

March 11, 1998

Mr. G. R. Horn  
Sr. Vice President of Energy Supply  
Nebraska Public Power District  
1414 15th Street  
Columbus, NE 68601

SUBJECT: COOPER NUCLEAR STATION, NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE  
OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND OPPORTUNITY  
FOR A HEARING (TAC NO. M98317)

Dear Mr. Horn:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration Of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for a Hearing," for your information. This notice relates to your application for amendment dated March 27, 1997, in which you proposed to fully convert the current Technical Specifications (TSs) for the Cooper Nuclear Station to a set of TSs based on NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications, General Electric Plants, BWR/4," Revision 1, dated April 1995.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

James R. Hall, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

<del>SECRET</del> File	PUBLIC	PD4-1 r/f
CHawes	ACRS	OGC (15B18)
TGwynn, RIV	JHall	EAdensam (EGA1)
JHannon		

Document Name: COO98317.IND

OFC	PM/PD4-1	LA/PD4-1	D/PDIV-1/H
NAME	JHall/vw <i>JH</i>	CHawes <i>cmH</i>	JHannon
DATE	3/14/98	3/14/98	3/11/98
COPY	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9803160405 980311  
PDR ADOCK 05000298  
P PDR



**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

*DFO 1/1*



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 11, 1998

Mr. G. R. Horn  
Sr. Vice President of Energy Supply  
Nebraska Public Power District  
1414 15th Street  
Columbus, NE 68601

SUBJECT: COOPER NUCLEAR STATION, NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE  
OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND OPPORTUNITY  
FOR A HEARING (TAC NO. M98317)

Dear Mr. Horn:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration Of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for a Hearing," for your information. This notice relates to your application for amendment dated March 27, 1997, in which you proposed to fully convert the current Technical Specifications (TSs) for the Cooper Nuclear Station to a set of TSs based on NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications, General Electric Plants, BWR/4," Revision 1, dated April 1995.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "James R. Hall".

James R. Hall, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. G. R. Horn  
Nebraska Public Power District

Cooper Nuclear Station

cc:

Mr. John R McPhail, General Counsel  
Nebraska Public Power District  
P. O. Box 499  
Columbus, NE 68602-0499

Lincoln Electric System  
ATTN: Mr. Ron Stoddard  
Box 80869  
Lincoln, NE 68501

Nebraska Public Power District  
ATTN: Mr. J. H. Swailes  
Vice President of Nuclear Energy  
P. O. Box 98  
Brownville, NE 68321

MidAmerican Energy  
ATTN: Dr. William D. Leech, Manager-Nuclear  
907 Walnut Street  
P. O. Box 657  
Des Moines, IA 50303-0657

Randolph Wood, Director  
Nebraska Department of Environmental  
Control  
P. O. Box 98922  
Lincoln, NE 68509-8922

Nebraska Public Power District  
ATTN: Mr. B. L. Houston, Nuclear  
Licensing & Safety Manager  
P. O. Box 98  
Brownville, NE 68321

Mr. Larry Bohlken, Chairman  
Nemaha County Board of Commissioners  
Nemaha County Courthouse  
1824 N Street  
Auburn, NE 68305

Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 218  
Brownville, NE 68321

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000  
Arlington, TX 76011

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, LLRW Program Manager  
Division of Radiological Health  
Nebraska Department of Health  
301 Centennial Mall, South  
P. O. Box 95007  
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Mr. Ronald A. Kucera, Department Director  
of Intergovernmental Cooperation  
Department of Natural Resources  
P.O. Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONNEBRASKA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICTDOCKET NO. 50-298NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-46, issued to the Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD or the licensee), for operation of the Cooper Nuclear Station (CNS), located in Nemaha County, Nebraska.

The proposed amendment, requested by the licensee in a letter dated March 27, 1997, would represent a full conversion from the current Technical Specifications (TS) to a set of TS based on NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications, General Electric Plants, BWR/4," Revision 1, dated April 1995. NUREG-1433 has been developed through working groups composed of both NRC staff members and industry representatives and has been endorsed by the staff as part of an industry-wide initiative to standardize and improve the TS. As part of this submittal, the licensee has applied the criteria contained in the Commission's "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors (Final Policy Statement)," published in the Federal Register on July 22, 1993 (58 FR 39132), to the current CNS TS, and, using NUREG-1433 as a basis, developed a proposed set of improved TS for CNS. The criteria in the Final Policy Statement were subsequently added to 10 CFR 50.36, "Technical Specifications," in a rule change that was published in the Federal Register on July 19, 1995 (60 FR 36953) and became effective on August 18, 1995.

The licensee has categorized the proposed changes to the existing TS into four general groupings. These groupings are characterized as administrative changes, relocated changes, more restrictive changes and less restrictive changes.

Administrative changes are those that involve restructuring, renumbering, rewording, interpretation and complex rearranging of requirements and other changes not affecting technical content or substantially revising an operating requirement. The reformatting, renumbering and rewording process reflects the attributes of NUREG-1433 and does not involve technical changes to the existing TS. The proposed changes include: (a) providing the appropriate numbers, etc., for NUREG-1433 bracketed information (information that must be supplied on a plant specific basis, and which may change from plant to plant), (b) identifying plant-specific wording for system names, etc., and (c) changing NUREG-1433 section wording to conform to existing licensee practices. Such changes are administrative in nature and do not impact initiators of analyzed events or assumed mitigation of accident or transient events.

Relocated changes are those involving relocation of requirements and surveillances for structures, systems, components, or variables that do not meet the criteria for inclusion in TS. Relocated changes are those current TS requirements that do not satisfy or fall within any of the four criteria specified in the Commission's policy statement and may be relocated to appropriate licensee-controlled documents.

The licensee's application of the screening criteria is described in Volume 1 of its March 27, 1997, submittal, which is entitled, "Application of Selection Criteria to the Cooper Nuclear Station Technical Specifications." The affected structures, systems, components or variables are not assumed to be initiators of analyzed events and are not assumed to mitigate accident or transient events. The requirements and surveillances for these affected structures, systems, components, or variables will be relocated from the TS to administratively controlled

documents such as the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR), the TS BASES, The Technical Requirements Manual (TRM), the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR), the Offsite Dose Assessment Manual (ODAM), the Inservice Testing (IST) Program, or other licensee-controlled documents. Changes made to these documents will be made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59 or other appropriate control mechanisms. In addition the affected structures, systems, components, or variables are addressed in existing surveillance procedures that are also subject to 10 CFR 50.59. These proposed changes will not impose or eliminate any requirements.

More restrictive changes are those involving more stringent requirements for operation of the facility. These more stringent requirements do not result in operation that will alter assumptions relative to the mitigation of an accident or transient event. The more restrictive requirements will not alter the operation of process variables, structures, systems, and components described in the safety analyses. For each requirement in the current CNS TS that is more restrictive than the corresponding requirement in NUREG-1433 that the licensee proposes to retain in the improved Technical Specifications (ITS), they have provided an explanation of why they have concluded that retaining the more restrictive requirement is desirable to ensure safe operation of the facility because of specific design features of the plant.

Less restrictive changes are those where current requirements are relaxed or eliminated, or new flexibility is provided. The more significant "less restrictive" requirements are justified on a case-by-case basis. When requirements have been shown to provide little or no safety benefit, their removal from the TS may be appropriate. In most cases, relaxations previously granted to individual plants on a plant-specific basis were the result of (a) generic NRC actions, (b) new NRC staff positions that have evolved from technological advancements and operating experience, or (c) resolution of the Owners Groups' comments on the Improved Standard Technical Specifications. Generic relaxations contained in NUREG-1433 were reviewed by the

staff and found to be acceptable because they are consistent with current licensing practices and NRC regulations. The licensee's design will be reviewed to determine if the specific design basis and licensing basis are consistent with the technical basis for the model requirements in NUREG-1433, thus providing a basis for these revised TS, or if relaxation of the requirements in the current TS is warranted based on the justification provided by the licensee.

These administrative, relocated, more restrictive and less restrictive changes to the requirements of the current TS do not result in operations that will alter assumptions relative to mitigation of an analyzed accident or transient event.

In addition to the changes solely involving the conversion, changes are proposed to the current technical specifications or as deviations from the improved GE Technical Specifications (NUREG-1433) as follows:

1. ITS 3.1.8 revises the Scram Discharge Volume Vent and Drain Valve Actions from the corresponding actions of NUREG-1433, to eliminate the restoration requirement if the associated line is isolated.

2. ITS 3.5.1 revises the ECCS Allowed Outage Times from those in the CTS to allow continued operation for up to 72 hours with certain equipment or systems inoperable.

3. ITS 3.7.1, 3.7.2, and 3.7.3, would allow plant operation to continue indefinitely with only one pump per loop operable for the Residual Heat Removal Service Water Booster, the Service Water and the Reactor Equipment Cooling (REC) systems, based on current analyses. The CTS allow continued operation for only 30 days with one pump inoperable; the ITS also deviate from the required actions of NUREG-1433.

4. ITS 3.7.2 and 3.7.3 revise the Service Water and REC system pump and valve testing frequencies from monthly to quarterly to be consistent with ASME Section XI requirements.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

By April 16, 1998, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Auburn Memorial Library, 1810 Courthouse Avenue, Auburn, NE 68305. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest.



The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Mr. John R. McPhail, Nebraska Public Power District, Post Office Box 499, Columbus, NE 68602-0499, attorney for the licensee.


Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(I)-(v) and 2.714(d).

If a request for a hearing is received, the Commission's staff may issue the amendment after it completes its technical review and prior to the completion of any required hearing if it publishes a further notice for public comment of its proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration in accordance with 10 CFR 50.91 and 50.92.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 27, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Auburn Memorial Library, 1810 Courthouse Avenue, Auburn, NE 68305.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of March 1998.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



James R. Hall, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate IV-1  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation