Mr. William T. Cottle President and Chief Executive Officer STP Nuclear Operating Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT:

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS

RE: CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES (TAC NOS, MA4926 AND MA4927)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 113 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 and Amendment No. 101 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated March 2, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated July 13, 1999.

The amendments allow the use of a "check valve with flow through the valve secured" as an additional means to isolate an affected containment penetration (i.e., a penetration with an inoperable penetration barrier) in Technical Specification 3.6.3, Action b.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

ORIG. SIGNED BY

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager, Section 1 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

OGC

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 113 to NPF-76 PUBLIC

ACRS

2. Amendment No. 101 to NPF-80 PDIV-1 Reading

G.Hill(4)

3. Safety Evaluation

L.Hurley,RIV

J. Tapia, RIV

J.Kilcrease, RIV

J. Pulsipher

cc w/encls: See next page

R.Scholl (E-mail SE)

S.Richards (clo)

To receive a copy of this document, indicate "C" in the box						
OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM_C	PDIV-1/LA C	RTSB/BC	SPLB/SC	ogcp	PDIV-1/SC C
NAME	TAlexion (2)	CJamerson 🕢	WBeckner	GHubbard #	1204	R Gramm
DATE	1/ /99	7/16/99 1	7/19/99	1 /22/99	1 27 99	8/3 /99

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIV-1\SouthTexas\AMDA4926.WPD

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

060031

7708060131 7708



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 3, 1999

Mr. William T. Cottle President and Chief Executive Officer STP Nuclear Operating Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT:

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS

RE: CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES (TAC NOS. MA4926 AND MA4927)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 113 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 and Amendment No. 101 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated March 2, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated July 13, 1999.

The amendments allow the use of a "check valve with flow through the valve secured" as an additional means to isolate an affected containment penetration (i.e., a penetration with an inoperable penetration barrier) in Technical Specification 3.6.3, Action b.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager, Section 1 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 113 to NPF-76

2. Amendment No. 101 to NPF-80

3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

South Texas, Units 1 & 2

CC:

Mr. Cornelius F. O'Keefe Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77414

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Mr. M. T. Hardt Mr. W. C. Gunst City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 289 Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 74483

INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

D. G. Tees/R. L. Balcom Houston Lighting & Power Co. P. O. Box 1700 Houston, TX 77251

Judge, Matagorda County Matagorda County Courthouse 1700 Seventh Street Bay City, TX 77414

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869 Mr. J. J. Sheppard, Vice President Engineering & Technical Services STP Nuclear Operating Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

S. M. Head, Supervisor, Licensing Quality & Licensing Department STP Nuclear Operating Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

Office of the Governor
ATTN: John Howard, Director
Environmental and Natural
Resources Policy
P. O. Box 12428
Austin, TX 78711

Jon C. Wood Matthews & Branscomb One Alamo Center 106 S. St. Mary's Street, Suite 700 San Antonio, TX 78205-3692

Arthur C. Tate, Director
Division of Compliance & Inspection
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756

Jim Calloway
Public Utility Commission of Texas
Electric Industry Analysis
P. O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78711-3326



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-498

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 113 License No. NPF-76

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by STP Nuclear Operating Company* acting on behalf of itself and for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), dated March 2, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated July 13, 1999, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

^{*}STP Nuclear Operating Company is authorized to act for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company and City of Austin, Texas and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - 2. <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 113, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Colent A Gram

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 1 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning

Division of Licensing Project Management

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 3, 1999



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-499

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 101 License No. NPF-80

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by STP Nuclear Operating Company* acting on behalf of itself and for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees), dated March 2, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated July 13, 1999, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

^{*}STP Nuclear Operating Company is authorized to act for Houston Lighting & Power Company (HL&P), the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company and City of Austin, Texas and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-80 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - 2. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 101, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Robert A Bran

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert A. Gramm, Chief, Section 1 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical

Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 3, 1999

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 113 AND 101

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-76 AND NPF-80

DOCKET NOS. 50-498 AND 50-499

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

REMOVE	INSERT
3/4 6-17	3/4 6-17
3/4 6-18	3/4 6-18*
B 3/4 6-3	B 3/4 6-3*
B 3/4 6-4	B 3/4 6-4

^{*}Overleaf pages provided to maintain document completeness. No changes on these pages.

CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3/4.6.3 CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.6.3 The containment isolation valves shall be OPERABLE with isolation times less than or equal to the required isolation times.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

With one or more of the isolation valve(s) inoperable, maintain at least one isolation barrier* OPERABLE in each affected penetration that is open and:

- a. Restore the inoperable valve(s) to OPERABLE status within 24 hours, or
- b. Isolate each affected penetration within 24 hours by use of at least one deactivated automatic valve secured in the isolation position, or check valve with flow through the valve secured**, or
- c. Isolate each affected penetration within 24 hours by use of at least one closed manual valve or blind flange, or
- d. Be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 4.6.3.1 The isolation valves shall be demonstrated OPERABLE prior to returning the valve to service after maintenance, repair or replacement work is performed on the valve or its associated actuator, control or power circuit by performance of a cycling test, and verification of isolation time.
- 4.6.3.2 Each isolation valve shall be demonstrated OPERABLE during the COLD SHUTDOWN or REFUELING MODE at least once per 18 months by:
 - a. Verifying that on a Phase "A" Isolation test signal, each Phase "A" isolation valve actuates to its isolation position;
 - b. Verifying that on a Containment Ventilation Isolation test signal, each purge and exhaust valve actuates to its isolation position; and
 - c. Verifying that on a Phase "B" Isolation test signal, each Phase "B" isolation valve actuates to its isolation position.
 - d. Verifying that on a Phase "A" Isolation test signal, coincident with a low charging header pressure signal, that each seal injection valve actuates to its isolation position.
- 4.6.3.3 The isolation time of each power-operated or automatic valve shall be determined to be within its limit when tested pursuant to Specification 4.0.5.

^{*}An isolation barrier may either be a closed system (i.e., General Design Criteria 57 penetrations) or an isolation valve.

**A check valve may not be used to isolate an affected penetration flow path in which more than one isolation valve is inoperable or in which the isolation barrier is a closed system with a single isolation valve (i.e., General Design Criteria 57 penetration).

CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3/4.6.4 COMBUSTIBLE GAS CONTROL

HYDROGEN ANALYZERS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.6.4.1 Two independent containment hydrogen analyzers shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2.

ACTION:

- a. With one hydrogen analyzer inoperable, restore the inoperable analyzer to OPERABLE status within 30 days or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours.
- b. With both hydrogen analyzers inoperable, restore at least one analyzer to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.6.4.1 Each hydrogen analyzer shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of a CHANNEL CHECK at least once per 12 hours, an ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST at least once per 31 days, a channel OPERABILITY verification at least once per 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS using sample gas containing one volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen, and by performing a CHANNEL CALIBRATION at least once per 18 months using sample gas containing ten volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen.

CONTAINMENT VENTILATION SYSTEM (Continued)

fore, the SITE BOUNDARY dose guidelines of 10 CFR 100 would not be exceeded in the event of an accident during containment PURGING operation.

Leakage integrity tests with a maximum allowable leakage rate for containment purge supply and exhaust supply valves will provide early indication of resilient material seal degradation and will allow opportunity for repair before gross leakage failures could develop. The 0.60 L leakage limit of Specification 3.6.1.2b shall not be exceeded when the leakage rates determined by the leakage integrity tests of these valves are added to the previously determined total for all valves and penetrations subject to Type B and C tests.

3/4.6.2 DEPRESSURIZATION AND COOLING SYSTEMS

3/4.6.2.1 CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the Containment Spray System ensures that containment depressurization and cooling capability will be available in the event of a LOCA or steam line break. The pressure reduction and resultant lower containment leakage rate are consistent with the assumptions used in the safety analyses.

The Containment Spray System and the Containment Cooling System both provide post-accident cooling of the containment atmosphere. However, the Containment Spray System also provides a mechanism for removing iodine from the containment atmosphere and therefore the time requirements for restoring an inoperable Spray System to OPERABLE status have been maintained consistent with that assigned other inoperable ESF equipment.

3/4.6.2.2 RECIRCULATION FLUID PH CONTROL SYSTEM

The operability of the recirculation fluid pH control system ensures that there is sufficient trisodium phosphate available in containment to guarantee a sump pH of ≥7.0 during the recirculation phase of a postulated LOCA. This pH level is required to reduce the potential for chloride induced stress corrosion of austenitic stainless steel and assure the retention of iodine in the recirculating fluid. The specified amounts of TSP will result in a recirculation fluid pH between 7.0 and 9.5.

3/4.6.2.3 CONTAINMENT COOLING SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the Containment Cooling System ensures that: (1) the containment air temperature will be maintained within limits during normal operation, and (2) adequate heat removal capacity is available when operated in conjunction with the Containment Spray Systems during post-LOCA conditions.

BASES

3/4.6.2.3 CONTAINMENT COOLING SYSTEM (continued)

STPEGS has three groups of Reactor Containment Fan Coolers with two fans in each group (total of six fans). Five fans are adequate to satisfy the safety requirements including single failure. The fan cooler units are designed to remove heat from the containment during both normal operation and accident conditions. In the event of an accident, all fan cooler units are automatically placed into operation on receipt of a safety injection signal. During normal operation, cooling water flow to the fan cooler units is supplied by the non-safety grade chilled water system. Following an accident, cooling water flow to the fan coolers is supplied by the safety grade component cooling water system. The chilled water system supplies water at a lower temperature than that of the component cooling water system and therefore requires a lower flow rate to achieve a similar heat removal rate.

