

04/12/62

# NRC

# Rules and Regulations

Title 10 - Chapter I  
Code of Federal Regulations  
Parts 50-199

STATEMENTS OF CONSIDERATION  
PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1987



Volume II

A/B/2

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
RULES and REGULATIONS  
TITLE 10, CHAPTER 1, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS—ENERGY

**PART  
100**

**REACTOR SITE CRITERIA**

**STATEMENTS OF CONSIDERATION**

27 FR 3509  
Published 4/12/62  
Effective 5/12/62

Pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the following guide is published as a document subject to codification, to be effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

*Statement of considerations.* On February 11, 1961, the Atomic Energy Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of proposed rule making that set forth general criteria in the form of guides and factors to be considered in the evaluation of proposed sites for power and testing reactors. The Commission has received many comments from individuals and organizations, including several from foreign countries, reflecting the widespread sensitivity and importance of the subject of site selection for reactors. Formal communications have been received on the published guides, including a proposed comprehensive revision of the guides into an alternate form.

In these communications, there was almost unanimous support of the Commission's proposal to issue guidance in some form on site selections, and acceptance of the basic factors included in the proposed guides, particularly in the proposal to issue exposure dose values which could be used for reference in the evaluation of reactor sites with respect to potential reactor accidents of exceedingly low probability of occurrence.

On the other hand, many features of the proposed guides were singled out for criticism by a large proportion of the correspondents. This was particularly the case for the appendix section of the proposed guides, in which was included an example calculation of environmental distance characteristics for a hypothetical reactor. In this appendix, specific numerical values were employed in the calculations. The choice of these numerical values, in some cases involving simplifying assumptions of highly complex phenomena, represent types of considerations presently applied in site calculations and result in environmental distance parameters in general accord with present siting practice. Nevertheless, these particular numerical values and the use of a single example calculation were widely objected to, basically on the grounds that they presented an

aspect of inflexibility to the guides which otherwise appeared to possess considerable flexibility and tended to emphasize unduly the concept of environmental isolation for reactors with minimum possibility being extended for eventual substitution thereof of engineered safeguard.

In consequence of these many comments, criticisms and recommendations, the proposed guides have been rewritten, with incorporation of a number of suggestions for clarification and simplification, and elimination of the numerical values and example calculation formerly constituting the appendix to the guides. In lieu of the appendix, some guidance has been incorporated in the text itself to indicate the considerations that led to establishing the exposure values set forth. However, in recognition of the advantage of example calculations in providing preliminary guidance to application of the principles set forth, the AEC will publish separately in the form of a technical information document a discussion of these calculations.

These guides and the technical information document are intended to reflect past practice and current policy of the Commission of keeping stationary power and test reactors away from densely populated centers. It should be equally understood, however, that applicants are free and indeed encouraged to demonstrate to the Commission the applicability and significance of considerations other than those set forth in the guides.

One basic objective of the criteria is to assure that the cumulative exposure dose to large numbers of people as a consequence of any nuclear accident should be low in comparison with what might be considered reasonable for total population dose. Further, since accidents of greater potential hazard than those commonly postulated as representing an upper limit are conceivable, although highly improbable, it was considered desirable to provide for protection against excessive exposure doses to people in large centers, where effective protective measures might not be feasible. Neither of these objectives were readily achievable by a single criterion. Hence, the population center distance was added as a site requirement when it was found for several projects evaluated that the specification of such a distance requirement would approximately fulfill the desired objectives and reflect a more

accurate guide to current siting practices. In an effort to develop more specific guidance on the total man-dose concept, the Commission intends to give further study to the subject. Meanwhile, in some cases where very large cities are involved, the population center distance may have to be greater than those suggested by these guides.

A number of comments received pointed out that AEC siting factors included considerations of population distributions and land use surrounding proposed sites but did not indicate how future population growth might affect sites initially approved. To the extent possible, AEC review of the land use surrounding a proposed site includes considerations of potential residential growth. The guides tend toward requiring sufficient isolation to preclude any immediate problem. In the meantime, operating experience that will be acquired from plants already licensed to operate should provide a more definitive basis for weighing the effectiveness of engineered safeguards versus plant isolation as a public safeguard.

These criteria are based upon a weighing of factors characteristic of conditions in the United States and may not represent the most appropriate procedure nor optimum emphasis on the various interdependent factors involved in selection of sites for reactors in other countries where national needs, resources, policies and other factors may be greatly different.

31 FR 4668  
Published 3/19/66  
Effective 3/19/66

*Miscellaneous Amendments*

See Part 20 Statements of Consideration.