

Contents

Abstract	iii
Executive Summary	xiv
Abbreviations/Acronyms	xix
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Report Contents	1-2
1.2 Background	1-3
1.2.1 Generic Environmental Impact Statement	1-3
1.2.2 License Renewal Evaluation Process	1-4
1.3 The Proposed Federal Action	1-7
1.4 The Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action	1-8
1.5 Compliance and Consultations	1-8
1.6 References	1-10
2.0 Description of Nuclear Power Plant and Site and Plant Interaction with the Environment	2-1
2.1 Plant and Site Description and Proposed Plant Operation During the Renewal Term	2-1
2.1.1 External Appearance and Setting	2-4
2.1.2 Reactor Systems	2-4
2.1.3 Cooling and Auxiliary Water Systems	2-6
2.1.4 Radioactive Waste Management Systems and Effluent Control Systems	2-8
2.1.4.1 Liquid Waste Processing Systems and Effluent Controls	2-10
2.1.4.2 Gaseous Waste Processing Systems and Effluent Controls	2-12
2.1.4.3 Solid Waste Processing	2-12
2.1.5 Nonradioactive Waste Systems	2-14
2.1.6 Plant Operation and Maintenance	2-14
2.1.7 Power Transmission System	2-15

Contents

2.2	Plant Interaction with the Environment	2-17
2.2.1	Land Use	2-17
2.2.2	Water Use	2-17
2.2.3	Water Quality	2-18
2.2.4	Air Quality	2-19
2.2.5	Aquatic Resources	2-21
2.2.6	Terrestrial Resources	2-22
2.2.7	Radiological Impacts	2-27
2.2.8	Socioeconomic Factors	2-29
2.2.8.1	Housing	2-29
2.2.8.2	Public Services	2-32
2.2.8.3	Offsite Land Use	2-35
2.2.8.4	Visual Aesthetics and Noise	2-36
2.2.8.5	Demography	2-37
2.2.8.6	Economy	2-42
2.2.9	Historic and Archaeological Resources	2-45
2.2.9.1	Cultural Background	2-45
2.2.9.2	Historic and Archaeological Resources at the Peach Bottom Site	2-46
2.2.10	Related Federal Project Activities and Consultations	2-47
2.3	References	2-48
3.0	Environmental Impacts of Refurbishment	3-1
3.1	References	3-4
4.0	Environmental Impacts of Operation	4-1
4.1	Cooling System	4-2
4.1.1	Water Use Conflicts (Plants With Cooling Ponds or Cooling Towers Using Make-Up Water From a Small River With Low Flow)	4-12
4.1.2	Entrainment of Fish and Shellfish in Early Life Stages	4-13
4.1.3	Impingement of Fish and Shellfish	4-15
4.1.4	Heat Shock	4-16
4.1.5	Microbiological Organisms (Public Health)	4-17

4.2	Transmission Lines	4-19
4.2.1	Electromagnetic Fields—Acute Effects	4-22
4.2.2	Electromagnetic Fields—Chronic Effects	4-23
4.3	Radiological Impacts of Normal Operations	4-24
4.4	Socioeconomic Impacts of Plant Operations During the License Renewal Period	4-26
4.4.1	Housing Impacts During Operations	4-28
4.4.2	Public Services: Public Utility Impacts During Operations	4-30
4.4.3	Offsite Land Use During Operations	4-31
4.4.4	Public Services: Transportation Impacts During Operations	4-32
4.4.5	Historic and Archaeological Resources	4-33
4.4.6	Environmental Justice	4-37
4.5	Groundwater Use and Quality	4-42
4.5.1	Groundwater Use Conflicts (Plants Using Cooling Towers Withdrawing Makeup Water From a Small River)	4-43
4.6	Threatened or Endangered Species	4-44
4.6.1	Aquatic Species	4-44
4.6.2	Terrestrial Species	4-45
4.7	Evaluation of Potential New and Significant Information on Impacts of Operations During the Renewal Term	4-46
4.7.1	Evaluation of Potential New and Significant Radiological Impacts on Human Health	4-46
4.7.1.1	Summary of Comments	4-46
4.7.1.2	Strontium-90 in the Environment	4-47
4.7.1.3	Regulatory Basis and Discussion of Risk	4-48
4.7.1.4	Effluent Monitoring at Peach Bottom	4-49
4.7.1.5	Use of “In-Body” Radionuclide Measurements to Assess Public Risk from Radiological Effluents from Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3	4-50
4.7.1.6	Ability for Strontium-90 to Cause Cancer	4-51

Contents

4.7.1.7	Cause-and-Effect Relationship Between Radiological Releases from Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3 and Increased Incidence in Cancers in the Area	4-51
4.7.1.8	Additional Discussion on Cancer	4-53
4.7.1.9	Conclusion	4-55
4.8	Summary of Impacts of Operations During the Renewal Term	4-55
4.9	References	4-56
5.0	Environmental Impacts of Postulated Accidents	5-1
5.1	Postulated Plant Accidents	5-1
5.1.1	Design-Basis Accidents	5-2
5.1.2	Severe Accidents	5-3
5.2	Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives	5-4
5.2.1	Introduction	5-4
5.2.2	Estimate of Risk for Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3	5-5
5.2.2.1	Exelon's Risk Estimates	5-5
5.2.2.2	Review of Exelon's Risk Estimates	5-8
5.2.3	Potential Design Improvements	5-12
5.2.3.1	Process for Identifying Potential Design Improvements	5-12
5.2.3.2	Staff Evaluation	5-15
5.2.4	Risk-Reduction Potential of Design Improvements	5-17
5.2.5	Cost Impacts of Candidate Design Improvements	5-18
5.2.6	Cost-Benefit Comparison	5-20
5.2.6.1	Exelon Evaluation	5-20
5.2.6.2	Staff Evaluation	5-23
5.2.7	Conclusions	5-25
5.3	References	5-26

6.0	Environmental Impacts of the Uranium Fuel Cycle and Solid Waste Management	6-1
6.1	The Uranium Fuel Cycle	6-2
6.2	References	6-9
7.0	Environmental Impacts of Decommissioning	7-1
7.1	References	7-4
8.0	Environmental Impacts of Alternatives to License Renewal	8-1
8.1	No-Action Alternative	8-1
8.2	Alternative Energy Sources	8-4
8.2.1	Coal-Fired Generation	8-6
8.2.1.1	Once-Through Cooling System	8-7
8.2.1.2	Closed-Cycle Cooling System	8-19
8.2.2	Natural Gas-Fired Generation	8-20
8.2.2.1	Once-Through Cooling System	8-22
8.2.2.2	Closed-Cycle Cooling System	8-30
8.2.3	Nuclear Power Generation	8-31
8.2.3.1	Once-Through Cooling System	8-31
8.2.3.2	Closed-Cycle Cooling System	8-39
8.2.4	Purchased Electrical Power	8-40
8.2.5	Other Alternatives	8-42
8.2.5.1	Oil-Fired Generation	8-42
8.2.5.2	Wind Power	8-42
8.2.5.3	Solar Power	8-43
8.2.5.4	Hydropower	8-43
8.2.5.5	Geothermal Energy	8-44
8.2.5.6	Wood Waste	8-44
8.2.5.7	Municipal Solid Waste	8-45
8.2.5.8	Other Biomass-Derived Fuels	8-46
8.2.5.9	Fuel Cells	8-46

Contents

8.2.5.10 Delayed Retirement	8-46
8.2.5.11 Utility-Sponsored Conservation	8-47
8.2.6 Combination of Alternatives	8-49
8.3 Summary of Alternatives Considered	8-52
8.4 References	8-53
9.0 Summary and Conclusions	9-1
9.1 Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action—License Renewal	9-4
9.1.1 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	9-5
9.1.2 Irreversible or Irrecoverable Resource Commitments	9-5
9.1.3 Short-Term Use Versus Long-Term Productivity	9-6
9.2 Relative Significance of the Environmental Impacts of License Renewal and Alternatives	9-6
9.3 Staff Conclusions and Recommendations	9-8
9.4 References	9-9
Appendix A – Comments Received on the Environmental Review	A-1
Appendix B – Contributors to the Supplement	B-1
Appendix C – Chronology of NRC Staff Environmental Review Correspondence Related to Exelon Generation Company’s Application for License Renewal of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units 2 and 3	C-1
Appendix D – Organizations Contacted	D-1
Appendix E – Exelon Generation Company’s Compliance Status and Consultation Correspondence	E-1
Appendix F – GEIS Environmental Issues Not Applicable to Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3	F-1

Figures

2-1	Location of Peach Bottom Site, 80-km (50-mi) Region	2-2
2-2	Location of Peach Bottom Site, 10-km (6-mi) Region	2-3
2-3	Peach Bottom Station Layout	2-5
2-4	Peach Bottom Transmission Line Map	2-16
4-1	Geographic Distribution of Minority Populations (shown in shaded areas) Within 80 Km (50 Mi) of Peach Bottom Site Based On 2000 Census Block Group Data	4-39
4-2	Geographic Distribution of Low-Income Populations (shown in shaded areas) Within 80 km (50 mi) of Peach Bottom Site Based On 1990 Census Block Group Data	4-40