### Memorandum

QA: QA

DATE:

MAY 15 2002

**REPLY TO:** 

ATTN OF: RW-3 (R. B. Murthy/(702) 794-1460)

SUBJECT:

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF DEFICIENCY REPORTS (DR) EM-02-D-055 AND EM-02-D-056 RESULTING FROM THE OFFICE

OF QUALITY ASSURANCE (OQA) AUDIT EM-ARC-02-01

TO: EM-5 (S. L. Johnson)

The OQA staff has evaluated the corrective action of DRs EM-02-D-055 and EM-02-D-056 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the DRs are considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (702) 794-1460 or John R. Doyle at (702) 794-5021.

Ram B. Murthy, Acting Director Office of Quality Assurance

OQA:JB-1138

### **Enclosures:**

- 1. DR EM-02-D-055
- 2. DR EM-02-D-056

#### cc w/encls:

L. D. Vaughan, DOE/HQ (EM-5) FORS

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD

Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

Engelbrecht von Tiesenhausen, Clark County,

Las Vegas, NV

J. R. Doyle, NOS, Las Vegas, NV

W. J. Glasser, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

M. A. Kavchak, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

L. W. Wagner, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

J. R. Dyer, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

C. E. Hampton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Horton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

J. M. Replogle, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

B. M. Terrell, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

DM5507

# OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

	8.	
		CORRECTIVE ACTION
1		REPORT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EN			
WASHINGTON, D.C.		NO. EM-02-D-055	
		PAGE 1 OF QA: QA	
DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE AC	TION REPORT		
1. Controlling Document: Standard Practice Procedure (SPP) 4.04, Revision 4, <i>Technical a Documents</i>		Report No.: 02-01	
3. Responsible Organization:	4. Discuss	ed With:	
Office Of Environmental Management, Office Of Safety, Health Al (EM-5), High-Level Quality (HLW) Quality Assurance (QA) Progra		ghan .	
5. Requirement: 1. SPP 4.04, Revision 4, paragraph 4.a.3, states "Performer	completes the revision his	story for the document."	
<ol> <li>SPP 4.04, paragraph 3.a, states in part, " Document(s) specifically within the scope of this procedure are:</li> <li>Reviews original issuance and revisions to Memorandums of Agreement between RW and EM, Project Offices"</li> </ol>			
6. Description of Condition:			
A review of the following Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) iden SPP 4.04 requirements:			
<ol> <li>MOA between Director, DOE Ohio Field Office/West Valley Demonstration Project (OH//WVDP); Director, Office of Safety, Health and Security (EM-5); Director, Ohio Office (EM-31) for Participation in Overview of High-Level Waste Quality Assurance Activities for West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP), Revision 2.</li> </ol>			
2. MOA between Assistant Manager for High-Level Waste (DOE/SR AMHLW); Director, Office of Safety, Health and Security (EM-5); Director, Savannah River Office (EM-42) for Participation in Overview of High-Level Waste Quality Assurance Activities for Defense Waste Processing Facility, Revision 2.			
<ol> <li>MOAs between the Manager, Office of River Protection (DOE/ORP); Director, Office of Safety, Health and Security (EM-5); Director, River of Projection Office (EM-44) for Participation in Overview of High-Level Waste Quality Assurance Activities for the Waste Treatment Project, Revision 2.</li> </ol>			
7. Ipitiator) y 9.		exist? (Not required for a DR)	
John R. Doyle Date 12/14/01	☐ Yes	□в □с □р	
10. Recommended Actions:			
Revise above MOAs to include revision or change procedure to reflect current practice and determine impact.			
11_QA Beview:	2. Response Due Date:		
DAR John R. Doyle Date 12/20/01	10 Working Days From Issue	nce	
DOQA Issuance Approval:		^	
Printed Name: RAM MURTHY Signal	gnature Jayas Blu	John Date 1/6/02	
22. Corrective Actions Verified:	. Closure Approved by:	1 11	
Date 4/25/62. Do	DE/OQA D) OO	Date 5/15/02	

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1

Rev. 12/20/1999

Enclosure 1

### OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

8. X DR/C.	AR Work Order
EM-02-D PAGE	0-055 DG 2/11/02 QA: K OA

### DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT/STOP WORK ORDER CONTINUATION PAGE

Evaluation of Response to Deficiency Report EM-02-D-055

A review of response to this DR, dated 01/2402, reveals that its corrective actions are related to those identified in DR EM-01-D-089. As 02/02/02 the response to EM01-D-089 has been rejected. Until an acceptable response to EM-02-D-089 has been accepted approved, and in concert with this DR, it is recommended that the response to EM-02-D-055 be rejected.

Date: 2/6/62.

John R. Doyle

## OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

DR/CAR NO. EM-02-D-055
PAGE 2 OF 2

QA: QA

### DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT (RESPONSE)

14a. Immediate Actions:

Three MOAs between the HLW sites and EM Headquarters (HQ) were in process of being revised during the time of the RW audit. The revised MOAs had been reviewed and signed by the HLW Program Managers and HLW site managers and waiting signature of the EM-5, Office Director. The EM-5 Office Director directed the HLW QAPM to cancel the three MOAs. A DRAFT memo has been written to officially cancel the three MOAs.

Compliance Date: March 1, 2002

14. Remedial Actions:

SPP 4.04 will be revised to delete the use of MOAs between the HI.W sites and EM HQ.

15. Extent of Condition:

The HLW documents identified in SPP 4.04, that require the revision history be identified in the document have been identified and reviewed. Two types of documents were identified that did not have a revision history – MOAs and Annual QA Requirements Memorandums. The lack of a revision history identified in these documents has no impact on the waste acceptance activities performed by EM HQ and the HLW sites.

16. Cause: (Attach results of root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q for a significant deficiency.)

The cause can be attributed to the fact that MOAs and Annual QA Requirements documents are written in the form of an office memorandum and not a procedure. Office memorandums normally do not have revision histories.

17. Action to Preclude Recurrence:

SPP 4.04, will be revised, approved and re-issued to delete references to MOAs between EM HQ and HLW sites by April 5, 2002.

QA Requirements Memorandum will no longer be issued annually. EM HQ HLW Program will issue a QA Requirements Memorandum to each HLW site. The QA Requirements Memorandum will be reviewed annually to determine if changes are necessary. A revision history will be maintained (attached to the QA Requirements Memorandum) to reflect changes made. SPP 4.04 will be revised to add a note to read: "QA Requirements Memorandums shall have the revision history attached", by April 5, 2002.

Training (if required) will be completed by April 5, 2002.

18. Due Date: April 5, 2002

| For submittal of complete response | Larry D. Vaughan, HLW QAPM |

20. Evaluation: | Partially Accept | Partially Accept | Reject |

QAR | Date | Partially Accept | Date | Partially Accept | Date |

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1 | 2/L/C2/M3/lv2. |

Rev. 12/20/1999

TYPE RESPONSE:	-	
☐ Initial	:	OF
☐ Complete	:	RADIOACTI
X Amended		U.S. DEP
X Amenaca		WA

☐ Initial		FCIVILIAN	DR/CAR NO. 055	
Complete		STE MANAGEMENT	PAGE 2 OF 2	
X Amended		ENT OF ENERGY	QA: QA	
***************************************	WASHING	STON, D.C.		
		•		
	DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIV	E ACTION REPORT (RESPONS	(E)	
14a. Immediate Actions	:			
Three MOAs between the HLW sites and EM Headquarters (HQ) were in process of being revised during the time of the RW audit. The revised MOAs had been reviewed and signed by the HLW Program Managers and HLW site managers and waiting signature of the EM-5, Office Director. The EM-5 Office Director directed the HLW QAPM to cancel the three MOAs. A DRAFT memo has been written to officially cancel the three MOAs.  Compliance Date: March 1, 2002				
14. Remedial Actions:				
SPP 4.04 will be revised to delete the requirements to review MOAs. SPP 4.04 will be revised to clarify the requirement that a Revision History is required for implementing documents only.				
15. Extent of Condition:				
The HLW documents identified in SPP 4.04, that require the revision history be identified in the document have been identified and reviewed. Two types of documents were identified that did not have a revision history – MOAs and Annual QA Requirements Memorandums. The lack of a revision history identified in these documents has no impact on the waste acceptance activities performed by EM HQ and the HLW sites.				
16. Cause: (Attach res	sults of root cause determination prepa	red in accordance with AP-16.4Q for a	significant deficiency.)	
The cause can be attributed to the fact that MOAs and Annual QA Requirements documents are office memorandum and not an implementing document or procedure. The intent of the requirement to maintain a Revision History for intended for implementing documents only, i.e., implementing procedures.				
17. Action to Preclude I	Rесипелсе:			
SPP 4.04, will be revised, approved and re-issued to delete references to MOAs and to clarify the requirement that a Revision History is required for implementing documents only.				
18. Due Date: April 5,	2002	19. Response by:	N/	
☐ For submittal of o	complete response	Larry D. Vaughan.  HLW QAPM  2/14/02	(202) 586-2523	
X For completion of	X For completion of corrective action    HLVV QAPM			
20. Evaluation: Partially Accept Reject 21. Concurrence:				
20. Evaluation. State of Fartisity Accept 1 reject 2.00 Same Blan Solt 2/28/02				

Rev. 12/20/1999

			a.
Submittal Page 11 of	RADIOACTIVE W U.S. DEPART	OF CIVILIAN VASTE MANAGEMENT MENT OF ENERGY NGTON, D.C.	DR/CAR/QO SWO  NO. EM-02-D-055 PAGE OF QA: QA
CONDI	TION ADVERSE TO	QUALITY CONTINUATI	ON PAGE
	Verification of Corrective	Actions to DR EM-02-D-055	
Block 14a. Immediate Actions:			
Verified memorandum to EM-5 da Attachment 1)	ated 02/05/02 that MOAs between	ween the HLW sites and EM He	adquarters have been cancelled (See
Block 14. Remedial Action			
Verified by review of SPP 4.04 Re	ev. 5 approved 3/25/02 Para.	4.a.3 that deleted the requirement	nt to review MOAs.
Block 15. Extent of Condition:			
See Amended Response accepted	by OQA 2/28/02		
Block 16. Cause			•
See Amended response of Block 1	5		
Block 17. Action to Preclude Reco	urrence		
See Block 14	: :		
The Above Committed Corrective	actions have been satisfactor	ly verified.	

John R. Doyle

This Deficiency Report is considered closed.

## memorandum

ATTACHMENT I TO DR EM-02-0-055 plof2

DATE: 5 2002 FEB

C 'E F 13"5.8 (8 19)

REPLY TO ATTN OF: EM-5 (L. Vaughan: 6-2523)

SUBJECT: Cancellation of Memoranda of Agreement (MOA) Among the Office of Environmental Management (EM) and EM High-Level Waste (HLW) Sites

TO: Distribution

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide cancellation notification for the following three MOAs:

- 1) MOA among the Manager, Office of River Protection (DOE/ORP); Director, Office of Safety, Health and Security (EM-5); and the Director, River Protection Office (EM-44):
- 2) MOA among the Assistant Manager for High Level Waste (DOE/SR AMHLW); Director, Office of Safety, Health and Security (EM-5); and Director, Savannah River Office (EM-42); and
- 3) MOA among the Director, DOE Ohio Field Office/West Valley Demonstration Project (OH/WVDP); Director, Office of Safety, Health and Security (EM-5); and the Director, Ohio Office (EM-31).

MOAs among internal EM organizations to participate and support each other in oversight activities are no longer necessary.

High-Level Waste Quality Assurance oversight is now a team effort within EM. Organizations leading the oversight activity will continue to ensure the independence of the participants to prevent conflicts of interest; allow organizations to take credit for the oversight activity to satisfy quality assurance requirements; plan the activity in such a manner to eliminate duplication of effort; and reduce resource commitments and undue disruptions of work at the sites.

Attached are copies of the canceled MOAs for your records. Cancellation is effective as of the date of this memorandum.

If you have any questions, please call Larry Vaughan on (202) 586-2523.

Paul M. Golan

Acting Director

Office of Safety, Health and Security

Office of Environmental Management

Attachments

### ATTACHMENT 1 to DR

### **Distribution List:**

EM-02-D-055 p20f2

R. Newberry, EM-5

K. Chaney, EM-31

M. Rawlings, EM-31

B. Smith, EM-42

K. Fisher, EM-42

C. Majumdar, EM-43

R. Lightner, EM-44

T. Wright, EM-44

C. Anderson, DOE/SR-AMHLW

R. Lynch, DOE/SR-DWPF

A. C. Williams, DOE/OH-WVDP

D. Gray, DOE/OH-WVDP

H. Boston, DOE-ORP

W. Smoot, DOE/ORP

G. Camasta, SFI/CRF

### cc w/o attachment:

R. Goldsmith, EM-5

R. Murray, EM-5

D. Huizenga, EM-20

K. Chacey, EM-22

K. Picha, EM-22

D. Koutsandreas, EM-22

J. Fiore, EM-30

R. Scott, EM-40

K. Grisham, EM-42

## OFFICE OF CIVILIAN

$\triangle$	DEFICIENCY NEPOHY
	CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

RADIOACTIVE WASTE MAN U.S. DEPARTMENT OF E WASHINGTON, D.C	NERGY	NO. EM-02-D-056  PAGE 1 OF QA: QA	
DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE A	ACTION REPORT		
1. Controlling Document: DOE/RW-0333P, Revision 10, Quality Assurance Requirements Section 2.2.12, "Personnel Qualification," and Standard Practice Revision 3.0, Qualification and Certification Records, Subsection Implementing the QA Training Plan"	Procedure (SPP) 3.02,	2. Related Report No.: EM-ARC-02-01	
3. Responsible Organization: Office Of Environmental Management, Office Of Safety, Health Level Quality (HLW) Quality Assurance (QA) Program	and Security (EM-5), High-	4. Discussed With: Larry Vaughn	
<ol> <li>Requirement:</li> <li>SPP 3.02, Subsection 4.a, requires the verification of education accordance with the HLW QA Program.</li> </ol>	tion and experience for those	e who perform activities	
2. The QARD, Section 2.2.12, A.5.c, requires job responsibilities	es be defined as they relate t	to a particular function.	
<ol> <li>SPP 3.02, Section 4.a.1.e, requires the training plan identify training applicable to the participants activity in the HLW QA Program.</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Description of Condition:         <ol> <li>Contrary to the sited requirement, the HLW Quality Assurance Program fails to require job responsibilities be described when position descriptions are required by SPP 3.02, Section 4.a.1.c. A review of position descriptions confirmed the job responsibilities are undefined for specific quality functions performed.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Contrary to the above, verification of education and experience documentation was not available for P. Golan and T. Wright.</li> </ol>			
3. Contrary to SPP 3.02, a review of training evaluation documentation indicated that functional positions were not identified for each individual reviewed although the training plan used functional positions' title to define training requirements. As a result, applicable training could not be directly determined.			
Marilyn A. Kavchak  Date 12/19/01	9. Does a stop work condition  Yes No  If Yes, Check One: A	exist? (Not required for a DR)	
10. Recommended Actions:			
QAR Marilyn A. Kavchak Date 12/20/01	<ol> <li>Response Due Date:</li> <li>Working Days From Issua</li> </ol>	nce	
13. DOQA Issuance Approval:  Printed Name: RAM MURTHY	Signature Jams Blu	Joseph Date 1/8/c2	
22. Corrective Actions Verified:  Date 4/30/02	23. Closure Approved by:	Date Sister	

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1

Enclosure 2

Rev. 12/20/1999

TYPE RESPONSE: DR/CAR NO. EM-02-D-056 OFFICE OF CIVILIAN X Initial OF PAGE 2 RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT **Complete** U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Amended QA: QA WASHINGTON, D.C. **DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT (RESPONSE)** 14a. Immediate Actions: None Required Compliance Date: 14. Remedial Actions: 1. SPP 3.02 will be revised to allow the use of a 'Functional Position Requirements' (FPR) document to supplement an individual's Position Description (PD) if the PD does not identify him/her as a HLW personnel. The purpose of the FPR document is to list the minimum required education and work experience of the individual to performed HLW activities. This will allow training to be matched according to the HLW QA Training Plan and the assigned tasks. 2. Verification of Education and Experience documentation will be requested from the Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation (ME) for P. Golan and T. Wright. 3. The HLW QAPM will review the individual's education and work experience against the Functional Position Requirements document to ensure individuals performing HLW work are properly trained and this requirement has been met. 15. Extent of Condition: A review of all HQ HLW personnel training files has been conducted for compliance to SPP 3.02. The review identified three individuals who did not have proper training documentation in their files. However, the three individuals possessed adequate education and work experience. The lack of proper training documentation for these three individuals has no impact on the waste acceptance activities performed by EM HQ and the HLW sites. 16. Cause: (Attach results of root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q for a significant deficiency.) The caused is attributed to the use of individuals from outside the HLW Program to do HLW work. The review noted that the Position Descriptions for these individuals do not generally reflect duties listed in the HLW Program. Therefore, the linkage between the PD, the training requirements of SPP 3.02 and the QA Training Plan was inconsistent. 17. Action to Preclude Recurrence: SPP 3.02 will be revised by April 5, 2002, to allow the use of a 'Functional Position Requirements' (FPR) document to supplement an individual's Position Description (PD) if the PD does not identify him/her as a HLW personnel. The purpose of the FPR document is to identify the minimum education, work experience and training required of individuals who are not part of the HLW Program to performed HLW work.

> 19. Response by: Larry D. Vaughan

HLW QAPM

21. Concurrence:

1000A \

Reject

Training (if required) will be completed by April 5, 2002.

18. Due Date: April 5, 2002

☐ For submittal of complete response

X For completion of corrective action

20. Evaluation: X Accept Partially Accept

2 0/4

(202) 586-2523

## OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

8. ☑DR/CAR ☐ Stop Work Order
NO.EM-02-D-056
PAGE 2 OF QA: QA

DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT/STOP WORK ORDER CONTINUATION PAGE

### Review of Revised Response to EM-02-D-056

I have reviewed revised response from L. Vaughn dated 1/25/02 to the subject Deficiency Report (DR) and provide the following recommendation:

The response reviewed all HLW HQ personnel training files as part of the extent of condition and identified three individuals who did not have proper training. It fails to commit to completion of the required training and does not provide justification for why the lack of training had not impact.

As action to preclude recurrence, EM states they will revise the corresponding procedure, to allow for the use of a functional position requirement document intended to identify the education and experience required for specific quality assignments that would supplement the individual's position description. Remedial action commits to a review of those who have been conducting quality affecting work without having had the requirements (education & experience) defined for the previously undefined minimum education & experience and determine the impact.

Actions are to be completed by 4/5/02.

Based on these commitments, it is recommended that the response be accepted.

Mukavhik 1/30/02 Marilyn A. Kavchak

DOV 06/01/1000

ubmittal Page of	
	OFFICE OF CIVILIAN
	RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
	HO DEDADTMENT OF EMEDON

Т U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

DR/CAR/QO SWO

NO. EM-02-D-056 PAGE OF

QA: QA

### CONDITION ADVERSE TO QUALITY CONTINUATION PAGE

Review of Committed Corrective Actions for EM-02-D-056 and Recommended Closure

Based on the objective evidence of committed corrective actions taken associated with Deficiency EM-02-D-056, it is recommended that the Deficiency Report be closed. The details of the review are provided below:

- 1. SPP 3.02 has been revised as committed to allow for the use of a 'functional position requirements' document to supplement an individual position description if the position description does not identify the individual as having the appropriate requirements. A review of this procedure revision indicates that it has been revised to adequately address the previously lacking position requirements.
- 2. Previously unavailable verification of education and experience documentation for P. Golan was explained and was found to be adequate. A review of a Inter Office Memo dated January 21, 2002 from L. Vaughan, HQ HLW QAPM, to file states that a Education and Experience Verification (E&EV) form has not previously been required for the position of Office Director (in this case, Mr. Golan) or higher since their role in the QA Program implementation is minimal; and the QAR agrees since there is no evidence they have been involved in any verification activity. The QAR reviewing this documentation finds the waiving of E&EV for the Office Director practical - and recommended that the lack of documentation be acceptable in this case - provided that the role is kept to a minimum. Verification for T. Wright (along with Himpler and Garrett) was initiated on 4/02/02 through a memo from L Vaughan to Gwen Brown. The results of this verification were not provided. The memo initiating the action is accepted as adequate to recommend closure.
- 3. A review of HQ HLW personnel training files was completed as committed in Item 15. for compliance to the newly revised SPP 3.02. This review is documented in the Memo noted in bullet 3 above. Six, not three as previously reported, individuals were found to be lacking proper training - however, it was deemed to have no impact on the waste acceptance activities performed by EM HQ and the HLW sites according to the HQ QA PM. Acceptable justification for each one of individuals lacking training Is provided in the memo and is found acceptable. Justification is based on education and experience versus a review of the work performed by each individual. This review resulted in an evaluation that there was no impact on the work performed and the QAR agrees. The documentation of the review is summarized in the Inter Office Memo dated 1/21/02 from L. Vaughan to file.
- 4. Last, functional position requirement documents for five individuals identified during the review as required in order to match the required training with the roles/responsibilities performed/assigned were generated. These documents were provided by the HQ HLW QAPM and found to be adequate.

Based on the above review summary of the documentation reviewed, all corrective actions associated with this deficiency are considered adequate and closure of this deficiency is recommended.

Marilyn A. Kavchak

Makavchal

4/25/02

AP-16 10 2