I didn't think they were
8	weighted in any particular direction.
9	Q Did as part of this selection process,
10	did HR and I guess Mr. Easley or Ms. Westbrook provide
11	you a selection notebook also?
12	A They put the primary notebook together.
13	It had the they laid out education, years of
14	experience, that kind of thing, any special classes
15	taken, they laid that information out.
16	Q And you had they gave you a book with
17	all that in it?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you had that plus the recommendation
20	from the
21	A Also had anything that the individual
22	himself had submitted.
23	Q Had submitted. Did you have the
24	performance appraisals in the book you had?
25	A If they submitted them.

108 HR didn't provide them to you? 0 1 Not that I recall. I don't think that was 2 A 3 standard practice. What was standard practice. 0 4 said I don't remember that being 5 Α Т standard practice, of submitting the appraisals. 6 So normally when you made a selection for 7 0 a position, you wouldn't have the person's performance 8 appraisals and take into account past performance? 9 In my case, I think I knew pretty well the 10 Α background of the people and we discussed this quite 11 12 often in the peer group meetings. There were generally discussions about the ranking because every 13 year, we would do incentive awards and all those kinds 14 of things. We would discuss people, so we knew how 15 they were ranked and how well they were thought of by 16 the sites. That was done on a continuous basis in the 17 peer group meetings. 18 Did you know Mr. Grover's relative 19 0 of Mr. Fiser and Mr. Harvey on the 20 rankings 21 performance appraisals? I knew that he ranked Gary fairly high and 22 Α he -- Sam did not think he was very well evaluated by 23 Ron, I knew that. 24 How did you know that? 25 0

	109
1	A Because Sam told me and I talked to Grover
2	about it and he said I think I've been fair.
3	Q Why would Sam come to you?
4	A I was the radcon, chemistry manager. Oh,
5	if you're talking about the time he was reporting to
6	Ron, he didn't come to me during that period of time.
7	Q And those would have been the most recent
8	appraisals that would have been part of his package,
9	the selection package, if they were in there at
10	least they would have been the most recent I guess
11	service reviews?
12	MR. MARQUAND: What question are you
13	asking?
14	MR. DAMBLY: He and I seem not to have a
15	problem.
16	MR. MARQUAND: You've got three going on
17	right now at one time.
18	BY MR. DAMBLY:
19	Q Were the service reviews given Grover
20	that Grover gave to Harvey and to Fiser, when he was
21	chemistry, environmental and you were radcon, so he's
22	not in your chain.
23	A That's right.
24	Q Those are the most recent appraisals prior
25	to your selection for the PWR.

.

	110
1	A I don't recall, but I would expect that
2	would be the case.
3	Q Well, directly before you became radcon
4	and chemistry manager, you had the organization with
5	Grover and you.
6	A Yeah.
7	Q And Grover ranked his people and you
8	appraised your people.
9	A Maybe you missed my discussion. We
10	continuously in our peer group meetings, we always had
11	a session in which we talked about performance of the
12	personnel. We talked quite often about rotating
13	people. We were very much into rotating and
14	discussions about rotating Harvey to Watts Bar and
15	Voeller back to Sequoyah and we would discuss their
16	strengths and weaknesses.
17	Q Now in your peer group meeting, was Grover
18	a member of the peer group?
19	A No.
20	Q Why not?
21	A Let's see now only radcon, chemistry
22	manager was.
23	Q And at the time you were PG-11 radcon and
24	he was a PG-11 chemistry

.

	111
1	A I was not, that was Sorrell that was on
2	there was the member.
3	Q Sorrell was the member.
4	A Yeah.
5	Q Okay, when did you start becoming the
6	member again?
7	A When I became radcon, chemistry manager.
8	Q And that was when McGrath told you you're
9	now in that position?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And so how long after that did you have
12	this meeting with the three radcon chemistry site guys
13	Cox, Kent and Corey at which you discussed the
14	upcoming selections and who would be on the board?
15	A I don't remember a particular month, but
16	we discussed the review board, who would be on the
17	review board and who would write the questions. I was
18	always the work horse on the peer group. And so I was
19	asked to write the questions and Ed reviewed the
20	questions. And they were asked to give input. I
21	think Grover gave some input and I had some questions
22	from previous review boards and I went through those
23	and tried to select.
24	Q So from '94 to '96, you were not part of
25	that peer group?

Whatever time I was a radcon manager, I А 1 was not part of the peer group. I would make 2 3 presentations, but I was not welcome there during their review sessions when they talked about people. 4 5 You were excluded always from any of the sessions in which they discussed personnel between sites. 6 7 0 Okay. In fact, when I was a radcon, chemistry 8 Α 9 manager at corporate, sometimes the three guys would have discussions without me being present if they were 10 11 talking about -- because I did not make decisions regarding the sites, site personnel selections. 12 Okay, do you recall how many peer group 13 0 meetings there were between the time you became 14 15 radcon, chemistry manager and these selections? We had one a month normally. In most 16 А cases, we'd have one a month. 17 Do you remember when you became radcon, 18 0 chemistry manager -- when McGrath told you that you 19 were going to be it? 20 21 Α No. just have hopefully a couple more 22 Q Ι 23 questions. Fiser's do with Mr. 24 It has to At this point, that hasn't been 25 transcription.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

112

	113
1	verified. I want to ask you if you recall well, we
2	did verify one part of it yesterday. This would have
3	been let me figure out the date here okay, it is
4	ostensibly November 16 of 1992, this is a conversation
5	that Mr. Fiser recorded, it's on page 22 of that.
6	MR. MARQUAND: And you're referring to
7	Fiser deposition exhibit 18.
8	MR. DAMBLY: Deposition exhibit 18.
9	BY MR. DAMBLY:
10	Q Page 22 in the middle of the page. It
11	starts:
12	McArthur: "Do you know when the
13	downhill slide started on you with Tom
14	Peterson and Tom McGrath sat in your
15	office that day? I don't know what
16	happened."
17	Fiser: "I do."
18	McArthur: "Well, you know and I
19	don't. When I walked out of that
20	meeting, Tom McGrath said we can't have
21	this guy in Sequoyah chemistry position.
22	Tom Peterson said the same thing and I'm
23	saying to myself what happened in there
24	that I did not see."

	114
1	Do you recall ever having a conversation
2	like that with Mr. Fiser?
3	MR. MARQUAND: Now you're not representing
4	you haven't asked him if he's heard the tapes and
5	you're not representing
6	MR. DAMBLY: I asked him before and he
7	said he's heard part of the tapes. But I'm
8	representing that mr. Fiser said this is what he
9	transcribed. Okay?
10	BY MR. DAMBLY:
11	Q I'm asking you, do you recall having a
12	conversation like this? Not whether you heard it on
13	a tape or not do you recall?
14	A I don't specifically recall. I know I'm
15	responding when he says "am I still in trouble?" I
16	didn't know what the problem was, I didn't know
17	Gary was always complaining about he was not you
18	know, you can go through the transcript he gave down
19	at NRC and it's stunning when you read through there
20	the things he says. For example can I elaborate on
21	this a minute?
22	MR. MARQUAND: Go ahead.
23	THE WITNESS: He says he was asked by
24	somebody in the organization, I think it was Bill
25	Lagren, to evaluate come up with chemistry

(202) 234-4433

.

(202) 234-4433

.

1 concerns, so he came up with 120, 130. Well, it is a 2 rule in a nuclear organization when you come up with 3 concerns, you put them into TROI. Well, he didn't do 4 that, he decided or somebody there decided we're going 5 to keep those separate. You don't do that, that's not the way you solve problems in a nuclear program. 6 But 7 that did take place. 8 Then in his report, he says --9 BY MR. DAMBLY: 10 0 Now when you say TROI, that's an acronym? 11 Α I don't know what it stands for. 12 MR. MARQUAND: It's the tracking of open 13 items. 14 Α Tracking items. 15 0 Oh, okay. 16 And so then later on he says -- he called Α 17 the plant manager and the plant manager chewed him 18 out. Well, hell, I would have chewed him out too, if 19 he'd come to me just before INPO comes in and says 20 I've got 120, 130 questions, boss. 21 MR. MAROUAND: I don't think you're 22 explaining what your concern about him having the 120 23 questions is. 24 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. The point is 25 it's standard to do this kind of thing. Bill Jocher

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

115

	116
1	did it, you go through and you evaluate your program
2	and we had internally we did that on a continuous
3	basis, and you tracked them. You put them into TROI
4	and you track them to completion.
5	But I think I'm getting
6	MR. MARQUAND: What was the problem with
7	him coming up with if in fact he did, what was the
8	problem with it?
9	THE WITNESS: He didn't report it to
10	management and put it in TROI.
11	MR. MARQUAND: He hadn't done it.
12	THE WITNESS: He hadn't done it.
13	BY MR. DAMBLY:
14	Q Well, did he report it to Bill Lagren?
15	A I don't know. I never saw those
16	questions.
17	MR. MARQUAND: If in fact he did that,
18	that was a problem.
19	THE WITNESS: If he did that, yeah. And
20	then
21	MR. MARQUAND: It was that he'd been
22	hiding them.
23	THE WITNESS: And then what was really
24	strange is later in that report he says well out of
25	120 to 30, maybe six of them were used to tweak the

```
(202) 234-4433
```

chemistry program. That meant the other -- to me, that means the other 100+ were trivial questions. That's what I'd gather from it, I don't know that for a fact, but that's certainly what -- because that's part of his testimony.

So I said boy, that's not good management, there's something wrong here. So I didn't know what Gary's problem was, I knew he always felt like the people were after him, I'd hear that all the time. And so I'm just saying I don't know what's the problem, I knew what had happened.

12 In NSRB it's very consistent for us to 13 challenge somebody and give them a rough time. That 14 was part of our job, to try to get to the bottom of 15 problems. And Gary was not treated in any different 16 He was treated -- we were very upset at his way. 17 response and that's not unusual. We did that at 18 Browns Ferry and Watts Bar when we found things that 19 were incorrect.

20 BY MR. DAMBLY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21 Q Do you recall McGrath ever coming out and 22 saying at Watts Bar or Browns Ferry we can't have this 23 guy in the chemistry position?

A I'm sure -- this is my -- McGrath did not come right out and say get Gary out of here. He did

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

118 not think that he was qualified to fill that position, 1 2 his management style was too weak. That's my 3 assessment. 4 Do you remember whether or not 0 Okav. 5 that's what you told Fiser? Do you remember telling 6 Fiser that McGrath said we've got to have him out of 7 the Sequoyah chemistry position? 8 Α I don't recall telling him that, I don't 9 think I would have said that. That's for McGrath to 10 say instead of me. 11 0 Well, do you recall McGrath ever saving that to you? 12 13 He just knew he was a weak manager, he Α 14 didn't come out to me and say I think we need to get 15 Gary out of there. That never transpired. 16 0 Did he ever comment to you on when Gary was switched -- not transferred but he and Jocher made 17 the switch -- did Mr. McGrath ever comment to you that 18 19 he shouldn't go to corporate because he can't handle 20 it? 21 А He wasn't involved in -- you mean as 22 chairman of the NSRB? 23 0 No, did he just comment to you? 24 Α No, he wasn't my boss, Dan Keuter was my 25 boss at that point in time.

	119
1	Q I appreciate he wasn't your boss and he
2	wasn't in your chain. Did he make the comment at all
3	about Fiser going to corporate, any comment, negative?
4	A Not to me.
5	Q Okay. And then the last one we'll
6	discuss, page 80 in Fiser Exhibit 18. Again, this is
7	Mr. Fiser's transcription of tapes that he had
8	recorded. It represents what he thinks is on the
9	tapes. In the middle of the page, this is Fiser.
10	"Well, my inclination is
11	MR. MARQUAND: This is supposedly when?
12	Isn't this like July of '93 right after his surgery?
13	If you look at the top of page
14	MR. DAMBLY: July 14, 1993.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
16	BY MR. DAMBLY:
17	Q What were you doing July 14 of 1993?
18	A Let's see, April I had surgery, I was just
19	coming back and at that point in time this is
20	difficult for me to recall, I knew that I was acting
21	as the operations support manager but also running
22	radcon, chemistry organization. So that's what I was
23	doing.

120 1 0 Okay. Were you on drugs that made you say 2 things that you thought weren't true or somehow 3 affected your memory or your ability to --4 А I don't think so. 5 -- think and talk rationally. Q 6 MR. MAROUAND: You mean no more than 7 normally. 8 THE WITNESS: No more than normal. 9 BY MR. DAMBLY: 10 0 Okav. Well, I got the impression from 11 your counsel a minute ago that somehow your surgery 12 had an impact on what you would have said. 13 А Oh, I was very weak for a three or four 14 month period of time. 15 0 But it didn't affect your thought 16 processes. 17 had just started radiation Α No, Ι 18 I'd just finished the surgery and the treatment. 19 chemotherapy and now I was starting on radiation for 20 eight weeks. So I was in radiation during that period 21 of time. MR. MARQUAND: 'Away from the plant. 22 Oh, yeah, I didn't stand on 23 THE WITNESS: 24 top of Browns Ferry and spread my legs.

	121
1	MR. DAMBLY: Somebody suggested that in
2	one of these things.
3	THE WITNESS: I did.
4	MR. DAMBLY: You did, was that you?
5	THE WITNESS: As a good way to get
6	radiation treatments cheap way.
7	BY MR. DAMBLY:
8	Q Okay, so back to the middle of page 80,
9	which is July 14 of '93.
10	Mr. Fiser says, "Well, my
11	inclination right now is to take him to
12	court."
13	McArthur: "What I would do"
14	Fiser: "I talked to Lagren about
15	it and he said that you need to be real
16	careful, that because even if you win, it
17	might not be good."
18	McArthur: "If you lose, in fact,
19	this is what I told Bill Jocher, what
20	happens is nobody wants you. They don't
21	want somebody who is a troublemaker.
22	Even though you are defending your own
23	manly hood, the right to have a job and
24	those kinds of things. That is not the
25	way people look at it. This is a big

	122
1	decision you have to make, a lot of
2	companies will not hire you if you have a
3	legal history. That is the thing you've
4	got to keep in mind."
5	A I thought that was good advice.
6	MR. MARQUAND: I think the first question
7	is did you
8	BY MR. DAMBLY:
9	Q Do you recall saying that to
10	A No, I don't know if I could say that.
11	Q From your experience at TVA, would you say
12	that that's applicable to TVA, that people who take
13	them on
14	A No, to me, TVA has been the fairest
15	organization I've ever worked with in my life. I'll
16	say that. When I read through what Gary Fiser said in
17	his testimony down t here, I was shocked. The first
18	time I really got a chance to read through it was last
19	couple of days and I don't know individuals in TVA
20	that have the feelings that he does. To me, it's like
21	he hates the company and hates the policies. I don't
22	feel that here, I think this is a company when you
23	provide concerns, they are tracked to conclusion,
24	they're taken care of. That's my belief. But I don't
25	think that Jocher that Gary was very fair to the

123 company in what he had to say. It looked like he was 1 2 trying to blame somebody for his problems. He was not 3 a good manager -- he was not a good manager -- and he 4 didn't want to accept that fact. 5 0 And, in your estimation, was Harvey a good 6 manager? 7 Α I didn't look at him as a manager either 8 because they're technical people. Their positions were 9 not to manage, their positions were to solve technical 10 problems. 11 0 Well, the group of questions you came up 12 with, and I guess out of the 10, seven of them were 13 management questions. 14 Well, you have to deal with the sites, А 15 that requires ability to deal with people. If you 16 don't have some management skills, you'll fail 17 miserably. 18 0 Well, how were Harvey's management skills? 19 Α They weren't the best in the world. 20 Did you have any information concerning Q 21 complaints that had been made against Mr. Harvey, 22 potentially harassment by Tresh Landers? 23 Α Yeah, I was aware of that, she came to me.

1 Q Did anybody come to you with anything from 2 Sequoyah that the people there, some of the staf 3 there, weren't happy? 4 A I don't recall anybody from Sequoya 5 coming to me. 6 Q Okay. 7 A Again, he was an opinionated person too 8 He had his opinions about things and he was very 9 strong and vocal about his position.	
 there, weren't happy? A I don't recall anybody from Sequoya coming to me. Q Okay. A Again, he was an opinionated person too He had his opinions about things and he was very 	n
 A I don't recall anybody from Sequoya coming to me. Q Okay. A Again, he was an opinionated person too He had his opinions about things and he was very 	£
 coming to me. Q Okay. A Again, he was an opinionated person too He had his opinions about things and he was very 	
 Q Okay. A Again, he was an opinionated person too He had his opinions about things and he was very 	n
7 A Again, he was an opinionated person too 8 He had his opinions about things and he was ver	
8 He had his opinions about things and he was ver	
9 strong and vocal about his position. Gary was no	Ţ
	:
10 that way, he was more laid back.	
11 Q Anybody complain to you about personal	•
12 interactions with Mr. Fiser?	
A Other than Tresh Landers.	
14 Q Well, she didn't complain about Mr. Fiser	•
15 I'm talking about Mr. Fiser.	
16 A Oh, Fiser.	
17 Q If she complained about Mr. Fiser, I'd	
18 like to hear about that though. I haven't heard that	
19 yet.	
20 A Well, I told these guys yesterday, I said	
21 I thought Gary was very, very extremely rude to	
22 Tresh Landers. But they were very close friends, I	
23 guess close friends can he would say things like we	
24 don't need women in engineering. They were right	
25 outside my office. That's the reason I was really	

1 surprised when they came up about Sam, I said gosh, 2 the more criticism I've heard has been from Gary than 3 from Sam. I was surprised, because he was very 4 downgrading to her, at least in my opinion, from what 5 I heard. But she laughed and they just got along, I guess they went to lunch together and things, they 6 7 were very close friends. And Sam was not a part of 8 that group.

Q Okay.

9

12

13

14

23

24

10AWhat was the original question? I don't11think I've answered your question.

Q My question was did anybody come to you and complain about Gary Fiser's interactions with them, from a management --

A Yeah, when we went around to the people part in NSRB, we would ask people their opinions about decisions and those kinds of things and Gary was not on the highest level with everybody, but I'm not sure where anybody would rank in that kind of case. Yeah, there were complaints.

21 MS. EUCHNER: Can we go off the record for 22 a minute.

(Brief pause.)

MR. DAMBLY: Back on the record.

25 || BY MR. DAMBLY:

	126
1	Q There was a statement, and it could have
2	come up in depositions, that the selection, the '96
3	selection for chemistry position, PWR, was delayed I
4	thought, because Fiser had raised the complaint that
5	if you post it, I'm going to file a DOL complaint.
6	A I don't think it was delayed.
7	Q Do you remember there was some hold put on
8	while legal looked into whether or not the settlement
9	agreement
10	MR. MARQUAND: If he knows.
11	A I don't recall it being delayed because we
12	had scheduled it for the next radcon peer group
13	meeting, we held it then, so there may have been
14	some discussion in between, because I was kind of
15	surprised he would consider DOL without a decision
16	being made. No decision had been made when he
17	threatened to that to me was intimidating.
18	Q You're aware that he said if they post
19	this, I'm going to file a complaint?
20	A I heard that from Ben Easley.
21	Q And before the selection process. You
22	heard that relatively contemporaneously, before it was
23	posted or after it was posted?
24	A I honestly don't recall.
25	Q Before the selection was made.

-

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

		127
1	A	Yes.
2		MR. DAMBLY: Well, I think we'll let you
3	go home.	
4		THE WITNESS: Okay.
5		MR. DAMBLY: Thank you very much for your
6	time.	
7		THE WITNESS: All right, thank you.
8		(Whereupon, the deposition was concluded
9	at 2:17 p.m	n.)
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		

NEAL R. GROSS