

December 7, 1988

Docket No. 50-499

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Group Vice-President, Nuclear
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 1700
Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -
EXEMPTION FROM APPENDIX J, 10 CFR PART 50, SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT
UNIT 2

Enclosed for your information is a copy of a "Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" which has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. This assessment is related to your letter dated January 15, 1986, in which you requested an exemption from certain requirements of Appendix J, 10 CFR Part 50. The staff evaluation of this request was published in Safety Evaluation Report related to the operation of South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, NUREG-0781, Supplement No. 3.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY JOSE A. CALVO

Jose A. Calvo, Director
Project Directorate - IV
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
L. Rubenstein
C. Abbate
ACRS (10)

NRC PDR
J. Calvo
OGC-Rockville
GPA/PA

Local PDR
P. Noonan
E. Jordan
PD4 Plant File

PD4 Reading
G. Dick
B. Grimes

*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES

PD4/LA *oh*
PNoonan
12/7/88

PD4/PE*
CAbbate:sr
12/30/88

PD4/PM*
GDick
12/05/88

PD4/D*
JCalvo
12/05/88

OGC*
12/06/88

8812130246 881207
PDR ADOCK 05000499
A PDC

DF01

December 7, 1988

Docket No. 50-499

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Group Vice-President, Nuclear
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 1700
Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -
EXEMPTION FROM APPENDIX J, 10 CFR PART 50, SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT
UNIT 2

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment is related to your letter dated January 15, 1986, in which you requested an exemption from certain requirements related to Appendix J, 10 CFR Part 50. The staff evaluation of this request was published in Safety Evaluation Report related to the operation of South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, NUREG-0781, Supplement No. 3.

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Jose A. Calvo, Director
Project Directorate - IV
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
L. Rubenstein
C. Abbate
ACRS (10)

NRC PDR
J. Calvo
OGC-Rockville
GPA/PA

Local PDR
P. Noonan
E. Jordan
PD4 Plant File

PD4 Reading
G. Dick
B. Grimes

SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES

PD4/LA*
PNoonan
11/30/88

PD4/PE*
CAbbate:sr
11/30/88

PD4/PM
G. Dick
11/05/88
12

PD4/D
JCalvo
11/05/88
12

OGC
11/05/88

ADR4
LRubenstein
11/ /88

(A)D:DRSP
GHolahan
11/ /88

*See changes
in other
copy.*

PHH
PD6



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

December 7, 1988

Docket No. 50-499

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Group Vice-President, Nuclear
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 1700
Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -
EXEMPTION FROM APPENDIX J, 10 CFR PART 50, SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT
UNIT 2

Enclosed for your information is a copy of a "Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" which has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. This assessment is related to your letter dated January 15, 1986, in which you requested an exemption from certain requirements of Appendix J, 10 CFR Part 50. The staff evaluation of this request was published in Safety Evaluation Report related to the operation of South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, NUREG-0781, Supplement No. 3.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Jose A. Calvo", is written over the typed name.

Jose A. Calvo, Director
Project Directorate - IV
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Houston Lighting and Power Company

South Texas Project

cc:

Brian Berwick, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P. O. Box 12548
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Resident Inspector/South Texas
Project
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 910
Bay City, Texas 77414

Mr. J. T. Westermeier
General Manager, South Texas Project
Houston Lighting and Power Company
P. O. Box 289
Houston, Texas 77483

Mr. Jonathan Davis
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

Mr. R. J. Miner
Chief Operating Officer
City of Austin Electric Utility
721 Barton Springs Road
Austin, Texas 78704

Ms. Pat Coy
Citizens Concerned About Nuclear
Power
10 Singleton
Eureka Springs, Arkansas 72632

Mr. R. J. Costello
Mr. M. T. Hardt
City Public Service Board
P. O. Box 1771
San Antonio, Texas 78296

Mr. M. A. McBurnett
Manager, Operations Support Licensing
Houston Lighting and Power Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, Texas 77483

Jack R. Newman, Esq.
Newman & Holtzinger, P. C.
1615 L Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. A. Zaccaria
Mr. K. G. Hess
Bechtel Corporation
P. O. Box 2166
Houston, Texas 77001

Melbert Schwartz, Jr., Esq.
Baker & Botts
One Shell Plaza
Houston, Texas 77002

Mr. R. P. Verret
Mr. R. L. Range
Central Power and Light Company
P. O. Box 2121
Corpus Christi, Texas 78403

