

December 7, 1990

Docket No. 50-483

Mr. Donald F. Schnell
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
Union Electric Company
Post Office Box 149
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

DISTRIBUTION

Plant File	NRC & Local PDRs
PDIII-3 r/f	BBoger
JZwolinski	PKreutzer
TGody	RHall
JHannon	OGC - OWFN
DHagan	EJordan
GHill(4)	WJones
JCalvo	ACRS(10)
GPA/PA	OC/LFMB
Plant File	RJones

Dear Mr. Schnell:

SUBJECT: CORRECTION TO AMENDMENT NO. 58 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPR-30 (TAC NO. 76432)

On November 1, 1990, the Commission issued Amendment No. 58 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-30 for the Callaway Plant, Unit 1, in response to your application dated March 6, 1990.

The amendment revised Technical Specifications (TSs) and associated Bases which contained cycle-specific core operating parameters in accordance with NRC Generic Letter 88-16, "REMOVAL OF CYCLE-SPECIFIC PARAMETER LIMITS FROM TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS." The revision relocated the cycle-specific core parameters from the TSs and placed them in the Core Operating Limits Report.

TS Pages 3/4 2-4, 3/4 2-7, 3/4 2-7(b), 3/4 2-8, B 3/4 2-1, and 6-21 transmitted with Amendment No. 58 contained errors. These pages have been corrected and are enclosed herewith.

Please accept our apologies for any inconvenience these errors may have caused you.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Anthony T. Gody, Jr., Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFC	: PDIII-3:LA	: PDIII-3:PM	: PDIII-3:PD	: SRXB	:
NAME	: PKREUTZER	: TGODY: ta	: JHANNON	: RJONES	:
DATE	: 12/7/90	: 12/7/90	: 12/7/90	: 1/1/90	:

CP-1

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
Document Name: [CORRECTION AMEND 76432]

9012140056 901207
PDR ADOCK 05000483
F PIC

DFol
11

Mr. D. F. Schnell
Union Electric Company

Callaway Plant
Unit No. 1

cc:

Dr. J. O. Cermack
CFA Inc.
4 Professional Dr., Suite 110
Gaithersburg, MD 20879

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Thomas A. Baxter, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20037

Mr. T. P. Sharkey
Supervising Engineer,
Site Licensing
Union Electric Company
Post Office Box 620
Fulton, Missouri 65251

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors Office
RR#1
Steedman, Missouri 65077

Mr. Alan C. Passwater, Manager
Licensing and Fuels
Union Electric Company
Post Office Box 149
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Manager - Electric Department
Missouri Public Service Commission
301 W. High
Post Office Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Regional Administrator
U. S. NRC, Region III
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Ronald A. Kucera, Deputy Director
Department of Natural Resources
P. O. Box 176
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Mr. Bart D. Withers
President and Chief
Executive Officer
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating
Corporation
P. O. Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

Mr. Dan I. Bolef, President
Kay Drey, Representative
Board of Directors Coalition
for the Environment
St. Louis Region
6267 Delmar Boulevard
University City, Missouri 63130

FIGURE 3.2-1

DELETED

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

3/4.2.2 HEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR - $F_Q(Z)$

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.2 $F_Q(Z)$ shall be limited by the following relationships:

$$F_Q(Z) \leq \frac{F_Q^{RTP}}{p} * K(Z) \text{ for } p > 0.5, \text{ and}$$

$$F_Q(Z) \leq \frac{F_Q^{RTP}}{0.5} * K(Z) \text{ for } p \leq 0.5.$$

Where F_Q^{RTP} = the F_Q limit at RATED THERMAL POWER (RTP) specified in the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR),

$$p = \frac{\text{THERMAL POWER}}{\text{RATED THERMAL POWER}}, \text{ and}$$

$K(Z)$ = the normalized $F_Q(Z)$ as a function of core height as specified in the COLR.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1.

ACTION:

With $F_Q(Z)$ exceeding its limit:

- a. Reduce THERMAL POWER at least 1% for each 1% $F_Q(Z)$ exceeds the limit within 15 minutes and similarly reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux-High Trip Setpoints within the next 4 hours; POWER OPERATION may proceed for up to a total of 72 hours; subsequent POWER OPERATION may proceed provided the Overpower ΔT Trip Setpoints have been reduced at least 1% for each 1% $F_Q(Z)$ exceeds the limit; and
- b. Identify and correct the cause of the out-of-limit condition prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the reduced limit required by ACTION a., above; THERMAL POWER may then be increased provided $F_Q(Z)$ is demonstrated through incore mapping to be within its limit.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

4.2.2.2 (Continued)

e. With measurements indicating

$$\text{maximum over } z \left(\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)} \right)$$

has increased since the previous determination of $F_Q^M(z)$, either of the following actions shall be taken:

1. $F_Q^M(z)$ shall be increased by 2% over that specified in Specification 4.2.2.2c., or
2. $F_Q^M(z)$ shall be measured at least once per 7 Effective Full Power Days until two successive maps indicate that

$$\text{maximum over } z \left(\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)} \right) \text{ is not increasing.}$$

f. With the relationships specified in Specification 4.2.2.2c. above not being satisfied:

1. Calculate the percent $F_Q(z)$ exceeds its limit by the following expression:

$$\left[\left(\text{max. over } z \text{ of } \left(\frac{F_Q^M(z) \times W(z) \text{ NO}}{F_Q^{\text{RTP}} \times K(z)} \right) - 1 \right) \right] \times 100 \text{ for } P \geq 0.5$$

$$\left[\left(\text{max. over } z \text{ of } \left(\frac{F_Q^M(z) \times W(z) \text{ NO}}{F_Q^{\text{RTP}} \times K(z)} \right) - 1 \right) \right] \times 100 \text{ for } P < 0.5$$

2. Either one of the following actions shall be taken:
 - (a) Comply with the requirements of Specification 3.2.2 for $F_Q(z)$ exceeding its limit by the percent calculated above, or
 - (b) Verify that the requirements of Specification 4.2.2.3 for RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION are satisfied and enter RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION.

g. The limits specified in Specifications 4.2.2.2.c., 4.2.2.2.e., and 4.2.2.2.f. above are not applicable in the following core plane regions:

1. Lower core region from 0 to 15%, inclusive.
2. Upper core region from 85 to 100%, inclusive.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

4.2.2.3 RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION (RAFDO) is permitted at powers above APL^{ND} if the following conditions are satisfied:

- a. Prior to entering RAFDO, maintain THERMAL POWER above APL^{ND} and less than or equal to that allowed by Specification 4.2.2.2 for at least the previous 24 hours. Maintain RAFDO surveillance (AFD within the limits specified in the COLR) during this time period. RAFDO is then permitted providing THERMAL POWER is maintained between APL^{ND} and APL_{RAFDO} or between APL^{ND} and 100% (whichever is more limiting) and F_Q surveillance is maintained pursuant to Specification 4.2.2.4. APL_{RAFDO} is defined as:

$$APL_{RAFDO} = \text{minimum over } z \left[\frac{F_Q^{RTP} \times K(Z)}{F_Q^M(Z) \times W(Z)_{RAFDO}} \right] \times 100\%$$

where $F_Q^M(Z)$ is the measured $F_Q(Z)$ increased by the allowances for manufacturing tolerances and measurement uncertainty. The F_Q limit is F_Q^{RTP} . $W(Z)_{RAFDO}$ is the cycle dependent function that accounts for limited power distribution transients encountered during RAFDO. F_Q^{RTP} , $K(Z)$, and $W(Z)_{RAFDO}$ are specified in the Core Operating Limits Report as per Specification 6.9.1.9.

- b. During RAFDO, if the THERMAL POWER is decreased below APL^{ND} then the conditions of 4.2.2.3.a. shall be satisfied before re-entering RAFDO.

4.2.2.4 During RAFDO, $F_Q(Z)$ shall be evaluated to determine if $F_Q(Z)$ is within its limits by:

- a. Using the movable incore detectors to obtain a power distribution map at any THERMAL POWER above APL^{ND} .
- b. Increasing the measured $F_Q(Z)$ component of the power distribution map by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and further increasing the value by 5% to account for measurement uncertainties.
- c. Satisfying the following relationship:

$$F_Q^M(Z) \leq \frac{F_Q^{RTP} \times K(Z)}{P \times W(Z)_{RAFDO}} \quad \text{for } P > APL^{ND}$$

where $F_Q^M(Z)$ is the measured $F_Q(Z)$. The F_Q limit is F_Q^{RTP} . P is the relative THERMAL POWER. $W(Z)_{RAFDO}$ is the cycle dependent function that accounts for limited power distribution transients encountered during RAFDO. F_Q^{RTP} , $K(Z)$, and $W(Z)_{RAFDO}$ are specified in the Core Operating Limits Report as per Specification 6.9.1.9.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

4.2.2.4 (Continued)

- d. Measuring $F_Q^M(z)$ in conjunction with target flux difference determination according to the following schedule:

1. Prior to entering RAFDO after satisfying Section 4.2.2.3 unless a full core flux map has been taken in the previous 31 EFPD with the relative thermal power having been maintained above APL^{ND} for the 24 hours prior to mapping, and
2. At least once per 31 Effective Full Power Days.

- e. With measurements indicating

$$\text{maximum over } z \left[\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)} \right]$$

has increased since the previous determination of $F_Q^M(z)$ either of the following actions shall be taken:

1. $F_Q^M(z)$ shall be increased by 2 percent over that specified in 4.2.2.4.c, or
2. $F_Q^M(z)$ shall be measured at least once per 7 EFPD until two successive maps indicate that

$$\text{maximum over } z \left[\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)} \right] \text{ is not increasing.}$$

- f. With the relationship specified in 4.2.2.4.c above not being satisfied, comply with the requirements of Specification 3.2.2 for $F_Q(z)$ exceeding its limit by the percent calculated with the following expression:

$$\left[\left(\text{max. over } z \text{ of } \left(\frac{F_Q^M(z) \times W(z)_{RAFDO}}{F_Q^{\frac{RTP}{P}} \times K(z)} \right) - 1 \right) \times 100 \text{ for } P \geq APL^{ND} \right]$$

- g. The limits specified in 4.2.2.4.c, 4.2.2.4.e, and 4.2.2.4.f above are not applicable in the following core plane regions:

1. Lower core region from 0 to 15 percent, inclusive.
2. Upper core region from 85 to 100 percent, inclusive.

4.2.2.5 When $F_Q(z)$ is measured for reasons other than meeting the requirements of Specification 4.2.2.2 or 4.2.2.4, an overall measured $F_Q(z)$ shall be obtained from a power distribution map and increased by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and further increased by 5% to account for measurement uncertainty.

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

3/4.2.3 NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR - $F_{\Delta H}^N$

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.3 $F_{\Delta H}^N$ shall be limited by the following relationship:

$$F_{\Delta H}^N \leq F_{\Delta H}^{RTP} [1 + PF_{\Delta H} (1-P)]$$

where: $F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}$ = The $F_{\Delta H}^N$ limit at RATED THERMAL POWER (RTP) specified in the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR). $PF_{\Delta H}$ = the Power Factor Multiplier for $F_{\Delta H}^N$ specified in the COLR.

$$P = \frac{\text{THERMAL POWER}}{\text{RATED THERMAL POWER}}$$

$F_{\Delta H}^N$ = Measured values of $F_{\Delta H}^N$ obtained by using the movable incore detectors to obtain a power distribution map. The measured values of $F_{\Delta H}^N$ shall be used since an uncertainty of 4% for incore measurement of $F_{\Delta H}^N$ has been included in the above limit.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1

ACTION:

With $F_{\Delta H}^N$ exceeding its limit:

- a. Within 2 hours either:
 1. Restore the $F_{\Delta H}^N$ to within the above limits, or
 2. Reduce THERMAL POWER TO LESS THAN 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER and reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux-High Trip Setpoint to \leq 55% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.
- b. Demonstrate through in-core flux mapping that $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is within its limit within 24 hours after exceeding the limit or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 2 hours, and
- c. Identify and correct the cause of the out of limit condition prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the reduced limit required by a or b, above; subsequent POWER OPERATION may proceed provided that $F_{\Delta H}^N$ is demonstrated through in-core flux mapping to be within its limit at a nominal 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER prior to exceeding this THERMAL POWER, at a nominal 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER prior to exceeding this THERMAL power and within 24 hours after attaining 95% or greater RATED THERMAL POWER.

3/4.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

BASES

The specifications of this section provide assurance of fuel integrity during Condition I (Normal Operation) and II (Incidents of Moderate Frequency) events by: (1) maintaining the minimum DNBR in the core at or above the safety analysis DNBR limits during normal operation and in short-term transients, and (2) limiting the fission gas release, fuel pellet temperature, and cladding mechanical properties to within assumed design criteria. In addition, limiting the peak linear power density during Condition I events provides assurance that the initial conditions assumed for the LOCA analyses are met and the ECCS acceptance criteria limit of 2200°F is not exceeded.

The definition of certain hot channel and peaking factors as used in these specifications are as follows:

$F_Q(Z)$ Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, is defined as the maximum local heat flux on the surface of a fuel rod at core elevation Z divided by the average fuel rod heat flux, allowing for manufacturing tolerances on fuel pellets and rods; and

$F_{\Delta H}^N$ Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor, is defined as the ratio of the integral of linear power along the rod with the highest integrated power to the average rod power.

3/4.2.1 AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE

The limits on AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD) assure that the $F_Q(Z)$ upper bound envelopes of the F_Q limit specified in the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) times the normalized axial peaking factor are not exceeded during either normal operation or in the event of xenon redistribution following power changes.

Target flux difference is determined at equilibrium xenon conditions. The full-length rods may be positioned within the core in accordance with their respective insertion limits and should be inserted near their normal position for steady-state operation at high power levels. The value of the target flux difference obtained under these conditions divided by the fraction of RATED THERMAL POWER is the target flux difference at RATED THERMAL POWER for the associated core burnup conditions. Target flux differences for other THERMAL POWER levels are obtained by multiplying the RATED THERMAL POWER value by the appropriate fractional THERMAL POWER level. The periodic updating of the target flux difference value is necessary to reflect core burnup considerations.

The limits on AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD) are given in the COLR. Two modes of operation are permissible. One mode is Normal Operation and the AFD limit is specified in the COLR. After extended load following maneuvers, the AFD limits may result in restrictions in the maximum allowed power to guarantee operation with $F_Q(Z)$ less than its limiting value. To prevent this occurrence, another operating mode which restricts the AFD to a relatively small target

POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

BASES

3/4.2.1 AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (Continued)

band and does not allow significant changes in power level has been defined. This mode is called RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION, which restricts the AFD to the limits specified in the COLR and restricts power levels to between APLND and either APLRAFDO or 100% of RATED THERMAL POWER, whichever is less. Prior to entering RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION, a 24-hour waiting period at a power level (+2%) above APLND and below that allowed by Normal Operation is necessary. During this time period load changes and control rod motion are restricted to that allowed by the RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION procedure. After the waiting period, RESTRICTED AFD OPERATION is permitted.

Although it is intended that the plant will be operated with the AFD within the target band required by Specification 3.2.1 about the target flux difference, during rapid plant THERMAL POWER reductions, control rod motion will cause the AFD to deviate outside of the target band at reduced THERMAL POWER levels. This deviation will not affect the xenon redistribution sufficiently to change the envelope of peaking factors which may be reached on a subsequent return to RATED THERMAL POWER (with the AFD within the target band) provided the time duration of the deviation is limited. Accordingly, a 1-hour penalty deviation limit cumulative during the previous 24 hours is provided for operation outside of the target band but within the limits specified in the COLR while at THERMAL POWER levels between 50% and 90% of RATED THERMAL POWER. For THERMAL POWER levels between 15% and 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER, deviations of the AFD outside of the target band are less significant. The penalty of 2 hours actual time reflects this reduced significance.

Provisions for monitoring the AFD on an automatic basis are derived from the plant process computer through the AFD Monitor Alarm. The computer determines the 1 minute average of each of the OPERABLE excore detector outputs and provides an alarm message immediately if the AFD for two or more OPERABLE excore channels are outside the target band and the THERMAL POWER is greater than 90% of RATED THERMAL POWER. During operation at THERMAL POWER levels between 50% and 90% and between 15% and 50% RATED THERMAL POWER, the computer outputs an alarm message when the penalty deviation accumulates beyond the limits of 1 hour and 2 hours, respectively.

Figure B 3/4.2-1 shows a typical monthly target band.

3/4.2.2 and 3/4.2.3 HEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR AND NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR

The limits on heat flux hot channel factor and nuclear enthalpy rise hot channel factor ensure that 1) the design limits on peak local power density and minimum DNBR are not exceeded, and 2) in the event of a LOCA the peak fuel clad temperature will not exceed the 2200°F ECCS acceptance criteria limit.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

6.9.1.8 Routine reports of operating statistics and shutdown experience, including documentation of all challenges to the pressurizer PORVs or RCS safety valves, shall be submitted on a monthly basis to the Director, Office of Resource Management, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, with a copy to the NRC Regional Office, no later than the 15th of each month following the calendar month covered by the report.

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

6.9.1.9 Core operating limits shall be established and documented in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT prior to each reload cycle, or prior to any remaining portion of a reload cycle, for the following:

- a. Moderator Temperature Coefficient BOL and EOL limits and 300 ppm surveillance limit for Specification 3/4.1.1.3,
- b. Shutdown Bank Insertion Limit for Specification 3/4.1.3.5,
- c. Control Bank Insertion Limits for Specification 3/4.1.3.6,
- d. Axial Flux Difference Limits, target band, and APL^{ND} for Specification 3/4.2.1,
- e. Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, F_{Q}^{RTP} , $K(Z)$, $W(Z)_{NO}$, APL^{ND} and $W(Z)_{RAFD0}$ for Specification 3/4.2.2,
- f. Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor $F_{\Delta H}$, and Power Factor Multiplier, $PF_{\Delta H}$, limits for Specification 3/4.2.3.

The analytical methods used to determine the core operating limits shall be those previously reviewed and approved by the NRC, specifically those described in the following documents.

- a. WCAP-9272-P-A, "WESTINGHOUSE RELOAD SAFETY EVALUATION METHODOLOGY", July 1985 (W Proprietary).
(Methodology for Specification 3.1.1.3 - Moderator Temperature Coefficient 3.1.3.5 - Shutdown Bank Insertion Limit; 3.1.3.6 - Control Bank Insertion Limit; 3.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference; 3.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor; and 3.2.3 - Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor.)
- b1. WCAP-8385, "POWER DISTRIBUTION CONTROL AND LOAD FOLLOWING PROCEDURES - TOPICAL REPORT", September 1974 (W Proprietary).
(Methodology for Specification 3.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference (Constant Axial Offset Control).)

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (Continued)

- b2. T. M. Anderson to K. Kniel (Chief of Core Performance Branch, NRC) January 31, 1980 -- Attachment: Operation and Safety Analysis Aspects of an Improved Load Follow Package.
- (Methodology for Specification 3.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference (Constant Axial Offset Control).)
- b3. NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Section 4.3 Nuclear Design, July 1981. Branch Technical Position CPB 4.3-1, Westinghouse Constant Axial Offset Control (CAOC), Rev. 2, July 1981.
- (Methodology for Specification 3.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference (Constant Axial Offset Control).)
- c. WCAP-10216-P-A, "RELAXATION OF CONSTANT AXIAL OFFSET CONTROL FQ SURVEILLANCE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION," June 1983 (W Proprietary).
- (Methodology for Specification 3.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor (FQ Methodology for W(Z) surveillance requirements).)
- d. WCAP-10266-P-A, REV. 2, "THE 1981 VERSION OF WESTINGHOUSE EVALUATION MODEL USING BASH CODE," March 1987 (W Proprietary).
- (Methodology for Specification 3.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor).

The core operating limits shall be determined so that all applicable limits (e.g., fuel thermal-mechanical limits, core thermalhydraulic limits, nuclear limits such as shutdown margin, and transient and accident analysis limits) of the safety analysis are met.

The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT, including any mid-cycle revisions or supplements shall be provided, upon issuance for each reload cycle, to the NRC Document Control Desk with copies to the Regional Administrator and Resident Inspector.

SPECIAL REPORTS

6.9.2 Special Reports shall be submitted to the Regional Administrator of the NRC Regional Office within the time period specified for each report.

6.10 RECORD RETENTION

In addition to the applicable record retention requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, the following records shall be retained for at least the minimum period indicated.

6.10.1 The following records shall be retained for at least 5 years:

- a. Records and logs of unit operation covering time interval at each power level;