

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a Proposed Rule 10 CFR Part 63 , regarding the licensing of a proposed geologic repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.

DOCKETED
USNRC

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE

PR 2,19,20 et al.
(64FR8640)

Attention NRC:

'99 MAY 21 P3:00

My/our major concerns and comments about the proposed criteria NRC is considering using to decide whether to license a repository at Yucca Mountain are:

OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL
ADJUDICATIONS
DIVISION

→ Radionuclides released from Yucca Mountain will primarily be in the groundwater. The groundwater protection standard in the federal Safe Drinking Water Act is 4 millirems (mrem). The NRC proposing a 25 mrem standard overall which includes groundwater (63.113). Is this X too high too low acceptable Comments: Do NOT Bring TO NEVADA

→ The rule (63.115 and 63.113(b)) proposes determining compliance with the maximum radiation dose standard at a boundary 12 miles from the emplacement area. For comparison purposes, the Swedish government sets the boundary at the edge of the waste emplacement area. At DOE's Waste Isolation Pilot Project in N.M., the boundary is 5 kilometers (3 miles). I believe the Yucca Mountain compliance boundary should be : at emplacement area 3 miles away 12 miles away — No where in NEVADA Comments: NEVADA — Do NOT Bring to NEVADA

→ The rule (63.41) says that NRC can issue the repository license to receive waste and allow waste to be put into the repository once it determines that there is enough underground storage space for "initial operation". Do you think the rule should permit DOE to put waste in the repository before construction is completed? Yes X No Comments: Do NOT Bring To NEVADA

The proposed rule (63.115) states that the NRC allowable maximum standard is determined based on the risk to an adult. I agree disagree that the standard should be based on risk to an adult. Comment: Do NOT Bring To NEVADA

Additional Comments: Please keep U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission completely in Washington D.C. Those who create this waste have had time to dispose of it in a safe and constructive way. per that it is done. do not stockpile it in Nevada. Nevada is a safe, beautiful state and not the wasteland you intend it to be.

Name Darlyne Clark Signature Darlyne Clark
Address P.O. Box 21 1104
City/Zip Crescent Valley, Nev. 89821 Date 5/15/99

Return to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff. Comments must be received by June 30. Address appears on reverse side. Fold, tape, stamp, and mail.

MAY 25 1999

Template = SECY-067

Acknowledged by card

SECY-02