Mrs. Peggy Buchorn
Executive Director
Citizens for Equitable Utilities, Inc.
Route 1, Box 1684
Brazoria, Texas 77422

Doub, Muntzing and Glasgow
Attorneys at Law
Suite 400
808 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Mr. S. L. Rosen
General Manager, Operations Support
Houston Lighting and Power Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, Texas 77483

Mr. J. H. Goldberg
Houston Lighting & Power

- 2 -

South Texas Project

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Executive Director
for Operations
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

Mr. Lanny Sinkin,
Counsel for Intervenor
Citizens Concerned about Nuclear Power, Inc.
Christic Institute
1324 North Capitol Street
Washington, D.C. 20002

Licensing Representative
Houston Lighting and Power Company
Suite 610
Three Metro Center
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Rufus S. Scott
Associate General Counsel
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 1700
Houston, Texas 77001

INPO
Records Center
1100 Circle 75 Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-3064

Joseph M. Hendrie
50 Bellport Lane
Bellport, New York 11713

Gerald E. Vaughn, Vice President
Nuclear Operations
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, Texas 77483

R. W. Chewning, Chairman
Nuclear Safety Review Board
Houston Lighting & Power Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, Texas 77483

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONHOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY, ET. AL.SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT NO. 2DOCKET NO. 50-499NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ANDFINDINGS OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an Exemption from a portion of the requirements of Appendix J of 10 CFR Part 50 to the Houston Lighting & Power Company, acting for itself and for the City of San Antonio (acting by and through the City Public Service board of San Antonio), Central Power and Light Company, and the City of Austin, Texas (the applicants). The Exemption would apply to the South Texas Project (STP) Unit 2 located in Matagorda County, Texas.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of the Proposed Action:

Section III.D.2(b)(ii) of Appendix J, 10 CFR Part 50, states that "Air locks open during periods when containment integrity is not required by the plant Technical Specifications shall be tested at the end of such periods at not less than P_a ." By letter dated January 15, 1986, the licensee requested that the South Texas Project Unit 2 Technical Specifications be written to instead require an overall air lock leak rate test at P_a (37.5 psig) to be performed only "Upon completion of maintenance which has been performed on the air lock that could affect the air lock sealing capability." Otherwise, if an air lock is opened during periods when containment integrity is not required

and no such maintenance has been performed, a door seal leak rate test (a less time-consuming test) must be performed. This requested exemption is consistent with the staff's position on the acceptable testing frequency necessary to demonstrate air lock sealing capability intended in Appendix J. The staff's current position is shown in the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Pressurized Water Reactors (NUREG-0452, Rev. 4). Until Commission Rulemaking changes the current requirement in Appendix J, an exemption to the present regulation must be granted before the licensee can adopt the requested Technical Specification.

Need for Proposed Action:

The proposed exemption is needed because, based on experience at various plants, the staff found that literal compliance with Section III.D.2(b)(ii) of Appendix J is not necessary to assure containment leaktightness. The requested exemption is consistent with the staff's technical position and has been granted to many plants. Literal compliance with the regulation would lead to increased costs and occupational exposure.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The proposed exemption to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Section III.D.2(D)(ii) will assure air lock sealing capability and containment integrity; therefore, this exemption will not increase the probability of accidents and post-accident radiological releases, nor otherwise affect radiological plant effluents. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with this proposed exemption.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed exemption involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in

10 CFR part 20. They would not affect non-radiological plant effluents and would have no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternatives to the Proposed Actions:

The principal alternative to the proposed actions would be to deny the requested exemptions. This would result in increased costs and occupational exposure.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement (NUREG-1171) for STP, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Contacted:

The NRC staff reviewed the applicants' request and applicable documents referenced therein that support this Exemption for STP, Unit 2. The NRC did not consult other agencies or persons.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT


The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for this action. Based upon the environmental assessment, we conclude that this action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated January 15, 1986. This document, utilized in the NRC staff's technical evaluation of the exemption request, is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Wharton County Junior College, J.M. Hodges

Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton, Texas 77488, and at the Austin Public Library, 810 Guadalupe Street, Austin, Texas 78701.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Jose A. Calvo".

Jose A. Calvo, Director
Project Directorate - IV
Division of Reactor Projects - III,
IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation