Docket No. 50-499

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE DECOMMISSIONING PLANNING RULE (10 CFR 50.33(k) AND 10 CFR 50.75)

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment is related to your letter dated December 2, 1988 in which you requested a temporary exemption from the schedular requirements of the decommissioning rule, 10 CFR 50.33(k) and 10 CFR 50.75. The staff's evaluation of this request will be published in our Safety Evaluation Report, Supplement No. 6.

The assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely, George F. Dick, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate - IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR PD4 Reading P. Noonan G. Dick L. Rubenstein J. Calvo OGC-Rockville E. Jordan B. Grimes C. Abbate PD4 Plant File ACRS (10) GPA/PA PD4/D MAC PD4/L Ne CAbbate:sr JCalvo PNoorkan 12/7/88 12/0 12/0/88 12/16/88 12/06/88



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 December 7, 1988

Docket No. 50-499

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE DECOMMISSIONING PLANNING RULE (10 CFR 50.33(k) AND 10 CFR 50.75)

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment is related to your letter dated December 2, 1988 in which you requested a temporary exemption from the schedular requirements of the decommissioning rule, 10 CFR 50.33(k) and 10 CFR 50.75. The staff's evaluation of this request will be published in our Safety Evaluation Report, Supplement No. 6.

The assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

George F. Dick, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate - IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page Mr. J. H. Goldberg Houston Lighting and Power Company

cc: Brian Berwick, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division P. O. Box 12548 Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711

Mr. J. T. Westermeier General Manager, South Texas Project Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Houston, Texas 77483

Mr. R. J. Miner Chief Operating Officer City of Austin Electric Utility 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, Texas 78704

Mr. R. J. Costello Mr. M. T. Hardt City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, Texas 78296

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Newman & Holtzinger, P. C. 1615 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Melbert Schwartz, Jr., Esq. Baker & Botts One Shell Plaza Houston, Texas 77002

Mrs. Peggy Buchorn Executive Director Citizens for Equitable Utilities, Inc. Route 1, Box 1684 Brazoria, Texas 77422

Mr. S. L. Rosen General Manager, Operations Support Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483 South Texas Project

Resident Inspector/South Texas Project c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. 0. Box 910 Bay City, Texas 77414 Mr. Jonathan Davis Assistant City Attorney City of Austin P. O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767 Ms. Pat Coy Citizens Concerned About Nuclear Power 10 Singleton Eureka Springs, Arkansas 72632 Mr. M. A. McBurnett Manager, Operations Support Licensing Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483 Mr. A. Zaccaria Mr. K. G. Hess Bechtel Corporation P. O. Box 2166 Houston, Texas 77001 Mr. R. P. Verret Mr. R. L. Range Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 2121 Corpus Christi, Texas 78403 Doub, Muntzing and Glasgow Attorneys at Law Suite 400 808 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Draio

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Houston Lighting & Power

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Executive Director for Operations 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Mr. Lanny Sinkin, Counsel for Intervenor Citizens Concerned about Nuclear Power, Inc. Christic Institute 1324 North Capitol Street Washington, D.C. 20002

Licensing Representative Houston Lighting and Power Company Suite 610 Three Metro Center Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

INPO Records Center 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-3064

Joseph M. Hendrie 50 Bellport Lane Bellport, New York 11713

Gerald E. Vaughn, Vice President Nuclear Operations Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

R. W. Chewning, Chairman Nuclear Safety Review Board Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

7590-01

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-499

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF

NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

CONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM

10 CFR 50.33(k) AND 10 CFR 50.75

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.33(k) and 10 CFR 50.75 to Houston Lighting & Power Company, et al., (the licensee) for the South Texas Project, Unit 2, located at the licensee's site in Matagorda County, Texas.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

10 CFR 50.33(k)(1) states, "Each application shall state: for an application for an operating license for a production or utilization facility, information in the form of a report, as described in 50.75 of this part, indicating how reasonable assurance will be provided that funds will be available to decommission the facility." 10 CFR 50.75 establishes requirements for indicating how reasonable assurance will be provided that funds will be available for decommissioning. By letter dated December 2, 1988, the licensee requested a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.33(k) and 10 CFR 50.75 for South Texas Project, Unit 2. Each

ç

holder of an operating license is required to submit a decommissioning plan on or before July 26, 1990. The licensee proposes to submit the decommissioning plan for Unit 2 at the time it submits the decommissioning plan for Unit 1 for which it holds an operating license, that is by July 26, 1990. Need for Proposed Action:

The proposed exemption is needed because there has been insufficient time for the licensee to complete all of the actions necessary to submit its decommissioning plan as an operating license application document. The licensee has performed a study of the cost of decommissioning and negotiations have been initiated with potential trustees of an external sinking fund. However, these negotiations have not yet been concluded.

Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action:

The proposed exemption from 10 CFR 50.33(k)(1) and 50.75 will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant cumulative radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the proposed exemption would result in no significant radiological environmental impact. Additionally, it does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the exemption.

Alternative to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

-2-

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested exemption. This would not reduce the environmental impacts of plant operation.

Alternative Use of Resouces:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement (NUREG-1171) for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated December 2, 1988. This document is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555, at the Wharton County Junior College, J. M. Hodges Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton, Texas 77488, and at the Austin Public Library, 810 Guadalupe Street, Austin, Texas 78701. The staff's evaluation of the request will be published in the Safety Evaluation Report, Supplement No. 6, and will be available for inspection at the locations listed above.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Tore G. Calvo

Jose A. Calvo, Director Project Directorate - IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation