.Docket No. 50-382

Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst Vice President Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. Post Office Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

120 0P

Dear Mr. Barkhurst:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 73 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

NPF-38 - WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M82599)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 73 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 for the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The amendment revises the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated January 6, 1992.

The amendment changes the Appendix A Technical Specifications by modifying the snubber surveillance requirements in accordance with Generic Letter 90-09, dated December 11, 1990.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

David L. Wigginton, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 73 to NPF-38

2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DISTRIBUTION

OPA(MS2G5)

Docket File D. Wigginton(2) P. Noonan D. Hagan C. Grimes(11E22)

G. Hill(4) PD4-1 Plant File OC/LFMB(MS4503)

NRC/Local PDR

ACRS(10) (MSP315)

M. Virgilio

PD4-1 Reading J. Larkins OGC (MS15B18) Wanda Jones (MS7103)

R. Twigg T. Westerman, RIV

PE:PD4-1 4 PM (PD4-1 **OFC** LA:PD4-ANK

D:PD4-1 DWigginton MZOBLER NAME **PNoonan** RTwigd JLärkins /92 12492 DATE ℬ/\^Q/92 /92

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY Document Name: WAT82599.amd



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 17, 1992

Docket No. 50-382

Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst Vice President Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. Post Office Box B Killona. Louisiana 70066

Dear Mr. Barkhurst:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 73 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

NPF-38 - WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M82599)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 73 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 for the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3. The amendment revises the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated January 6, 1992.

The amendment changes the Appendix A Technical Specifications by modifying the snubber surveillance requirements in accordance with Generic Letter 90-09, dated December 11, 1990.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

David L. Wigginton, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 73 to NPF-38

2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst Entergy Operations, Inc.

cc:

Mr. Glenn Miller, Administrator Radiation Protection Division Office of Air Quality and Nuclear Energy Post Office Box 82135 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135

Mr. John R. McGaha Vice President, Operations Support Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286

William A. Cross Bethesda Licensing Office 3 Metro Center Suite 610 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. Robert B. McGehee Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway P.O. Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Mr. D. F. Packer General Manager Plant Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

Mr. L. W. Laughlin, Licensing Manager Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

Winston & Strawn Attn: N.S. Reynolds 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3502 Waterford 3

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Resident Inspector/Waterford NPS Post Office Box 822 Killona, Louisiana 70066

President, Parish Council St. Charles Parish Hahnville, Louisiana 70057

Mr. Donald C. Hintz
Executive Vice President and
Chief Operating Officer
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P. O. Box 31995
Jackson, Mississippi 39286

Chairman Louisiana Public Service Commission One American Place, Suite 1630 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70825-1697

Mr. R. F. Burski, Director Nuclear Safety Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-382

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 73 License No. NPF-38

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) dated January 6, 1992, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 73, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John T. Larkins, Director Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 17, 1992

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 73

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-38

DOCKET NO. 50-382

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

| REMOVE PAGES | INSERT PAGES |
|--------------|--------------|
| 3/4 7-21 | 3/4 7-21 |
| - | 3/4 7-21a |
| - | 3/4 7-21b |
| 3/4 7-22 | 3/4 7-22 |
| B 3/4 7-5 | B 3/4 7-5 |
| B 3/4 7-6 | B 3/4 7-6 |

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.8 SNUBBERS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.8 All hydraulic and mechanical snubbers shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4. MODES 5 and 6 for snubbers located on systems required OPERABLE in those OPERATIONAL MODES.

ACTION:

With one or more snubbers inoperable on any system, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to OPERABLE status and perform an engineering evaluation per Specification 4.7.8g. on the attached component or declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate ACTION statement for that system.

SURVELLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.8 Each snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program and the requirements of Specification 4.0.5.

a. <u>Inspection Types</u>

As used in this specification, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

b. <u>Visual Inspections</u>

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these categories (inaccessible and accessible) may be inspected independently according to the schedule determined by Table 4.7-2. The visual inspection interval for each type of snubber shall be determined based upon the criteria provided in Table 4.7-2 and the first inspection interval determined using this criteria shall be based upon the previous inspection interval as established by the requirements in effect before amendment.

TABLE 4.7-2 SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

| Population | NUMBER OF UNACCEPTABLE SNUBBERS Column A Column B Column C | | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Extend Interval | Repeat Interval (Notes 4 & 6) | Reduce Interval (Notes 5 & 6) |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 80 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 100 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 150 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 200 | 2 | 5 | 13 |
| 300 | 5 | 12 | 25 |
| 400 | 8 | 18 | 36 |
| 500 | 12 | 24 | 48 |
| 750 | 20 | 40 | 78 |
| 1000 or greater | 29 | 56 | 109 |

- Note 1: The next visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category size shall be determined based upon the previous inspection interval and the number of unacceptable snubbers found during that interval. Snubbers may be categorized, based upon their accessibility during power operation, as accessible or inaccessible. These categories may be examined separately or jointly. However, the licensee must make and document that decision before any inspection and shall use that decision as the basis upon which to determine the next inspection interval for that category.
- Note 2: Interpolation between population or category sizes and the number of unacceptable snubbers is permissible. Use next lower integer for the value of the limit for Columns A, B, or C if that integer includes a fractional value of unacceptable snubbers as determined by interpolation.
- Note 3: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval may be twice the previous interval but not greater than 48 months.

TABLE 4.7-2 (Continued) SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL

- Note 4: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or less than the number in Column B but greater than the number in Column A, the next inspection interval shall be the same as the previous interval.
- Note 5: If the number of unacceptable snubbers is equal to or greater than the number in Column C, the next inspection interval shall be two-thirds of the previous interval. However, if the number of unacceptable snubbers is less than the number in Column C but greater than the number in Column B, the next interval shall be reduced proportionally by interpolation, that is, the previous interval shall be reduced by a factor that is one-third of the ratio of the difference between the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous interval and the number in Column B to the difference in the numbers in Columns B and C.
- Note 6: The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 are applicable for all inspection intervals up to and including 48 months.

113

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

c. <u>Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria</u>

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component and to the snubber anchorage are functional. Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspections shall be classified as unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per Specification 4.7.8f. All snubbers found connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as unacceptable for determining the next inspection interval. A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable and the ACTION requirements shall be met.

d. Transient Event Inspection

An inspection shall be performed of all hydraulic and mechanical snubbers attached to sections of systems that have experienced unexpected, potentially damaging transients as determined from a review of operational data and a visual inspection of the systems within 6 months following such an event. In addition to satisfying the visual inspection acceptance criteria, freedom-of-motion of mechanical snubbers shall be verified using at least one of the following: (1) manually induced snubber movement; or (2) evaluation of in-place snubber piston setting; or (3) stroking the mechanical snubber through its full range of travel.

CONTROLLED VENTILATION AREA SYSTEM (Continued)

Operation of the system with the heaters on for at least 10 hours continuous over a 31-day period is sufficient to reduce the buildup of moisture on the adsorbers and HEPA filters. Obtaining and analyzing charcoal samples after 720 hours of adsorber operation (since the last sample and analysis) ensures that the adsorber maintains the efficiency assumed in the safety analyses and is consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.52.

3/4.7.8 SNUBBERS

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the reactor coolant system and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed, would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

Snubbers are classified and grouped by design and manufacturer but not by size. For example, mechanical snubbers utilizing the same design features of the 2-kip, 10-kip, and 100-kip capacity manufactured by Company "A" are of the same type. The same design mechanical snubbers manufactured by Company "B" for the purposes of this Technical Specification would be of a different type, as would hydraulic snubbers from either manufacturer.

A list of individual snubbers with detailed information of snubber location and size and of system affected shall be available at the plant in accordance with Section 50.71(c) of 10 CFR Part 50. The accessibility of each snubber shall be determined and approved by the Plant Operations Review Committee. The determination shall be based upon the existing radiation levels and the expected time to perform a visual inspection in each snubber location as well as other factors associated with accessibility during plant operations (e.g., temperature, atmosphere, location, etc.), and the recommendations of Regulatory Guides 8.8 and 8.10. The addition or deletion of any hydraulic or mechanical snubber shall be made in accordance with Section 50.59 of 10 CFR Part 50.

Guidance for visual inspection is provided in NRC Generic Letter 90-09. A visual inspection is the observation of the condition of installed snubbers to identify those that are damaged, degraded, or inoperable as caused by physical means, leakage, corrosion, or environmental exposure. The functional testing program provides a 95 percent confidence level that 90 to 100 percent of the snubbers will operate within the specified acceptance limits. The performance of visual examinations is a separate process that complements the functional testing program and provides additional confidence in snubber operability.

SNUBBERS (Continued)

The visual inspection schedule (as determined by Table 4.7-2) is based on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous inspection in proportion to the sizes of the various snubber populations or categories. A snubber is considered unacceptable if it fails the acceptance criteria of the visual inspection. The inspection interval is based on a fuel cycle of up to 24 months and may be as long as two cycles, or 48 months for plants with other fuel cycles, depending on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the previous visual inspection.

The acceptance criteria are to be used in the visual inspection to determine OPERABILITY of the snubbers. For example, if a fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered, the snubber shall be declared inoperable and shall not be determined OPERABLE via functional testing.

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability one of three functional testing methods are used with the stated acceptance criteria:

- Functionally test 10% of a type of snubber with an additional 10% tested for each functional testing failure, or
- 2. Functionally test a sample size and determine sample acceptance or rejection using Figure 4.7-1, or
- 3. Functionally test a representative sample size and determine sample acceptance or rejection using the stated equation.

Figure 4.7-1 was developed using "Wald's Sequential Probability Ratio Plan" as described in "Quality Control and Industrial Statistics" by Acheson J. Duncan.

Permanent or other exemptions from the surveillance program for individual snubbers may be granted by the Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and, if applicable, snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubbers for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date. Snubbers so exempted shall be listed in the list of individual snubbers indicating the extent of the exemptions.

The service life of a snubber is established via manufacturer input and information through consideration of the snubber service conditions and associated installation and maintenance records (newly installed snubber, seal replaced, spring replaced, in high radiation area, in high temperature area, etc.). The requirement to monitor the snubber service life is included to ensure that the snubbers periodically undergo a performance evaluation in view of their age and operating conditions. These records will provide statistical bases for future consideration of snubber service life.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 73 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-38

ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 3

DOCKET NO. 50-382

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated January 6, 1992, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), submitted a request for changes to the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 (Waterford 3) Technical Specifications (TS). The changes would remove the snubber visual examination schedule in the existing TS and replace it with the refueling-outage-based visual examination schedule from Table 1 of Generic Letter (GL) 90-09, dated December 11, 1990.

2.0 EVALUATION

The snubber visual examination schedule in the existing Technical Specification is based on the permissible number of inoperable snubbers found during the visual examination. Because this schedule is based only on the absolute number of inoperable snubbers found during the visual examinations irrespective of the total population of snubbers, licensees with a large snubber population find the schedule excessively restrictive. The purpose of the alternative visual examination schedule is to allow the licensee to perform visual examinations and take corrective actions during plant outages without reducing the confidence level provided by the existing schedule. new schedule specifies the permissible number of inoperable snubbers for various snubber populations. The basic examination interval is the normal fuel cycle up to 24 months. Depending on the number of unacceptable snubbers found during the visual examination, this interval may be extended to as long as twice the fuel cycle or reduced to as short as two-thirds of the fuel cycle. The examination interval may vary by ±24 percent to coincide with the actual outage.

In the event that one or more snubbers are found inoperable during a visual examination, the Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) in the present TS require the licensee to restore the inoperable snubbers to operable status, or replace them, within 72 hours or to declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate action statement for that system. This LCO will remain

in the TS. However, the permissible number of inoperable snubbers and the subsequent visual examination interval will now be determined in accordance with the new visual examination schedule (Table 1 of GL 90-09, dated December 11, 1990). As noted in the guidance for this line item TS improvement, certain corrective actions may have to be performed, depending on the number of inoperable snubbers found. All requirements for corrective actions and evaluations associated with the use of the visual examination schedule and stated in Footnotes 1 through 7 of Table 1 of GL 90-09 shall be included in the TS.

The licensee has proposed changes to Specification 3.14 that are consistent with the guidance provided in GL 90-09 for the replacement of the snubber visual examination schedule with Table 1 (including Footnotes 1 through 7) of GL 90-09. On the basis of its review, the staff finds that the proposed changes to the TS for Waterford 3 are acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Louisiana State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes in surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding (57 FR 7811). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: R. Twigg

Date: April 17, 1992