

# 1.0 Introduction

Under the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) environmental protection regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 51, which implement the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), renewal of a nuclear power plant operating license (OL) requires the preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS). In preparing the EIS, the NRC staff is required first to issue the statement in draft form for public comment, and then issue a final statement after considering public comments on the draft. To support the preparation of the EIS, the staff has prepared a *Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants* (GEIS), NUREG-1437, Volumes 1 and 2 (NRC 1996, 1999).<sup>(a)</sup> The GEIS is intended to (1) provide an understanding of the types and severity of environmental impacts that may occur as a result of license renewal of nuclear power plants under 10 CFR Part 54, (2) identify and assess the impacts that are expected to be generic to license renewal, and (3) support 10 CFR Part 51 to define the number and scope of issues that need to be addressed by the applicants in plant-by-plant renewal proceedings. The GEIS guides the preparation of complete plant-specific information in support of the OL renewal process.

The Duke Energy Corporation (Duke)<sup>(b)</sup> operates Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (Catawba) in north-central South Carolina under OLs NPF-35 and NPF-52, which were issued by the NRC. These OLs will expire in December 2024 for Unit 1 and in February 2026 for Unit 2. On June 13, 2001, Duke submitted an application to the NRC to renew the Catawba OLs for an additional 20 years under 10 CFR Part 54 (Duke 2001a). Duke is a *licensee* for the purposes of its current OLs and an *applicant* for the renewal of the OLs. Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.23 and 51.53(c), Duke submitted an Environmental Report (ER; Duke 2001b) in which Duke analyzed the environmental impacts associated with the proposed license renewal action, considered alternatives to the proposed action, and evaluated mitigation measures for reducing adverse environmental effects.

This report is the draft plant-specific supplement to the GEIS (the supplemental EIS [SEIS]) for the Catawba license renewal application. This SEIS is a supplement to the GEIS because it relies, in part, on the findings of the GEIS. The staff will also prepare a separate safety evaluation report in accordance with 10 CFR Part 54.

---

(a) The GEIS was originally issued in 1996. Addendum 1 to the GEIS was issued in 1999. Hereafter, all references to the "GEIS" include the GEIS and its Addendum 1.

(b) Duke Energy Corporation has held the licenses for Catawba, Units 1 and 2 since September 16, 1997. Before this date, Duke Power Company held the license. Duke Power Company remains a division of Duke Energy Corporation.

## 1.1 Report Contents

The following sections of this introduction (1) describe the background for the preparation of this SEIS, including the development of the GEIS and the process used by the staff to assess the environmental impacts associated with license renewal, (2) describe the proposed Federal action to renew the OLs for Catawba, (3) discuss the purpose and need for the proposed action, and (4) present the status of Duke's compliance with environmental quality standards and requirements that have been imposed by Federal, State, regional, and local agencies that are responsible for environmental protection.

The ensuing chapters of this SEIS closely parallel the contents and organization of the GEIS. Chapter 2 describes the site, power plant, and interactions of the plant with the environment. Chapters 3 and 4, respectively, discuss the potential environmental impacts of plant refurbishment and plant operation during the renewal term. Chapter 5 contains an evaluation of potential environmental impacts of plant accidents and includes consideration of severe accident mitigation alternatives. Chapter 6 discusses the uranium fuel cycle and solid waste management, Chapter 7 discusses decommissioning, and Chapter 8 discusses alternatives to license renewal. Finally, Chapter 9 summarizes the findings of the preceding chapters and draws conclusions about the adverse impacts that cannot be avoided (the relationship between short-term uses of man's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity, and the irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources). Chapter 9 also presents the staff's recommendation with respect to the proposed license renewal action.

Additional information is included in appendixes. Appendix A contains public comments received on the environmental review for license renewal and staff responses to the public comments. Appendixes B through F, respectively, list the following:

- the preparers of the supplement
- the chronology of correspondence between NRC and Duke with regard to this SEIS
- the organizations contacted during the development of this SEIS
- Duke's compliance status in Table E-1 (this appendix also contains copies of consultation correspondence prepared and sent during the evaluation process)
- GEIS environmental issues that are not applicable to Catawba.

## 1.2 Background

Use of the GEIS, which examines the possible environmental impacts that could occur as a result of renewing individual nuclear power plant OLs under 10 CFR Part 54, and the established license renewal evaluation process supports the thorough evaluation of the impacts of renewal of OLs.

### 1.2.1 Generic Environmental Impact Statement

The NRC initiated a generic assessment of the environmental impacts associated with the license renewal term to improve the efficiency of the license renewal process by documenting the assessment results and codifying the results in the Commission's regulations. This assessment is provided in the GEIS, which serves as the principal reference for all nuclear power plant license renewal EISs.

The GEIS documents the results of the systematic approach that was taken to evaluate the environmental consequences of renewing the licenses of individual nuclear power plants and operating them for an additional 20 years. For each potential environmental issue in the GEIS, the staff (1) describes the activity that affects the environment, (2) identifies the affected population or resource, (3) assesses the nature and magnitude of the impact on the affected population or resource, (4) characterizes the significance of the effect for both beneficial and adverse effects, (5) determines whether the results of the analysis applied to all plants, and (6) considers whether additional mitigation measures would be warranted for impacts that would have the same significance level for all plants.

The NRC's standard of significance was established using the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) terminology for "significantly" (40 CFR 1508.27, which requires consideration of both "context" and "intensity"). Using the CEQ terminology, the NRC established three significance levels—SMALL, MODERATE, or LARGE. The definitions of the three significance levels are set forth in the footnotes to Table B-1 of 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, Appendix B, as follows:

SMALL – Environmental effects are not detectable or are so minor that they will neither destabilize nor noticeably alter any important attribute of the resource.

MODERATE – Environmental effects are sufficient to alter noticeably, but not to destabilize, important attributes of the resource.

LARGE – Environmental effects are clearly noticeable and are sufficient to destabilize important attributes of the resource.

## Introduction

In the GEIS, the staff assigned a significance level to each environmental issue, assuming that ongoing mitigation measures would continue.

The GEIS included a determination of whether the analysis of the environmental issue could be applied to all plants, and whether additional mitigation measures would be warranted. Issues were then assigned a Category 1 or a Category 2 designation. As set forth in the GEIS,

**Category 1** issues are those that meet all of the following criteria:

- (1) The environmental impacts associated with the issue have been determined to apply either to all plants or, for some issues, to plants having a specific type of cooling system or other specified plant or site characteristic.
- (2) A single significance level (i.e., SMALL, MODERATE, or LARGE) has been assigned to the impacts (except for collective offsite radiological impacts from the fuel cycle and from high-level waste and spent fuel disposal).
- (3) Mitigation of adverse impacts associated with the issue has been considered in the analysis, and it has been determined that additional plant-specific mitigation measures are likely not to be sufficiently beneficial to warrant implementation.

For issues that meet the three Category 1 criteria, no additional plant-specific analysis is required in this SEIS unless new and significant information is identified.

**Category 2** issues are those that do not meet one or more of the criteria of Category 1, and therefore, additional plant-specific review for these issues is required.

In the GEIS, the staff assessed 92 environmental issues and determined that 69 qualified as Category 1 issues, 21 qualified as Category 2 issues, and 2 issues were not categorized. The latter two issues, environmental justice and chronic effects of electromagnetic fields, are to be addressed in a plant-specific analysis. Of the 92 issues, 11 are related only to refurbishment, 6 are related only to decommissioning, 67 apply only to operation during the renewal term, and 8 apply to both refurbishment and operation during the renewal term. A summary of the findings for all 92 issues in the GEIS is codified in Table B-1 of 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, Appendix B.

### 1.2.2 License Renewal Evaluation Process

An applicant seeking to renew its OLS is required to submit an ER as part of its application. The license renewal evaluation process involves careful review of the applicant's ER and

1 assurance that all new and potentially significant information not already addressed in or  
2 available during the GEIS evaluation is identified, reviewed, and assessed to verify the  
3 environmental impacts of the proposed license renewal.

4  
5 In accordance with 10 CFR 51.53(c)(2) and (3), the ER submitted by the applicant must

- 6  
7 • provide an analysis of the Category 2 issues in Table B-1 of 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A,  
8 Appendix B, in accordance with 10 CFR 51.53(c)(3)(ii)
- 9  
10 • discuss actions to mitigate any adverse impacts associated with the proposed action  
11 and environmental impacts of alternatives to the proposed action.

12  
13 In accordance with 10 CFR 51.53(c)(2), the ER does not need to

- 14  
15 • consider the economic benefits and costs of the proposed action and alternatives to the  
16 proposed action except insofar as such benefits and costs are either (1) essential for  
17 making a determination regarding the inclusion of an alternative in the range of  
18 alternatives considered, or (2) relevant to mitigation
- 19  
20 • consider the need for power and other issues not related to the environmental effects of  
21 the proposed action and the alternatives
- 22  
23 • discuss any aspect of the storage of spent fuel within the scope of the generic  
24 determination in 10 CFR 51.23(a) in accordance with 10 CFR 51.23(b)
- 25  
26 • contain an analysis of any Category 1 issue unless there is significant new information  
27 on a specific issue—this is pursuant to 10 CFR 51.53(c)(3)(iii) and (iv).

28  
29 New and significant information is (1) information that identifies a significant environmental  
30 issue not covered in the GEIS and codified in Table B-1 of 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A,  
31 Appendix B, or (2) information that was not considered in the analyses summarized in the GEIS  
32 and that leads to an impact finding that is different from the finding presented in the GEIS and  
33 codified in 10 CFR Part 51.

34  
35 In preparing to submit its application to renew the Catawba OLs, Duke developed a process to  
36 ensure that information not addressed in or available during the GEIS evaluation regarding the  
37 environmental impacts of license renewal for Catawba would be properly reviewed before  
38 submitting the ER, and to ensure that such new and potentially significant information related to  
39 renewal of the licenses for Units 1 and 2 would be identified, reviewed, and assessed during the  
40 period of NRC review. Duke reviewed the Category 1 issues that appear in Table B-1 of  
41 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, Appendix B, to verify that the conclusions of the GEIS remained

## Introduction

valid with respect to Catawba. This review was performed by personnel from Duke and its support organization who were familiar with NEPA issues and the scientific disciplines involved in the preparation of a license renewal ER.

The NRC staff also has a process for identifying new and significant information. That process is described in detail in *Standard Review Plans for Environmental Reviews for Nuclear Power Plants, Supplement 1: Operating License Renewal* (ESRP), NUREG-1555, Supplement 1 (NRC 2000). The search for new information includes (1) review of an applicant's ER and process for discovering and evaluating the significance of new information; (2) review of records of public comments; (3) review of environmental quality standards and regulations; (4) coordination with Federal, State, and local environmental protection and resource agencies; and (5) review of the technical literature. New information discovered by the staff is evaluated for significance using the criteria set forth in the GEIS. For Category 1 issues where new and significant information is identified, reconsideration of the conclusions for those issues is limited in scope to assessment of the relevant new and significant information; the scope of the assessment does not include other facets of the issue that are not affected by the new information.

Chapters 3 through 7 discuss the environmental issues considered in the GEIS that are applicable to Catawba. At the beginning of the discussion of each set of issues, there is a table that identifies the issues to be addressed and lists the sections in the GEIS where the issue is discussed. Category 1 and Category 2 issues are listed in separate tables. For Category 1 issues for which there is no new and significant information, the table is followed by a set of short paragraphs that state the GEIS conclusion codified in Table B-1 of 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, Appendix B, followed by the staff's analysis and conclusion. For Category 2 issues, in addition to the list of GEIS sections where the issue is discussed, the tables list the subparagraph of 10 CFR 51.53(c)(3)(ii) that describes the analysis required and the draft SEIS sections where the analysis is presented. The draft SEIS sections that discuss the Category 2 issues are presented immediately following the table.

The NRC prepares an independent analysis of the environmental impacts of license renewal and compares these impacts to the environmental impacts of alternatives. Evaluation of the Duke license renewal application began with publication of a notice of acceptance for docketing and opportunity for a hearing in the Federal Register (66 FR 42893 [NRC 2001a]) on August 15, 2001. The staff published a notice of intent to prepare an EIS and conduct scoping (66 FR 48489 [NRC 2001b]) on September 20, 2001. Two public scoping meetings were held on October 23, 2001, in Rock Hill, South Carolina. Comments received during the scoping meetings were summarized in the *Environmental Impact Statement Scoping Process: Summary Report – Catawba Units 1 and 2, Rock Hill, South Carolina* (NRC 2002). Comments that are applicable to this environmental review are presented in Part 1 of Appendix A.

1 The staff followed the review guidance contained in NUREG-1555, Supplement 1 (NRC 2000).  
2 The staff and contractors retained to assist the staff visited the Catawba site on October 22 and  
3 23, 2001, to gather additional information and to become familiar with the site and its environs.  
4 The staff also reviewed the comments received during scoping, and consulted with Federal,  
5 State, regional, and local agencies. A list of the organizations consulted is provided in  
6 Appendix D. Other documents related to Catawba also were reviewed and are referenced.

7  
8 This draft SEIS presents the staff's analysis that considers and weighs the environmental  
9 effects of the proposed renewal of the OLs for Catawba, the environmental impacts of alterna-  
10 tives to license renewal, and mitigation measures available for avoiding adverse environmental  
11 effects. Chapter 9, "Summary and Conclusions," provides the NRC staff's recommendation to  
12 the Commission on whether or not the adverse environmental impacts of license renewal are so  
13 great that preserving the option of license renewal for energy-planning decisionmakers would  
14 be unreasonable.

15  
16 A 75-day comment period will begin on the date of publication of the U.S. Environmental  
17 Protection Agency Notice of Filing of the draft SEIS, to allow members of the public to comment  
18 on the results of the NRC staff's review. During this comment period, two public meetings will  
19 be held in Rock Hill, South Carolina, in June 2002. During these meetings, the staff will  
20 describe the results of the NRC environmental review and will answer questions related to it to  
21 provide members of the public with information to assist them in formulating their comments.

## 22 23 24 **1.3 The Proposed Federal Action**

25  
26 The proposed Federal action is renewal of the OLs for Catawba, Units 1 and 2. Catawba is  
27 located in north-central South Carolina, in northeastern York County on the shore of Lake  
28 Wylie, approximately 29 km (18 mi) southwest of Charlotte, North Carolina, and 10 km (6 mi)  
29 north of Rock Hill, South Carolina, the nearest town. The current OL for Unit 1 expires on  
30 December 6, 2024, and for Unit 2 the OL expires on February 24, 2026. By letter dated  
31 June 13, 2001, Duke submitted an application to the NRC (Duke 2001a) to renew these OLs  
32 for an additional 20 years of operation.

33  
34 The plant has two Westinghouse-designed, pressurized, light-water reactors, each with a  
35 design rating for a net electrical power output of 1129 megawatts electric (MW[e]). Plant  
36 cooling is provided by six mechanical draft cooling towers that discharge into Lake Wylie.  
37 Units 1 and 2 produce electricity to supply the needs of more than 619,000 homes.  
38

## 1.4 The Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

Although a licensee must have a renewed license to operate a reactor beyond the term of the existing OL, the possession of that license is just one of a number of conditions that must be met for the licensee to continue plant operation during the term of the renewed license. Once an OL is renewed, State regulatory agencies and the owners of the plant will ultimately decide whether the plant will continue to operate based on factors such as the need for power or other matters within the State's jurisdiction or the purview of the owners.

Thus, for license renewal reviews, the NRC has adopted the following definition of purpose and need (GEIS Section 1.3):

The purpose and need for the proposed action (renewal of an operating license) is to provide an option that allows for power generation capability beyond the term of a current nuclear power plant operating license to meet future system generating needs, as such needs may be determined by State, utility, and where authorized, Federal (other than NRC) decisionmakers.

This definition of purpose and need reflects the Commission's recognition that, unless there are findings in the safety review required by the Atomic Energy Act or findings in the NEPA environmental analysis that would lead the NRC to reject a license renewal application, the NRC does not have a role in the energy-planning decisions of State regulators and utility officials as to whether a particular nuclear power plant should continue to operate. From the perspective of the licensee and the State regulatory authority, the purpose of renewing an OL is to maintain the availability of the nuclear plant to meet system energy requirements beyond the current term of the plant's license.

## 1.5 Compliance and Consultations

Duke is required to hold certain Federal, State, and local environmental permits, as well as meet relevant Federal and State statutory requirements. In its ER, Duke provided a list of the authorizations from Federal, State, and local authorities for current operations as well as environmental approvals and consultations associated with license renewal of Catawba. Authorizations and consultations most relevant to the proposed OL renewal action are summarized in Table 1-1. The full list of authorizations and consultations provided by Duke is included in Appendix E.



