October 15. 1998

Mr. William T. Cottle
President and Chief Executive Officer
STP Nuclear Operating Company
South Texas Project Electric
Generating Station
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT:

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT

IMPACT RELATED TO ISSUANCE OF EXEMPTION TO 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4), SOUTH TEXAS PROJECTS, UNITS 1 AND 2 (STP) (TAC NOS. MA2496 AND

MA2497)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request dated June 17, 1998, for an exemption for the South Texas Projects, Units 1 and 2 (STP), from a requirement of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) to submit updates to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report annually or six months after each refueling outage. This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:
Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager
Project Directorate IV-1
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File PUBLIC

PD4-1 r/f

EAdensam (EGA1)

JHannon

CHawes

TAlexion

OGC

ACRS

TGwynn, RIV

MGamberoni

· · ·

Document Name: STPA2496.EA

*See previous concurrence

OFC	PM/PD4-1	PM/P0411	LA/PD4-1	(A)BC/PGEB*	OGC	D/HOA-1
NAME	MGamberonivw	TAlexion	CHawes	TEssig	R.Weiswan	JHannon
DATE	a 11/98	9 12498	9/21/98	08/31/98	9/28/98	19/5/198
COPY	YE\$/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES(NO)	YES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 15, 1998

Mr. William T. Cottle
President and Chief Executive Officer
STP Nuclear Operating Company
South Texas Project Electric
Generating Station
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT:

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT

IMPACT RELATED TO ISSUANCE OF EXEMPTION TO 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4), SOUTH TEXAS PROJECTS, UNITS 1 AND 2 (STP) (TAC NOS. MA2496 AND

MA2497)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request dated June 17, 1998, for an exemption for the South Texas Projects, Units 1 and 2 (STP), from a requirement of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) to submit updates to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report annually or six months after each refueling outage. This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. William T. Cottle STP Nuclear Operating Company

CC:

Mr. David P. Loveless Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77414

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Mr. M. T. Hardt Mr. W. C. Gunst City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 289 Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 74483

INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

D. G. Tees/R. L. Balcom Houston Lighting & Power Co. P. O. Box 1700 Houston, TX 77251

Judge, Matagorda County Matagorda County Courthouse 1700 Seventh Street Bay City, TX 77414 South Texas, Units 1 & 2

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

Mr. Lawrence E. Martin
Vice President, Nuc. Assurance & Licensing
STP Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

Office of the Governor
ATTN: John Howard, Director
Environmental and Natural
Resources Policy
P. O. Box 12428
Austin, TX 78711

Jon C. Wood Matthews & Branscomb One Alamo Center 106 S. St. Mary's Street, Suite 700 San Antonio, TX 78205-3692

Arthur C. Tate, Director
Division of Compliance & Inspection
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of Health
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756

Jim Calloway
Public Utility Commission of Texas
Electric Industry Analysis
P. O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78711-3326

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT. UNITS 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-498 AND 50-499 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License Nos.

NPF-76 and NPF-80 for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2 (STP) issued to the STP

Nuclear Operating Company (the licensee).

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed action is in response to the licensee's application dated June 17, 1998, for exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) regarding submission of revisions to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). Under the proposed exemption the licensee would submit revisions to the USFAR to the NRC no later than 24 calendar months from the previous revision. In addition, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) and 10 CFR 50.59(b)(2), revisions to the Operations Quality Assurance Plan (OQAP) and the safety evaluation summary reports for facility changes made under 10 CFR 50.59 for STP, respectively, may be submitted on the same schedule as the UFSAR revisions.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) requires licensees to submit updates to their UFSAR annually or within 6 months after each refueling outage providing that the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months. Since Units 1 and 2 of STP share a common UFSAR, the

7810210177 781015 PDR ADOCK 05000478 P PDR

04/02/0199

licensee must update the same document annually or within 6 months after a refueling outage for either unit. The underlying purpose of the rule was to relieve licensees of the burden of filing annual FSAR revisions while assuring that such revisions are made at least every 24 months. The Commission reduced the burden, in part, by permitting a licensee to submit its FSAR revisions 6 months after refueling outages for its facility, but did not provide for multiple unit facilities sharing a common FSAR in the rule. Rather, the Commission stated that "With respect to the concern about multiple facilities sharing a common FSAR, licensees will have maximum flexibility for scheduling updates on a case-by-case basis," 57 FR 39355 (1992). Allowing the exemption would maintain the UFSAR current within 24 months of the last revision.

Submission of the 10 CFR 50.59 design change report for either unit together with the UFSAR revision, as permitted by 10 CFR 50.59(b)(2), also would not exceed a 24-month interval. Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed action is administrative in nature, unrelated to plant operations.

The proposed action will not result in an increase in the probability or consequences of accidents or result in a change in occupational exposure or offsite dose. Therefore, there are no radiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

The proposed action will not result in a change in nonradiological plant effluents and will have no other nonradiological environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no environmental impacts associated with this action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded that there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated.

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the exemption would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and this alternative are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2," dated August 1986, in NUREG-1171.

Agencies and Persons Contacted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on September 18, 1998, the staff consulted with the Texas State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's request for the exemption dated June 17, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, 20555 and at

the local public document room located at the Wharton County Junior College, J.M. Hodges Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton TX 77488.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day of October 1998.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John N. Hannon, Director Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation