

May 28, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station IMC 0350 Panel

FROM: John A. Grobe, Director, DRS */RA/*

SUBJECT: MINUTES OF INTERNAL MEETING OF THE DAVIS-BESSE
NUCLEAR POWER STATION IMC 0350 PANEL

The implementation of the IMC 0350 process for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station was announced on April 29, 2002. An internal panel meeting was held May 21.

Attached for your information are the minutes from the internal meeting of the IMC 0350 panel.

Attachment: As stated

cc w/att: H. Nieh, OEDO
W. Dean, NRR
A. Mendiola, NRR
D. Pickett, NRR
S. Bloom, NRR
G. Grant, RIII
S. Reynolds, RIII
C. Lipa, RIII
L. Collins, RIII
D. Simpkins, RIII
J. Jacobson, RIII
S. Burgess, RIII
R. Lickus, RIII
S. Thomas, RIII

MEMORANDUM TO: Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station IMC 0350 Panel

FROM: John A. Grobe, Director, DRS

SUBJECT: MINUTES OF INTERNAL MEETING OF THE DAVIS-BESSE
NUCLEAR POWER STATION IMC 0350 PANEL

The implementation of the IMC 0350 process for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station was announced on April 29, 2002. An internal panel meeting was held May 21. Attached for your information are the minutes from the internal meeting of the IMC 0350 panel.

Attachment: As stated

cc w/att: H. Nieh, OEDO
W. Dean, NRR
A. Mendiola, NRR
D. Pickett, NRR
S. Bloom, NRR
G. Grant, RIII
S. Reynolds, RIII
C. Lipa, RIII
L. Collins, RIII
D. Simpkins, RIII
J. Jacobson, RIII
S. Burgess, RIII
R. Lickus, RIII
S. Thomas, RIII

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\Davis-Besse 0350\internalmtgminutes0521.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosure "E"= Copy with enclosure "N"= No copy

OFFICE	RIII	RIII	RIII	
NAME	LCollins:ntp	Clipa	JGrobe	
DATE	05/28/02	05/28/02	05/28/02	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

MEETING MINUTES: Internal IMC 0350 Restart Panel Meeting
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

DATE: May 21, 2002

TIME: 11:00 am (Central)

ATTENDEES:

S. Bloom
L. Collins
W. Dean
J. Grobe
J. Jacobson
M. Johnson
R. Lickus
C. Lipa
A. Mendiola
D. Pickett
S. Thomas

Agenda Items:

1. Reports from Staff

Site Activities (RIO)

S. Thomas reported that the plant continues in Mode 6, with the vessel at 23 feet. The licensee is continuing with extent of condition walkdowns in containment. The panel requested that the SRI report to the panel on any significant findings related to the extent of condition and documented on condition reports.

The panel also discussed the licensee's activities related to the Midland Head and the need for a full core offload if the replacement option is selected by the licensee. The SRI was requested to review the need for a full core offload and report at the next panel meeting. (ACTION - S. Thomas)

J. Grobe and R. Lickus informed the panel that the State of Ohio requested a meeting with the licensee on May 23. The Lt. Governor is expected to be present. The NRC will not be in attendance.

A staff member and investigator from the House Energy and Commerce Committee, D. Cates interviewed D. Simpkins, the Davis-Besse RI yesterday. Mr. Cates will be at Davis-Besse on May 23 and will be interviewing R. Gardner from RIII and members of the licensee's staff.

J. Grobe reported that the licensee intends to submit on the docket their restart plan today.

ACRS Meeting (Wetzel/Marshall)

M. Marshall discussed the draft agenda for the June 5-6 ACRS meeting. The panel suggested changes to the agenda to include a description of the IMC 0350 panel, a discussion of the NRC's review of the technical root cause, and a discussion of the lessons learned group.

RIII has an action item to develop slides for the 0350 portion of the meeting. (ACTION - C. Lipa)

The panel discussed asking IIPB staff to attend the meeting in case questions related to the ROP are asked.

Root Cause Discussion (Bateman/Coffin)

The panel discussed how to document the NRR/DE review of the licensee's technical root cause. An action to invite C. Casto and B. Bateman to the next meeting to discuss and decide on the process was generated. (ACTION - B. Dean)

Risk Analysis (DSSA/SRA)

M. Johnson discussed the ongoing phase 3 analysis. RES is currently focusing on an evaluation of the pressure at which the clad would have failed. This analysis should be complete in several weeks.

Allegations/Investigations (EICS, OI)

This item was not discussed.

Licensing Issues/Actions (DLPM)

A. Mendiola discussed the status of the two open green ticket items and the 2.206 petition review board scheduled for 5/22. Four new 2.206 petitions were received, for a total of five petitions. Also, three remaining yellow ticket items are due in June.

NRR and RIII have begun developing an operational history package for Davis-Besse. The panel agreed that RIII would take an action to retrieve plant performance information from 1985 to present and NRR would retrieve licensing, 2.206, and congressional correspondence. (ACTION - C. Lipa, T. Mendiola)

Status of Public Communications (panel)

R. Lickus discussed recent communications with the State of Ohio. He will follow-up with State Officials after the May 23 meeting between the State and the licensee. (ACTION - Lickus).

2. IMC 0350 Panel Business

Inspection Schedule

The panel agreed to use a Tasking Memo from Grobe to Jacobson/Lipa rather than a Special Inspection Charter for the first four required inspections. The memo indicates how inspection time should be charged. All inspection plans will be briefed before the panel. After these first inspections, all required inspections beyond the baseline will be on the restart checklist.

The panel questioned whether AIT follow-up should be charged to the AIT procedure or the Special Inspection procedure. An action item was discussed to review the AIT procedure. (ACTION - Lipa)

The panel discussed several holes in the existing procedures for how to conduct inspections under the IMC 0350 process. The panel determined that the normal feedback process would be used.

The panel discussed the existing baseline inspection schedule and determined that the Fire Protection inspection and the Problem Identification and Resolution inspection as scheduled should be postponed. Elements of the PI&R will be incorporated into the restart checklist inspections. The bulk of the rest of the baseline will be conducted.

J. Grobe and J. Jacobson discussed the AIT follow-up inspection being conducted by J. Gavula. The goal is to develop any findings and issue the report in the end of June.

Inspection plans to review the licensee's restart action plan will be developed and entered into the restart checklist. DRS has suspended work on the inspection plan for repair of the vessel head. The first revision of the restart checklist should be in place this week

Process Plan

The panel agreed to issue the process plan, revision 1. The plan will only be updated as the tasks change.

Restart Checklist Updates

The restart checklist will be presented to the panel on Thursday. Once finalized it will be sent to the licensee. The checklist will also contain items related to licensing actions.

New Questions on Risk Assessment

The additional questions were emailed to the licensee. A follow-up RAI letter is being processed by NRR.

Licensee delay in answering first set of RAI questions

During the 5/20 call between the NRC and the licensee, the licensee indicated that they may not be able to meet the June 7 due date for the revised safety evaluation and the

answers to the first set of RAI questions. The panel discussed the need to communicate to the licensee the need for information as soon as possible, since the NRC plans to perform the preliminary risk assessment by the end of June. M. Johnson took an action item to discuss with A. Mendiola and D. Pickett communicating this to the licensee. (ACTION - M. Johnson)

3. Utilization of the Web Page

The May 9 external meeting minutes will be placed on the website once they are final. Anything that is issued publicly will be placed on the website.

4. Future Activities/Plans/Meetings

The next public meeting will be held on June 12. The current plan is to meet with the licensee in the afternoon and the public in the evening. The lessons learned review group may hold a separate meeting just before the evening meeting to discuss the lessons learned review being conducted. An action item to set up the location was generated. (ACTION - S. Thomas)

5. Discuss how to handle public or staff comments, questions, allegations, and concerns received by phone, fax, letter, email, or at public meetings.

This item was not discussed.

Other Items:

J. Grobe requested that all action items be clearly captured in the meeting minutes.