**Table 1-1.** Federal, State, and Local Authorizations and Consultations

Agency	Authority	Requirement	Number	Permit Expiration or Consultation Date	Activity Covered
NRC	Atomic Energy Act, 10 CFR Part 50	Operating license	NPF-35 (Unit 1) NPF-52 (Unit 2)	December 6, 2024 (Unit 1) February 24, 2026 (Unit 2)	Operation of Catawba Units 1 and 2
FWS and NMFS	Endangered Species Act, Section 7	Consultation	NA	Consultation initiated December 2001	Operation during renewal term
FWS	Migratory Bird Treaty Act	Permit	DPRD 757484	Annual	Depredation permit
SCDHEC	Clean Water Act, Section 402	NPDES wastewater permit	SC0004278	April 30, 2006	Discharge of wastewater and cooling water into Lake Wylie
SCDHEC	Clean Water Act, Section 402	NPDES stormwater permit	SC000000; Permit Cert. No: SCR003773	January 31, 2003	Collection, treatment, and discharge of stormwater
SCDHEC	Clean Air Act	Air emissions and operating permits	2440-0070	December 31, 2005	Emissions from diesel emergency generators, miscellaneous diesel engines, and other miscellaneous units
SCIAA and SHPO	National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106	Consultation	NA	Consultation initiated October 24, 2001	Impact on sites listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places
FWS - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service					
NA - Not applicable					
NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System					
SCIAA - South Carolina Institute of Archaeology and Anthropology					
SHPO - State Historic Preservation Office (located at the South Carolina Department of Archives and History)					
SCDHEC - South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control					

## Introduction

The staff has reviewed the list and consulted with the appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies to identify any compliance or permit issues or significant environmental issues of concern to the reviewing agencies. These agencies did not identify any new and significant environmental issues. The ER states that Duke is in compliance with applicable environmental standards and requirements for Catawba. The staff has also not identified any environmental issues that are both new and significant.

## 1.6 References

10 CFR 51. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, *Energy*, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions."

10 CFR 54. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, *Energy*, Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants."

40 CFR 1508. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, *Protection of Environment*, Part 1508, "Terminology and Index."

Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (AEA). 42 USC 2011, et seq.

Clean Air Act (CAA). 42 USC 7401, et seq.

Duke Energy Corporation (Duke). 2001a. *Application for Renewed Operating Licenses, Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2*. Charlotte, North Carolina.

Duke Energy Corporation (Duke). 2001b. *Applicant's Environmental Report – Operating License Renewal Stage Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2*. Charlotte, North Carolina.

Endangered Species Act (ESA). 16 USC 1531, et seq.

Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA). 33 USC 1251, et seq. (Also known as the Clean Water Act [CWA]).

Migratory Bird Treaty Act. 16 USC 703-712.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). 42 USC 4321, et seq.

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). 16 USC 470, et seq.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1996. *Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants*. NUREG-1437, Volumes 1 and 2, Washington, D.C.

1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1999. *Generic Environmental Impact Statement*  
2 *for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Main Report*, "Section 6.3 - Transportation, Table 9.1,  
3 Summary of Findings on NEPA issues for license renewal of nuclear power plants, Final  
4 Report." NUREG-1437, Volume 1, Addendum 1, Washington, D.C.

5  
6 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 2000. *Standard Review Plans for Environmental*  
7 *Reviews for Nuclear Power Plants, Supplement 1: Operating License Renewal*. NUREG-1555,  
8 Supplement 1, Washington, D.C.

9  
10 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 2001a. "Duke Energy Corporation, McGuire,  
11 Units 1 and 2, and Catawba, Units 1 and 2; Notice of Acceptance for Docketing of the  
12 Application and Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing Regarding Renewal of Facility Operating  
13 License Nos. NPF-9, NPF-17, NPF-35, and NPF-52 for an Additional 20-Year Period." *Federal*  
14 *Register*. Vol. 66, No. 158, pp. 42893-42894. August 15, 2001.

15  
16 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 2001b. "Duke Energy Corporation, Catawba  
17 Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2; Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement  
18 and Conduct Scoping Process." *Federal Register*. Vol. 66, No. 183, pp. 48489-48491  
19 (September 20, 2001).

20  
21 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 2002. *Environmental Impact Statement Scoping*  
22 *Process: Summary Report – Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 & 2, Rock Hill, South Carolina*.  
23 Washington, D.C.