3/4.6.3 CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES

The OPERABILITY of the containment isolation valves ensures that the containment atmosphere will be isolated from the outside environment in the event of a release of radioactive material to the containment atmosphere or pressurization of the containment and is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 54 through 57 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50. Containment isolation within the time limits specified for those isolation valves designed to close automatically ensures that the release of radioactive material to the environment will be consistent with the assumptions used in the analyses for a LOCA.

In the event one containment isolation valve in one or more penetrations is inoperable, and the inoperable valve(s) cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within 24 hours, the affected penetration(s) must be isolated. The method of isolation must include the use of at least one isolation barrier that cannot be adversely affected by a single active failure. Isolation barriers that meet this criterion are a closed and deactivated automatic isolation valve, a closed manual valve, a blind flange, or a check valve with flow through the valve secured (a check valve may not be used to isolate an affected penetration flow path in which more than one isolation valve is inoperable or in which the isolation barrier is a closed system with a single isolation valve). For a penetration flow path isolated in accordance with Action b or c, the device used to isolate the penetration should be the closest available one to containment and does not have to be a General Design Criterion containment isolation valve.

3/4.6.4 COMBUSTIBLE GAS CONTROL

The OPERABILITY of the equipment and systems required for the detection and control of hydrogen gas ensures that this equipment will be available to maintain the hydrogen concentration within containment below its flammable limit during post-LOCA conditions. Either recombiner unit is capable of controlling the expected hydrogen generation associated with: (1) zirconium-water reactions, (2) radiolytic decomposition of water, and (3) corrosion of metals within containment. These Hydrogen Control Systems are consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.7, "Control of Combustible Gas Concentrations in Containment Following a LOCA," Revision 2, November 1978.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 113 AND 101 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-76 AND NPF-80

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

DOCKET NOS. 50-498 AND 50-499

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated March 2, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated July 13, 1999, STP Nuclear Operating Company, et al., requested changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2. The proposed changes would allow the use of a "check valve with flow through the valve secured" as an additional means to isolate an affected containment penetration (i.e., a penetration with an inoperable barrier) in TS 3.6.3, Action b, and the associated Bases section.

The July 13, 1999, supplement provided additional clarifying information within the scope of the original <u>Federal Register</u> notice and did not change the staff's initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The South Texas Project containment isolation system design includes several inside containment isolation valves, which are check valves. This design is consistent with and satisfies the requirements of Title 10 of the <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u>, Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria 55 and 56.

3.0 EVALUATION

The containment isolation valves form part of the containment pressure boundary and provide a means for fluid penetrations to be provided with two isolation barriers. Often, a penetration has two containment isolation valves that may be open, but which close automatically if there is an accident. If a containment isolation valve becomes inoperable, degrading the redundancy of containment isolation for that penetration, the current TSs allow plant operation to continue if the penetration is closed and positively isolated within 24 hours. This is done with a closed and deactivated automatic valve, a closed manual valve, or a blind flange.

The licensee proposes to add one more item to this list of allowed means for isolating a penetration with one inoperable containment isolation valve, this being a check valve with flow through the valve secured. A simple check valve, by its very nature, cannot be directly secured in the closed position. There is no hand wheel to turn or lock; no operator to control or deactivate. However, the staff has found that, if flow through a check valve has been secured

(for example, by closing another valve somewhere in the line), then it can effectively close the line, since the staff can assume it will remain in its closed position when there is no flow. However, this assurance is not so great as that provided by a closed and deactivated automatic valve, closed manual valve, or blind flange. Therefore, the staff only allows the check valve to be used in this way in a line that still has one operable containment isolation valve, which provides redundant isolation capability. That is why the licensee has included, in its proposed TSs, a note that states: "A check valve may not be used to isolate an affected penetration flow path in which more than one isolation valve is inoperable or in which the isolation barrier is a closed system with a single isolation valve (i.e., General Design Criteria 57 penetration)" (July 13, 1999, letter).

Based on the above, the proposed change to allow the use of a "check valve with flow through the valve secured" as an additional means to isolate an affected containment penetration (i.e., a penetration with an inoperable barrier), with certain restrictions, in TS 3.6.3, Action b, and the associated Bases section, is acceptable. The staff also notes that the proposed change, as supplemented, is consistent with NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications, Westinghouse Plants."

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Texas State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (64 FR 17030). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: J. Pulsipher

Date: August 3, 1999