

From: Paul Lohaus
To: Allard, David
Date: 5/29/02 10:05AM
Subject: RE: PA Agreement Schedule

Dave:

Thanks. This is very helpful. What I would propose is a 1.5 year move in the schedule. The reason for the ½ year addition is that it would provide a cushion for NRC resources for one additional fiscal year as a contingency, in the event they are needed.

Paul

>>> "Allard, David" <dallard@state.pa.us> 05/28/02 06:50PM >>>
Paul,

I've just been asked to prepare a short briefing for our Executive Deputy Secretary, and our Governor's Office of Administration and Budget. Specifically it will discuss our staffing needs for AS status. This will be to give them an informal heads-up, and for us to get a verbal OK, before we formally submit the AS Program Revision Request (PRR) in August.

These positions will not cost the Commonwealth any General Funds, but in that this is an election year, nothing is a given. I'm not even sure if I get a verbal OK in a few weeks, that at the end of the day, a formal PRR will get approved. If I don't get these positions, I'm not going to submit an AS application until the new administration is in place, and the PRR is approved.

Thus, if there is some way for NRC to plan for the contingency that we don't get our PRR, and have to push off application submission another year - I'd recommend that.

I should know more in a few weeks, i.e., hopefully have verbal OK on the PRR.

Wish I could be more definitive,

Dave

David J. Allard, CHP, Director
PaDEP, Bureau of Radiation Protection
P.O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Tel: 717-787-2480
Fax: 717-783-8965
E-mail: dallard@state.pa.us
<http://www.dep.state.pa.us/dep/deputate/airwaste/rp/rp.htm>

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Lohaus [mailto:PHL@nrc.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2002 2:51 PM

To: dallard@state.pa.us

Cc: Duncan White; Dennis Sollenberger; George Pangburn; Hubert J. Miller; Isabelle Schoenfeld; Josephine Piccone; James Wiggins

Subject: PA Agreement Schedule

Dave:

During the CRCPD meeting, you indicated that you expected a decision within 2 weeks on your staffing plan for the agreement program. A decision on staffing would be pivotal in terms of your maintaining the current schedule for completing the agreement.

I am inquiring whether you have additional information on staffing and your schedule. Such information is important and timely for us at this juncture since our FY 2004 budget is about to go to the Commission for review and approval. If we need to reflect a change in the current budget assumption on when PA would complete the agreement, it would be of greatest benefit to make that change now before the budget goes to the Commission and subsequently to OMB, after the Commission's approval.

Can you advise us on the current schedule?

Thanks

Paul