

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

7/30/86

#### SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

#### SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 14 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-29

#### MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MIDDLE SOUTH ENERGY, INC.

#### SOUTH MISSISSIPPI ELECTRIC POWER ASSOCIATION

#### GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-416

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated June 4, 1986, Mississippi Power & Light Company, Middle South Energy, Inc., and South Mississippi Electric Power Association (the licensees) requested an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-29 for the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1. The proposed amendment would change Technical Specifications Section 6.0, "Administrative Controls." Specifically, the proposed changes requested by the licensee consist of: (1) revisions to the Unit Organization (Figure 6.2.2-1) and (2) modifications to the composition of the Plant Safety Review Committee (PSRC), TS 6.5.1.2.

#### 2.0 EVALUATION

### 2.1 Revisions to the Unit Organization (Figure 6.2.2-1), TS 6.2.2

The proposed changes to the Unit Organization consist of the following:

- Addition of a new position, the Plant Licensing Superintendent to head a new group, the Plant Licensing Group. He will report to the Manager, Plant Support and will have responsibility for the technical duties of the Plant Compliance Group which will be incorporated into the new group as well as other duties involving licensing, regulatory affairs and interface between the plant and other organizations involving these matters.
- Addition of a new position, the Records and Materials Superintendent to head a new group, the Records and Materials Group. He will report to the Manager, Plant Support and will have responsibility for the Materials Group which will be transferred from the Plant Maintenance section to this new group and for Records Management which is presently handled by the Document Control Group located in the Plant Support Section.

- Addition of a new position, the Administrative Assistant reporting to the GGNS General Manager.
- Deletion of the Startup Supervisor position from Technical Support since initial plant start-up and associated testing are now complete.

The licensee indicates that its objective in making the proposed changes is to: centralize licensing and regulatory matters and interface between the plant and other organizations in this area; consolidate records administration and materials management under Plant Support, which will enhance management attention to these functions; promote increased management attention to the maintenance function by removal of the materials management functions from Plant Maintenance; and relieve the administrative burden on the General Manager and thereby allow him to devote more time to operational and technical aspects of plant management.

These proposed revisions represent organizational enhancements and augmentations in support of greater management attention to the different functional areas and do not affect overall organizational commitments. They therefore, meet the criteria of Section 13.1.2 of the Standard Review Plan (SRP), NUREG-0800 and we find them acceptable.

## 2.2 Revisions to the Composition of the Plant Safety Review Committee (PSRC), $\overline{\text{TS 6.5.1.2}}$

The proposed revisions are as follows:

Add the Manager of Plant Support as the Chairman

Add the Plant Licensing Superintendent

Delete the Technical Engineering Supervisor

The Manager of Plant Operations (formerly the Chairman) becomes the Vice-Chairman/Member

 The Manager of Plant Maintenance (formerly the Vice-Chairman) stays as a Member

The licensee's stated objective in making the proposed changes to the PSRC is to: allow the Manager, Plant Operations to devote more time to line duties; enhance the independence of the PSRC; maintain adequate representation from Plant Operations and Plant Maintenance and add representation from Plant Support.

The requested revisions will not affect the level of experience present in the PSRC and with the added representation from Plant Support will add to the knowledge base of the PSRC. We find the proposed changes acceptable based on the criteria of Section 13.4 of the SRP.

#### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment involves changes in administrative procedures or requirements in the license. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (51 FR 22240) on June 18, 1986, and consulted with the state of Mississippi. No public comments were received, and the state of Mississippi did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Prinicipal Contributor: I. Schoenfeld, Facility Operations Branch, DBL

Dated: July 30, 1986