

September 26, 1988

Docket No. 50-483

Mr. Donald F. Schnell  
Vice President - Nuclear  
Union Electric Company  
Post Office Box 149  
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

DISTRIBUTION:

<u>Docket Files</u>	NRC & Local PDRs
PDIII-3 r/f	KPerkins
MVirgilio	PKreutzer
TAlexion	OGC-WF1
EJordan	BGrimes
ACRS(10)	GPA/PA
PDIII-3 Gray	ETourigny

Dear Mr. Schnell:

SUBJECT: CALLAWAY PLANT, UNIT 1 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROPERTY INSURANCE RULE EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 4, 1988 (10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i))

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the Federal Register a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose.

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trustee-ship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be completed by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

Enclosed is an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact relating to a temporary exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) for the Callaway Plant, Unit 1.

8810100214 880926  
PDR ADOCK 05000483  
PNU

*m m.*  
DF01  
11

Mr. Donald F. Schnell

-2-

This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

*TS*

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III,  
IV, V and Special Projects

Enclosure:  
Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

Office: LA/PDIII-3 *pmi*  
Surname: PKreutzer *fa*  
Date: 9/23/88

PM/PDIII-3  
TAlexion *[Signature]*  
9/23/88

PD/PDIII-3  
KPerkins *[Signature]*  
9/23/88

Mr. D. F. Schnell  
Union Electric Company

Callaway Plant  
Unit No. 1

cc:

Dr. J. O. Cermack  
CFA Inc.  
4 Professional Dr., Suite 110  
Gaithersburg, MD 20879

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.  
Thomas A. Baxter, Esq.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20037

Mr. T. P. Sharkey  
Supervising Engineer,  
Site Licensing  
Union Electric Company  
Post Office Box 620  
Fulton, Missouri 65251

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspectors Office  
RR#1  
Steedman, Missouri 65077

Mr. Alan C. Passwater, Manager  
Licensing and Fuels  
Union Electric Company  
Post Office Box 149  
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Manager - Electric Department  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
301 W. High  
Post Office Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Regional Administrator  
U. S. NRC, Region III  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Ronald A. Kucera, Deputy Director  
Department of Natural Resources  
P. O. Box 176  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Mr. Bart D. Withers  
President and Chief  
Executive Officer  
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating  
Corporation  
P. O. Box 411  
Burlington, Kansas 66839

Mr. Dan I. Bolef, President  
Kay Drey, Representative  
Board of Directors Coalition  
for the Environment  
St. Louis Region  
6267 Delmar Boulevard  
University City, Missouri 63130

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONUNION ELECTRIC COMPANYCALLAWAY PLANT, UNIT 1DOCKET NO. 50-483ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTCONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(f)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(f) to Union Electric Company (the licensee) for the Callaway Plant, Unit 1, located at the licensee's site in Callaway County, Missouri.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose. Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship

BB10100216 880926  
PDR ADOCK 05000483  
PNU

provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(f) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(f) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(f), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

The Need for The Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(f) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

With respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the

period of delay, the licensee will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, NRC would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Callaway County Public Library, 710 Court Street, Fulton, Missouri 65251 and the John M. Olin Library, Washington University, Skinker and Lindell Boulevards, St. Louis, Missouri 63130.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 26th day of September , 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Kenneth E. Perkins, Director  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III,  
IV, V and Special Projects