

September 1, 1995

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
Director-Licensing, MC 62A-1
PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters
Correspondence Control Desk
P.O. Box No. 195
Wayne, PA 19087-0195

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION
DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M93387)

Dear Mr. Hunger:

The Commission has requested that the Office of the Federal Register publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendment dated September 1, 1995 that requested deletion of License Condition 2.C(5) for Peach Bottom, Unit 3. License Condition 2.C(5) restricts operation to power levels not less than seventy percent during coast down condition. At the time of your request, Unit 3 was operating at less than seventy percent power in the coastdown condition and you requested that a permanent change to the facility operating license, deleting License Condition 2.C(5), be processed on an exigent basis.

Sincerely,
original signed by
Joseph W. Shea, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-278

Enclosure: Exigent Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File MO'Brien(2)
PUBLIC JShea
PDI-2 Reading OGC
SVarga ACRS (4)
JZwolinski CAnderson, RGN-I
JStolz

CP-1

OFFICE	PDI-2/HA	PDI-2/PM	PDI-2/D		
NAME	MO'Brien	JShea:kw	JStolz		
DATE	9/12/95	9/12/95	9/12/95		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: PBEXI.LTR

9509200094 950913
PDR ADOCK 05000278
PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF011



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 13, 1995

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
Director-Licensing, MC 62A-1
PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters
Correspondence Control Desk
P.O. Box No. 195
Wayne, PA 19087-0195

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION
DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M93387)

Dear Mr. Hunger:

The Commission has requested that the Office of the Federal Register publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendment dated September 1, 1995 that requested deletion of License Condition 2.C(5) for Peach Bottom, Unit 3. License Condition 2.C(5) restricts operation to power levels not less than seventy percent during coast down condition. At the time of your request, Unit 3 was operating at less than seventy percent power in the coastdown condition and you requested that a permanent change to the facility operating license, deleting License Condition 2.C(5), be processed on an exigent basis.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph W. Shea".

Joseph W. Shea, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-278

Enclosure: Exigent Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
PECO Energy Company

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station,
Units 2 and 3

cc:

J. W. Durham, Sr., Esquire
Sr. V.P. & General Counsel
PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S26-1
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Mr. Rich R. Janati, Chief
Division of Nuclear Safety
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Resources
P. O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-8469

PECO Energy Company
ATTN: Mr. G. R. Rainey, Vice President
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
Route 1, Box 208
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Board of Supervisors
Peach Bottom Township
R. D. #1
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

PECO Energy Company
ATTN: Regulatory Engineer, A4-5S
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
Route 1, Box 208
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Public Service Commission of Maryland
Engineering Division
Chief Engineer
6 St. Paul Centre
Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
P.O. Box 399
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Mr. Richard McLean
Power Plant and Environmental
Review Division
Department of Natural Resources
B-3, Tawes State Office Building
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Mr. Roland Fletcher
Department of Environment
201 West Preston Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

A. F. Kirby, III
External Operations - Nuclear
Delmarva Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 231
Wilmington, DE 19899

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONPECO ENERGY COMPANYDOCKET NO. 50-278NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-56, issued to PECO Energy Company, et al., (the licensee), for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania.

The proposed amendment would delete License Condition 2.C(5) from Facility Operating License DPR-56 which restricts power levels to no less than seventy percent in the coastdown condition.

The amendment is being proposed on an exigent basis in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6). On August 29, 1995, the licensee discovered that it was operating at sixty-two percent power in the coastdown condition in violation of License Condition 2.C(5). On August 30, 1995, in order to avoid an unwarranted plant shutdown, the licensee requested enforcement condition for this violation until such time as the staff could process a permanent change to the facility operating license that would delete License Condition 2.C(5). The NRC staff authorized enforcement discretion verbally on August 30, 1995 and in writing on September 1, 1995, by letter to Mr. George Hunger, PECO Energy Company. The amendment is being considered on an exigent basis in order to minimize the length of time the licensee is operating in violation of License Condition 2.C(5).

9509200099 950913
PDR ADOCK 05000278
PDR

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

Deletion of License Condition 2.C(5) is an administrative change that will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated. This license condition is more appropriately controlled by other licensing bases documents, which include the NRC approved GESTAR II analyses and the cycle specific reload licensing reports, and should not be part of the FOL. Accidentally, this FOL change will not alter any safety limits which ensure the integrity of fuel barriers, and will not result in any increase to onsite or offsite dose.

No physical changes are being made to the plant, nor are there any changes being made in the operation of the plant as a result of this change which could involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated. Additionally, this change will not alter the operation of equipment assumed to be available for the mitigation of accidents or transients.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

Deletion of License Condition 2.C(5) is an administrative change that will not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident from any previously evaluated. Deletion of License Condition 2.C(5) is an administrative change that will not involve any changes to plant systems, structures or components (SCCs) which

could act as new accident initiators. This change will not impact the manner in which SSCs are tested such that a new or different type of accident from any previously evaluated could be created.

3. The proposed change does not result in a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

No margins of safety are reduced as a result of the proposed deletion of License Condition 2.C(5). No safety limits will be changed as a result of this change. The proposed change does not involve a reduction in the margin of safety because this change is an administrative change which will not impact core limits or any other parameters that are used in the mitigation of a UFSAR design basis accident or transient. The change to the FOL does not introduce any hardware changes, and will not alter the intended operation of plant structures, systems or components utilized in the mitigation of UFSAR design basis accidents or transients. Additionally, this change will not introduce any new failure modes of plant equipment not previously evaluated.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 15 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 15-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 15-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final

determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By October 18, 1995 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington,

DC, and at the local public document room located at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, (REGIONAL DEPOSITORY) Education Building, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no

significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to John F. Stolz: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to J. W. Durham, Sr., Esquire, Sr. V.P.


and General Counsel, PECO Energy Company, 2301 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see letter dated August 30, 1995, and the application for amendment dated September 1, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, (REGIONAL DEPOSITORY) Education Building, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of September 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation