June 18,2002

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

10 CFR 50.73

Gentlemen:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT (SQN) UNIT 2 - DOCKET NO. 50-328- FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR 79 - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-328/2002002

The enclosed report provides details concerning a manual reactor trip as a result of control rods not responding as required. This event is being reported, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv), as an event that resulted in a manual actuation of engineered safety features including the reactor protection system. This letter is being sent in accordance with NRC RIS 2001-05.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Dennis L. Koehl for

Richard T. Purcell

Enclosure cc (Enclosure): INPO Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

										MB NO. 3150	0104 E	VDIDE	273	1 2004	
NRC FORM 36 (7-2001)	CENSEI	E EVEN	IT REF	ORT (LER)	OMMIS	SION	C. Mar	stand becauters			a la constata a la fac				ollection request: 50 ss and fed back to ent Branch (T-6 E6), y internet e-mail to affairs, NEOB-10202 eans used to impose r, the NRC may not n collection.
1. FACILITY N. Sequoyah N		Plant (S	QN) U	NIT 2				OCKET NI 0500	ΜВ	ER	required to	3. PA		1 OF	
4. TITLE Manual Rea		ip Res			ailur				to F	Respond					
5. EVE MO	NT DATE	YEAR	6 YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REV			YEAR	5.4	CILITY NAME	8. OTHER			INVOLVER UMBER)
мо	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NO	MO	DAY	YEAR							
05	19	2002	2002	- 002 -	00	06	19	2002	FA	CILITY NAME		DOCK	ET N	UMBER	
9. OPERA MODE		2	100		PORT				то т				•		11.77
10. POW		000		2201(b) 2201(d)		20.220			-	50.73(a)(2)(50.73(a)(2)(a)(2)(ix)(A a)(2)(x)	.)
LEVEL	-	000	20	2203(a)(1)		50.36(c)(1)()(Δ)	¥	50.73(a)(2)($in()(\Delta)$	73	271/	a)(4)	
			20.3	2203(a)(2)(i)		50.36(c)(1)(i		[^]	50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	73	3.71(a)(5)	
			20.:	2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	OT Sp 36	'HER ecify i 6A	n Abstract be	low or in NRC Form
				2203(a)(2)(iii) 2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.46(50.73(a)(3)(i	i)		50.73(a)(2)(50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)		071		
			20.3	2203(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)				
			20.3	2203(a)(2)(vi) 2203(a)(3)(i)		50.73(50.73(50.73(a)(2)(50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)]			
			20.		2. LIC			TACT FOR	THI		viii)(D)				
NAME James Proff	itt									LEPHONE NUM	(42	23) 84	3-6	651	
		13. CO	OMPLET	E ONE LINE	FOR E	EACH C	OMP	ONENT FA	ILUI	RE DESCRIE	BED IN TH	HIS RE	POR	т	
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COM	PONENT	MANU- FACTURER		ORTABLE D EPIX		CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPON	NENT		MANU- CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
х	AA	F	RLY	C345		Ν									
I	14	. SUPPLI	EMENT	AL REPORT E	XPEC	TED			-	15. EXPEC	CTED	MON	ΤН	DAY	YEAR
YES (If y	es, comp	lete EXP	ECTED	SUBMISSION	DATE	Ξ)	1	10		30510133101	DATE				
16. ABSTRAC															
On May 1 manually	r trig	ped	as a	result	of	a R	od	Contr	ol	System	Urge	ent i	Fa	ilure	Alarm
on Shuto															
															rod bank
B, when															
															ould not
															ositive
reactivi															
to inser	t neg	gativ	e re	activity	y.	The	Gr	oup 2	CC	ontrol	bank	rod	s 1	would	not
move. A	s pre	eviou	sly	discuss	ed,	the	re	actor	Wa	as manu	ally	tri	pp	ed ba	sed on
not beir	iq ab]	le to	ins	ert gro	up '	two	rod	s in (ror	itrol b	ank I) an	d i	shutd	own bank

move. As previously discussed, the reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to insert group two rods in control bank D and shutdown bank B with a slight increasing reactivity trend. The main control room operators took appropriate actions to stabilize the reactor in hot standby (Mode 3). The most likely cause of the condition appears to be an intermittent failure of the multiplexing relay in the rod control system. The multiplexing relay was replaced.

NRC FORM 366 (7-2001)

Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2 05000328 YEAR Sequoyah Revision 2 NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRCForm 3664) (17) 1 PLANT CONDITION(S) 2 002 002 00 00 2 NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRCForm 3664) (17) 1 PLANT CONDITION(S) 2 000 May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Roc Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B as Control Eank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdow and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the con room crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown rob bank B did no move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The control room crew, including the Unit two shift manager and low power physics testing test director, discussed the control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew decided to insert Control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The control room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert the control room set exited to only group one of Control Bank D more the group two control rods did not move. The crew was a for the group two control rods did not move. The crew was a for the group two control rods did not move. The crew was a for the group two control rods did not move. The crew was a for the group two control rods did not move. T	NRC FORM 3 (1-2001)	366A				U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATOR	RY COMMISSIO
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2 05000328 YEAR Sequeption REVISION 2 NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) 1. PLANT CONDITION(S) 2002 002 00 00 - 0			LICENSEE EVEN	T REPORT	(LER))		
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2 05000328 NUMBER 2 NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) I. PLANT CONDITION(S) 2 Unit 2 was in Mode 2 with the performance of low power phys testing in progress. II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT A. Event: On May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Roc Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B & Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdo and control rods (EIIS Code A) fully withdrawn, the con room crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown bank B did me move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B did me move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. The annunciator response procedure for the to control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew decided to insert Control Bank D to insert engative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert the control room set decided to insert Control Bank D to insert engative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert the control rods but only group one of Control Bank D method the group two control rods did not move. The crew was a for the protential for group two to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reac			FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET				PAGE (3)
 NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) I. PLANT CONDITION(S) Unit 2 was in Mode 2 with the performance of low power phys testing in progress. II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT A. <u>Event:</u> On May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Rod Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B a Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdd and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the con room crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown rod bank B did nm wove when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B did nm wove when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew selected D control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The control room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert the control room data. And the potential for group two to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to 	Sequoyah	Nuclea	ar Plant (SQN) Unit 2	05000328	YEAR		REVISION	2 OF 6
 PLANT CONDITION(S) Unit 2 was in Mode 2 with the performance of low power phystesting in progress. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT A. Event: On May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Roc Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B a Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdd and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the con room crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown rod bank B as at 45 steps. Group two of shutdown rod bank B did m move when the main control room hank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew identifies the insert of room crew identifies the insert of the shutdow power physics testing test director, discussed the control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew selected D control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contror com crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert the control rods but only group one of Control Bank D m The group two control rods did not move. The crew was a of the potential for group two to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to 					2002 -	- 002	- 00	
Unit 2 was in Mode 2 with the performance of low power phystesting in progress. I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT A. Event: On May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Row Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B a Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdw and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the conroom crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown bank B was at 40 steps and group two of shutdown rod bank as at 45 steps. Group two of shutdown rod bank B did m move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew selected D control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contrror com crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert the control rods but only group one of Control Bank D m The group two control rods did not move. The crew was any of the potential for group two to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to				()				
I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT A. Event: On May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Roy Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B as Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdw and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the controm crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, the control room crew identified that group one of shutdown bank B was at 40 steps and group two of shutdown rod bank as at 45 steps. Group two of shutdown rod bank B did m move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew selected D control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert for other bank prove when the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert for the reactivity increase. The contror more decided to insert Control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to insert for other bank prove to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to		Uni	it 2 was in Mode 2 with th	ne perfor	rmance	e of low	power p	hysics
On May 19, 2002, at 0447 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) the Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Rod Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdd and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the con room crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown bank B was at 40 steps and group two of shutdown rod ban as at 45 steps. Group two of shutdown rod bank B did nu move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The con room crew, including the Unit two shift manager and low power physics testing test director, discussed the condition. The annunciator response procedure for the re control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room crew decided to insert Control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to inser the control rods but only group one of Control Bank D m The group two control rods did not move. The crew was a of the potential for group two to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to	н.							
Unit 2 reactor was manually tripped as a result of a Rod Control System Urgent Failure Alarm on Shutdown Bank B a Control Bank D during low power physics testing. During performance of low power physics testing with the shutdo and control rods (EIIS Code AA) fully withdrawn, the con room crew attempted to insert shutdown rod bank B, as required by the test instruction, when the rod control urgent alarm actuated. After actuation of the alarm, th control room crew identified that group one of shutdown bank B was at 40 steps and group two of shutdown rod ban as at 45 steps. Group two of shutdown rod bank B did m move when the main control room handswitch was placed in IN position. With shutdown rod bank B not able to be inserted, a slight positive reactivity existed. The con room crew, including the Unit two shift manager and low power physics testing test director, discussed the condition. The annunciator response procedure for the p control urgent alarm was reviewed. The control room cre decided to insert Control Bank D to insert negative reactivity and stop the reactivity increase. The contro room crew selected D control bank and attempted to inser the control rods but only group one of Control Bank D m The group two control rods did not move. The crew was of the potential for group two to not respond, based on problem with shutdown bank B. As previously discussed, reactor was manually tripped based on not being able to		Α.	Event:					
 insert group two rods in control bank D and shutdown ban with a slight increasing reactivity trend. B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Even 		в	Unit 2 reactor was manua Control System Urgent Fa Control Bank D during lo performance of low power and control rods (EIIS C room crew attempted to i required by the test ins urgent alarm actuated. control room crew identi bank B was at 40 steps a as at 45 steps. Group t move when the main contr IN position. With shut inserted, a slight posit room crew, including the power physics testing te condition. The annuncia control urgent alarm was decided to insert Contro reactivity and stop the room crew selected D con the control rods but on The group two control ro of the potential for gro problem with shutdown ba reactor was manually tri insert group two rods in with a slight increasing	Ally trip tilure Al two power of physics code AA) nsert sh struction After ac fied tha und group two of sh col room down rod to room down rod to reac to resp s reviewe ol Bank D reactivi trol ban by group ds did n bup two two two kB. A pped bas a control g reactiv	ped a arm c physi : test fully utdow to the truth of truth of the truthof the truth of the truth of the truth of the truth	s a resu n Shutdo cs testi ing with withdra n rod ba witch wa b n rod ba witch wa c B not a witch wa c B not a witch wa c B not a procedur the contr nsert ne crease. attempt f Contro ve. The respond viously not bei c D and s rend.	<pre>lt of a wn Bank ng. Du the sh wn, the nk B, a d contr e alarm f shutd own rodd nk B di s place ble to d. The er and d the e for t ol room gative The co ed to i l Bank crew w , based discuss ng able hutdown</pre>	Rod B and ring utdown control s ol , the own rod bank B d not d in the be control low he rod c crew ntrol nsert D moved. a saware to bank B

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)					U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATOR	Y COMMISSI	
	LICENSE	E EVEN	T REPORT	(LER))			
	FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET		ER NUMBER		PAGE (3	
Sequoyah Nucle	05000328	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	3 OF 6			
NARRATIVE (If more space	e is required, use additional copies of NRC I	Form 366A) (17	7)	2002 -	- 002	- 00		
C.	None . Dates and Approximate	Times of	Major Occu	urrence	s:			
	May 18, 2002 at Low power physics testing was initiated 1940 EDT							
	May 18, 2002 at 1945 EDT	Operat Mode 2		clared	l that Un	it 2 wa	s in	
	May 18, 2002 at The Unit 2 reactor was taken critical by 2042 EDT Operations personnel.							
	May 19, 2002 at A Rod System Urgent Fai 0438 EDT annunicated.					alarm		
	May 19, 2002 at 0447 EDT	sonnel manually tripped actor.						
D.	Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected:							
	None.							
E.	Method of Discovery:							
	The Rod System Urgent Failure alarm annunciated on the main control room panel.							
F.	Operator Actions:							
	he Rod S ceedures. r existed ank D to ttivity i ntrol ro he group ly tripp up two r ght incr ate acti safe con	Opera Oper insert ncrease ds but two co ed the ods in easing ons to	tions ations . They only ntrol reactor Control					

NRC FORM 1-2001)	366A				U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	RY COMMISSIC					
		LICENSEE EVEN	T REPORT	(LER)								
		FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		ER NUMBER		PAGE (3					
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2												
ARRATIVE (If	more spac	e is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (1	7)	2002 -	- 002	00						
	G.											
		The reactor protection s designed.	systems,	respo	nded to t	the tri	p, as					
III.	CAL	ISE OF THE EVENT										
	Α.	Immediate Cause:										
		The immediate cause of the event was a failure of control rods to move as required.										
	в.	Root Cause:										
		On May 20, 2002, after the Unit had returned to critical, Maintenance personnel observed that both the "B" and "C" group selection lights were lit on the 2BD power cabinet. At no time should two lights be lit simultaneously. Maintenance personnel had Operations select various banks however, the problem could not be repeated. The Rod Cont multiplexing relays are mercury wetted type used in the section selection logic in the power cabinet.										
		that following selection multiplexing relay conta control Bank remained by cabinet generated a Rod two sections in the powe same time. The Urgent a placing reduced current and the selected movable	of Shut acts for cidged wi Control er cabine alarm loc through e coils.	r the previous selected with mercury. The power l System Urgent alarm becaus net are trying to move at th ocks up the power cabinet by h all of the stationary coil . This prevents rod motion. ondition appears to be an								
IV.	ANA	LYSIS OF THE EVENT										
	tri	plant safety systems res p were bounded by the res ety Analysis Report.										

NRC FORM 1-2001)	366A				U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	RY COMMISSI					
			r	(LER)			0					
		FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	L YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	(6) REVISION	PAGE (3					
Sequoyah	Nuclea	ar Plant (SQN) Unit 2	05000328	2002 -	NUMBER		5 OF 6					
IARRATIVE (If	more spac	e is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17	7)	2002 -	- 002 -	. 00						
٧.	ASS	ESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUE	NCES									
	adv	Based on the above Analysis of The Event, this event did not adversely affect the health and safety of plant personnel or the general public.										
VI.	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS											
	Α.	Immediate Corrective Actions:										
	Troubleshooting of the Rod Control system was performe problems were initially identified.											
	в.											
		While the unit was taken critical and physics testing w being completed, additional troubleshooting was perform A potential problem with a multiplexing relay was identified. The multiplexing relay was replaced.										
VII.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION											
	A. Failed Components:											
		The most likely cause of intermittent failure of and Company, Model No. H	the mult	iplex								
	B. <u>Previous LERs on Similar Events:</u>											
		A review of previous rep years did not identify a		the past three								
	C.											
	D. <u>Safety System Functional Failure:</u>											
		This event did not resul failure in accordance wi			system	functio	nal					

		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATOR	COMMISSI
LICENSEE E	VENT REPORT	(LER)	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3
Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2	05000328	NUMBER	6 OF 6
more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 36	66A) (17)		
COMMITMENTS			
None.			
	FACILITY NAME (1) Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2 nore space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 3 COMMITMENTS	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2 05000328 nore space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) COMMITMENTS	Nuclear Plant (SQN) Unit 2 VEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION 2002 002 00