December 1 1995

Mr. John R. McGaha, J
 Vice President - Operations
 Entergy Operations, Inc.
 River Bend Station
 P. O. Box 220
 St. Francisville, LA 70775

SUBJECT: RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1 - AMENDMENT NO. 84 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-47 (TAC NO.M92481)

Dear Mr. McGaha:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 84 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-47 for the River Bend Station, Unit 1. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated October 24, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated November 22, 1995. The Entergy request for an exemption in a letter dated May 30, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated June 20, 1995, is superseded by this license amendment action.

The amendment revises the TSs to reflect the approval for the River Bend Station to use 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Option B for the containment leak rate testing.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY: David L. Wigginton, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

NER FEI XEER MAN

Docket No. 50-458

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 84 to NPF-47 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File OGC (15B18) J. Dyer, RIV C. Berlinger	Public C. Grimes L. Hurley, RIV J. Pulsipher	PD4-1 r/f D. Wigginton J. Kilcrease, R. Lobel	J. Roe G. Hill (2) RIV File Rm	P. Noonan ACRS
Document Name:R	B9281.ADM	ill tor		

DOCUMENT Mame: RD9201. ADM			<u></u>	
OFC	LA:PD4-1	PM: RD4-1	SCSB	OGC 66
NAME	PNooman	DWigginton:sw	CBerlinger	EHOLCER
DATE	12/11/95	12/11/95	12 /11 /95	12/18/95
СОРУ	(YES/NO	ES NO	YES)NO	YES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9512280040 951219 PDR ADOCK 05000458 P PDR



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 19, 1995

Mr. John R. McGaha, Jr. Vice President - Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station P. O. Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775

SUBJECT: RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1 - AMENDMENT NO. 84 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-47 (TAC NO.M92481)

Dear Mr. McGaha:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 84 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-47 for the River Bend Station, Unit 1. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated October 24, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated November 22, 1995. The Entergy request for an exemption in a letter dated May 30, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated June 20, 1995, is superseded by this license amendment action.

The amendment revises the TSs to reflect the approval for the River Bend Station to use 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Option B for the containment leak rate testing.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

David L.Wigginton, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-458

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 84 to NPF-47 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. John R. McGaha Entergy Operations, Inc.

cc:

Ÿ.

Winston & Strawn ATTN: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq. 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3502

Mr. J. E. Venable Manager - Nuclear Licensing Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station P. O. Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775

Mr. Layne McKinney, Director Joint Operations Cajun 10719 Airline Highway P. O. Box 15540 Baton Rouge, LA 70895

Senior Resident Inspector P. O. Box 1051 St. Francisville, LA 70775

President of West Feliciana Police Jury P. O. Box 1921 St. Francisville, LA 70775

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76011

Ms. H. Anne Plettinger 3456 Villa Rose Drive Baton Rouge, LA 70806

Administrator Louisiana Radiation Protection Division P. O. Box 82135 Baton Rouge, LA 70884-2135

Gary F. Hall Vice President & Controller Cajun Electric Power Cooperative 10719 Airline Highway P.O. Box 15540 Baton Rouge, LA 70895 **River Bend Station**

Mr. Harold W. Keiser Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, MS 39286

Mr. Michael B. Sellman General Manager - Plant Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station Post Office Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775

Mr. James J. Fisicaro Director - Nuclear Safety Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station Post Office Box 220 St. Francisville, LA. 70775

Mr. Jerrold G. Dewease Vice President - Operations Support Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, MS 39286-1995

The Honorable Richard P. Ieyoub Attorney General State of Louisiana P. O. Box 94095 Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9095

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway Attn: Robert B. McGehee, Esq. P. O. Box 651 Jackson, MS 39205



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY**

CAJUN ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE AND

ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-458

RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 84 License No. NPF-47

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Gulf States Utilities* (the licensee) dated October 24, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated November 22, 1995, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and

9512280041 951219 PDR ADOCK 05000458 P PDR

^{*} EOI is authorized to act as agent for Gulf States Utilities Company, which has been authorized to act as agent for Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

^{**}Gulf States Utilities Company, which owns a 70 percent undivided interest in River Bend, has merged with a wholly owned subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. Gulf States Utilities Company was the surviving company in the merger.

- E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment; and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-47 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) <u>Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 84 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. EOI shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

David L. Wigginton, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: December 19, 1995

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 84

۰.

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-47

DOCKET NO. 50-458

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

<u>Remove</u>	<u>INSERT</u>
1.0-3	1.0-3
3.6-2	3.6-2
3.6-7	3.6-7
3.6-18	3.6-18
3.6-19	3.6-19
3.6-20	3.6-20
5.0-16	5.0-16

1.1 Definitions (continued)

.

. .

....

EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM (ECCS) RESPONSE TIME	The ECCS RESPONSE TIME shall be that time interval from when the monitored parameter exceeds its ECCS initiation setpoint at the channel sensor until the ECCS equipment is capable of performing its safety function (i.e., the valves travel to their required positions, pump discharge pressures reach their required values, etc.). Times shall include diesel generator starting and sequence loading delays, where applicable. The response time may be measured by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire response time is measured.
END OF CYCLE RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP (EOC-RPT) SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME	The EOC-RPT SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME shall be that time interval from initial movement of the associated turbine stop valve or the turbine control valve to complete suppression of the electric arc between the fully open contacts of the recirculation pump circuit breaker. The response time may be measured by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire response time is measured.
ISOLATION SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME	The ISOLATION SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME shall be that time interval from when the monitored parameter exceeds its isolation initiation setpoint at the channel sensor until the isolation valves travel to their required positions. The response time may be measured by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire response time is measured.

(continued)

1

.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

÷.

. .

SURVEILLANCE		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.6.1.1.1	Perform required visual examinations and leakage rate testing except for primary containment air lock testing, in accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.	In accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

÷,

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.1.2.1	 An inoperable air lock door does not invalidate the previous successful performance of the overall air lock leakage test. Results shall be evaluated against acceptance criteria applicable to SR 3.6.1.1.1. 	
	Perform required primary containment air lock leakage rate testing in accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program. Verify the combined leakage rate is \leq 13,500 cc/hr for all required annulus bypass leakage paths when pressurized to \geq P _a .	In accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program
SR 3.6.1.2.2	Verify primary containment air lock seal air flask pressure is ≥ 90 psig.	7 days
SR 3.6.1.2.3	NOTE Only required to be performed upon entry or exit through the primary containment air lock. 	184 days
	containment air lock can be opened at a time.	101 Guy3

(continued)

.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

:

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.1.3.8	 Verify in-leakage rate of ≤ 340 scfh for each of the following valve groups when tested at 11.5 psid for MS-PLCS valves and 33 psid for PVLCS sealed valves. a. Division I MS-PLCS valves and Division I PVLCS valves. b. Division II MS-PLCS valves and Division II PVLCS valves. c. Division I MS-PLCS valves and all first outboard PVLCS valves. 	18 months
SR 3.6.1.3.9	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	

(continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

.

:

-

SURVEILLANCE		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.6.1.3.10	NOTENOTE Only required to be met in MODES 1, 2, and 3.	
		Verify leakage rate through the valves served by each division of MS-PLCS is ≤ 150 scfh per division when tested at $\geq P_a$.	In accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program
SR	3.6.1.3.11	Only required to be met in MODES 1, 2, and 3.	
		Verify combined leakage rate through hydrostatically tested lines that penetrate the primary containment is within limits.	In accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program

(continued)

.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

~

:

SURVEILLANCE		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.6.1.3.12	Verify the combined leakage rate is \leq 13,500 cc/hr for all required annulus bypass leakage paths when pressurized to $\geq P_a$.	In accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program

5.5 Programs and Manuals

5.5.11 <u>Technical Specifications (TS) Bases Control Program</u> (continued)

- c. The Bases Control Program shall contain provisions to ensure that the Bases are maintained consistent with the USAR.
- d. Proposed changes that do not meet the criteria of either Specification 5.5.11.b.1 or Specification 5.5.11.b.2 above shall be reviewed and approved by the NRC prior to implementation. Changes to the Bases implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 50.71(e).

5.5.12 <u>Biofouling Prevention and Detection</u>

A program, which will include the procedures to prevent biofouling of safety-related equipment, to assure detection of <u>Corbicula</u> in the intake embayment and the clarifier influent, and to monitor and survey safety-related equipment to detect biofouling. Changes to this program will be submitted to and approved by the NRC (both the Region and NRR) prior to implementation.

5.5.13 <u>Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program</u>

A program shall be established to implement the leakage rate testing of the containment as required by 10 CFR 50.54(o) and 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Option B, as modified by approved exemptions. This program shall be in accordance with the guidelines contained in Regulatory Guide 1.163, "Performance-Based Containment Leak-Test Program," dated September 1995.

The peak calculated containment internal pressure for the design basis loss of coolant accident, P_a , is 7.6 psig.

The maximum allowable primary containment leakage rate, L_a , at P_a , shall be 0.26% of primary containment air weight per day.

The Primary Containment leakage rate acceptance criterion is ≤ 1.0 L_a. During the first unit startup following testing in accordance with this program, the leakage rate acceptance criteria are ≤ 0.60 L_a for the Type B and Type C tests and ≤ 0.75 L_a for Type A tests.

The provisions of SR 3.0.2 do not apply to test frequencies specified in the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.

The provisions of SR 3.0.3 are applicable to the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 84 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-47

ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-458

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated October 24, 1995, supplemented by letter dated November 22, 1995, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (Appendix A to Facility Operating License No. NPF-47) for the River Bend Station, Unit 1. The proposed changes would revise the technical specifications to reflect the approval for the licensee to use 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Option B for the River Bend Station containment leakage rate test program. The November 22, 1995, letter corrected the October 24, 1995, letter to incorporate the latest technical specification changes as agreed to by the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) and the NRC. These changes provided clarifications and did not change the initial no significant hazards consideration determination. That determination was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on November 8, 1995 (60 FR 56368).

This request by the licensee supersedes the licensee's request for an exemption submitted by letter dated May 30, 1995, as corrected by letter dated June 20, 1995. That request was noticed in the <u>Federal Register</u> on July 5, 1995 (60 FR 35079).

2.0 BACKGROUND

9512280043 951219

PDR

ADOCK 05000458

PDR

Compliance with Appendix J provides assurance that the primary containment, including those systems and components which penetrate the primary containment, do not exceed the allowable leakage rate values specified in the technical specifications and bases. The allowable leakage rate is determined so that the leakage assumed in the safety analyses is not exceeded.

On February 4, 1992, the NRC published a notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> (57 FR 4166) discussing a planned initiative to begin eliminating requirements marginal to safety which impose a significant regulatory burden. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, "Primary Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled Power Reactors" was considered for this initiative and the staff undertook a study of possible changes to this regulation. The study examined the previous performance history of domestic containments and examined the effect on risk of a revision to the requirements of Appendix J. The results of this study are reported in NUREG-1493, "Performance-Based Leak-Test Program". Based on the results of this study, the staff developed a performance-based approach to containment leakage rate testing. On September 12, 1995, the NRC approved issuance of this revision to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, which was subsequently published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on September 26, 1995, and became effective on October 26, 1995. The revision added Option B "Performance-Based Requirements" to Appendix J to allow licensees to voluntarily replace the prescriptive testing requirements of Appendix J with testing requirements based on both overall and individual component leakage rate performance.

Regulatory Guide 1.163, "Performance-Based Containment Leak Test Program", was developed as a method acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing Option B. This regulatory guide states that NEI 94-01, "Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J" provides methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with Option B with four exceptions.

Option B requires that Regulatory Guide 1.163 or another implementation document used by a licensee to develop a performance-based leakage testing program must be included, by general reference, in the plant technical specifications.

Regulatory Guide 1.163 specifies an extension in Type A test frequency to at least one test in 10 years based upon two consecutive successful tests. Type B tests may be extended up to a maximum of 10 years based upon completion of two consecutive successful tests and Type C tests may be extended up to 5 years based on two consecutive successful tests.

By letter dated October 20, 1995, NEI proposed technical specifications for implementing Option B. After some discussion, the staff and NEI agreed on a set of model technical specifications which were transmitted to NEI in a letter dated November 2, 1995. These technical specifications are to serve as a model for licensees to develop plant specific technical specifications in preparing amendments requests to implement Option B.

In order for a licensee to determine the performance of each component, Regulatory Guide 1.163 provides that a licensee establish an administrative leakage limit. The administrative limit is selected to be indicative of the potential onset of component degradation. Although these limits are subject to NRC inspection to assure that they are selected in a reasonable manner, they are not technical specifications requirements. Failure to meet an administrative limit requires the licensee to return to the minimum value of the test interval.

Option B requires that the licensee maintain records to show that the criteria for Type A, B and C tests have been met. In addition, the licensee must maintain comparisons of the performance of the overall containment system and the individual components to show that the test intervals are adequate. These records are subject to NRC inspection.

3.0 EVALUATION

The licensee's October 24, 1995 letter to the NRC proposes to establish a "Primary Containment Leakage Rate Program" and proposes to add this program to the technical specifications. The program references Regulatory Guide 1.163. "Performance-Based Containment Leak Test Program" which specifies methods acceptable to the NRC for complying with Option B. This requires a change to existing Technical Specifications 3.6.1.1, 3.6.1.2 and 3.6.1.3 and the addition of the program to section 5.5 of the technical specifications.

Option B permits a licensee to choose Type A; or Type B and C; or Type A, B and C; testing to be done on a performance basis. The licensee has elected to perform Type A, B and C testing on a performance basis.

As discussed above, Option B permits the Type A test interval to be up to 10 years provided two consecutive successful tests have been performed. River Bend has satisfied this criterion. Therefore, the next Type A test is not required until refueling outage 10, currently scheduled for mid-year 2002.

The technical specifications changes proposed by the licensee differ with the model technical specification developed by the NRC staff in cooperation with NEI, on one item. The acceptance criteria for air lock leakage testing contained in Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.6.1.2.1 were not moved to the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program (Section 5.5.13 of the River Bend Station Technical Specifications). This change was not proposed because of the unique differences that exist between the River Bend Station air lock surveillance requirement and the related surveillance requirement documented in the staff's November 2, 1995 letter to NEI. This difference is acceptable since only the location of the criteria in the technical specifications is changed. The staff finds that the technical specifications changes proposed by the licensee meets the requirements of

10 CFR Part Appendix J, Option B and, therefore, are acceptable.

Option B states that specific existing exemptions to Option A are still applicable unless specifically revoked by the NRC. River Bend Station has no existing exemptions to Option A.

4.0 <u>STATE CONSULTATION</u>

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Louisiana State Official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released

offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (60 FR 56368). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: R. Lobel

D. Wigginton

Date: December 19, 1995