Docket No. 50-498

Mr. Donald P. Hall Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77251

Dear Mr. Hall:

ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 13 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE SUBJECT: NPF-76 - SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1 (TAC NO. 72003)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 13 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 for the South Texas Project, Unit 1. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated January 25, 1989.

The amendment changes the Appendix A Technical Specifications by modifying the calibration requirements for the source range neutron monitor circuitry to allow for the installation of a new model low noise preamplifier.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation supporting the amendment is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly Federal Register notice.

> Sincerely. /s/ George F. Dick, Project Manager Project Directorate IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

Amendment No. 13 to NPF-76

Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page DISTRIBUTION: Docket File

G. Holahan G. Dick (2) G. Hill (4) ACRS (10) P. Milano

NRC PDR ADR4 OGC-Rockville Wanda Jones GPA/PA

:02/26/90

Local PDR F. Hebdon D. Hagan J. Calvo ARM/LFMB

PD4 Reading P. Noonan E. Jordan PD4 Plant File S. Newberry

:OGC-Rock. :SCIB :PMilano:cs :GDick 💋 NAME : PNoonan DATE :02/23/90 :02/2\$/90 :03/5/90

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Document Name: STP 72003

:02/26/90



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 3, 1990

Docket No. 50-498

Mr. Donald P. Hall Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77251

Dear Mr. Hall:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 13 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-76 - SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1 (TAC NO. 72003)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 13 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 for the South Texas Project, Unit 1. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated January 25, 1989.

The amendment changes the Appendix A Technical Specifications by modifying the calibration requirements for the source range neutron monitor circuitry to allow for the installation of a new model low noise preamplifier.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation supporting the amendment is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next biweekly <u>Federal</u> Register notice.

Sincerely,

George F. Dick, Prøject Manager

Project Directorate IV

Division of Reactor Projects - III,

IV, V and Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 13 to NPF-76

2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Mr. Donald P. Hall Houston Lighting and Power Company

cc:
Brian Berwick, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P. O. Box 12548
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Mr. J. T. Westermeier General Manager, South Texas Project Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Houston, Texas 77483

Mr. J. C. Lanier Director of Generation City of Austin Electric Utility 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, Texas 78704

Mr. R. J. Costello Mr. M. T. Hardt City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, Texas 78296

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Newman & Holtzinger, P. C. 1615 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Melbert Schwartz, Jr., Esq. Baker & Botts One Shell Plaza Houston, Texas 77002

Doub, Muntzing and Glasgow Attorneys at Law Suite 400 808 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Mr. Gerald E. Vaughn Vice-President, Nuclear Operations Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Boc 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483 South Texas Project

Resident Inspector/South Texas
Project
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 910
Bay City, Texas 77414

Ms. Iris J. Jones Acting City Attorney City of Austin P. O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767

Mr. S. L. Rosen Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Construction Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

Mr. M. A. McBurnett Manager, Operations Support Licensing Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

Mr. E. T. Molnar Mr. L. W. Hurst Bechtel Corporation P. O. Box 2166 Houston, Texas 77252-2166

Mr. R. P. Verret Mr. D. E. Ward Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 2121 Corpus Christi, Texas 78403

INPO Records Center 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-3064 Mr. Donald P. Hall Houston Lighting & Power Company

CC:
Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Executive Director
for Operations
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

Joseph M. Hendrie 50 Bellport Lane Bellport, New York 11713

Judge, Matagorda County Matagorda County Courthouse 1700 Seventh Street Bay City, Texas 77414

Licensing Representative Houston Lighting and Power Company Suite 610 Three Metro Center Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 61867 Houston, Texas 77208 South Texas Project

Bureau of Radiation Control State of Texas 1101 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78756

R. W. Chewning, Vice President Nuclear Assurance Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY

CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD OF SAN ANTONIO

CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

DOCKET NO. 50-498

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 13 License No. NPF-76

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Houston Lighting & Power Company* (HL&P) acting on behalf of itself and for the City Public Service Board of San Antonio (CPS), Central Power and Light Company (CPL), and City of Austin, Texas (COA) (the licensees) dated January 25, 1989, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission:
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

9004190203 900403 PDR ADOCK 05000498 P PDC

*Houston Lighting & Power Company is authorized to act for the City Public Service Board of San Antonio, Central Power and Light Company and City of Austin, Texas and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifi-2. cations as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-76 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - 2. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A. as revised through Amendment No. 13, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

The license amendment is effective 30 days from the date of issuance. 3.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Frederick J. Hebdon, Director

Project Directorate IV

Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical **Specifications**

Date of Issuance: April 3, 1990

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 13

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-76

DOCKET NO. 50-498

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

Remove	<u>Insert</u>
3/4 3-11	3/4 3-11
3/4 3-14	3/4 3-14

TABLE 4.3-1 REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

FUNCTIONAL UNIT CHECK CALIBRATION TEST TEST LOGIC T 1. Manual Reactor Trip N.A. N.A. N.A. R(14) N.A. R(14) N.A. R(14) N.A. N.A. R(14) N.A. N.A. R(14) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. D(2, 4), R(4, 6), R(4, 6), R(4, 5) R(4) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. Power Range, Neutron Flux, High Positive Rate 4. Power Range, Neutron Flux, High Negative Rate 5. Intermediate Range, Neutron Flux Neutron Flux R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. S/U(1) N.A. N.A.										
2. Power Range, Neutron Flux a. High Setpoint S D(2, 4), Q(17) N.A. N.A. b. Low Setpoint S R(4, 5) B. Low Setpoint S R(4) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. 4 3. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. High Positive Rate 4. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. Flux, High Negative Rate 5. Intermediate Range, S R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. C. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1) R.A. N.A. R(4) N.A. N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1) R.A. N.A. N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. R(4) N.A. N.A.	ı	FUNC	TIONAL UNIT			CHANNEL OPERATIONAL	ACTUATING DEVICE OPERATIONAL	ACTUATION LOGIC TEST	MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED	
2. Power Range, Neutron Flux a. High Setpoint S D(2, 4), Q(17) N.A. N.A. b. Low Setpoint S R(4) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. 3. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. 4. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. 4. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. Flux, High Positive Rate 5. Intermediate Range, S R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. Neutron Flux 6. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1), Flux 7. Extended Range, S R(4) Q(12, 17) N.A. N.A.	№	1.	Manual Reactor Trip	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	R(14)	N.A.	1, 2, 3*, 4*, 5*	
a. High Setpoint S D(2, 4), Q(17) N.A. N.A. M(3, 4), Q(4, 6), R(4, 5) b. Low Setpoint S R(4) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. 3. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. High Positive Rate 4. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. Flux, High Negative Rate 5. Intermediate Range, S R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1), Reutron Flux N.A. N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.		2.								
b. Low Setpoint S R(4) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. High Positive Rate 4. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. Flux, High Negative Rate 5. Intermediate Range, S R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. Neutron Flux 6. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1), Q(9)(17) N.A. N.A. T. Extended Range, S R(4) Q(12, 17) N.A. N.A.			a. High Setpoint	S	M(3, 4), Q(4, 6),	Q(17)	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2	1
Flux, High Positive Rate 4. Power Range, Neutron N.A. R(4) Q(17) N.A. N.A. Flux, High Negative Rate 5. Intermediate Range, S R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. Neutron Flux 6. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1), Q(9)(17) N.A. N.A. 7. Extended Range, S R(4) Q(12, 17) N.A. N.A.	ω		b. Low Setpoint	S		S/U(1)	N.A.	N.A.	1***, 2	ŀ
Flux, High Negative Rate 5. Intermediate Range, S R(4, 5) S/U(1) N.A. N.A. Neutron Flux 6. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1), Flux Q(9)(17) N.A. N.A. 7. Extended Range, S R(4) Q(12, 17) N.A. N.A.	3-11 Unit 1 - Amendment No.	3.	Flux,	N. A.	R(4)	Q(17)	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2	
Neutron Flux 6. Source Range, Neutron S R(4, 5) S/U(1), Q(9)(17) N.A. N.A. 7. Extended Range, S R(4) Q(12, 17) N.A. N.A.		4.	Flux,	N.A.	R(4)	Q(17)	N.A.	N. A.	1, 2	
ы Flux Q(9)(17) N.A. N.A. ' 7. Extended Range, S R(4) Q(12, 17) N.A. N.A.		5.		S	R(4, 5)	S/U(1)	N.A.	N.A.	1***, 2	-
3,		6.		S	R(4, 5)		N.A.	N.A.	2**, 3, 4, 5	
8. Overtemperature ΔT S R Q(17) N.A. N.A. 9. Overpower ΔT S R Q(17) N.A. N.A. 10. Pressurizer Pressure Low S R Q(17) N.A. N.A.		7.		S	R(4)	Q(12, 17)	N.A.	N.A.	3, 4, 5	,
9. Overpower ΔT S R Q(17) N.A. N.A. 10. Pressurizer PressureLow S R Q(17) N.A. N.A.		8.	Overtemperature ΔT	S	R	Q(17)	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2	
10. Pressurizer Pressure S R Q(17) N.A. N.A.		9.	Overpower ΔT	S	R	Q(17)	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2	
4		10.		S	R	Q(17)	N.A.	N.A.	1	

TABLE 4.3-1 (Continued)

REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

7	FUNC	TIONAL UNIT	CHANNEL CHECK	CHANNEL CALIBRATION	ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST	TRIP ACTUATING DEVICE OPERATIONAL TEST	ACTUATION LOGIC TEST	MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED
) 4 >	11.	Pressurizer Pressure High	S	R	Q(17)	N. A.	N. A.	1, 2
•	12.	Pressurizer Water LevelHigh	S	R	Q(17)	N. A.	N. A.	1
,	13.	Reactor Coolant Flow Low	S	R	Q(17, 18)	N. A.	N. A.	1
•	14.	Steam Generator Water LevelLow-Low	S	R	Q(17,18)	N. A.	N. A.	1, 2
	15.	Undervoltage - Reactor Coolant Pumps	N.A.	R	N.A.	Q(17)	N. A.	1
	16.	Underfrequency - Reactor Coolant Pumps	N.A.	R	N. A.	Q(17)	N. A.	1
	17.	Turbine Trip						
		a. Low Emergency Trip Fluid Pressure	N.A.	R	N.A.	S/U(1, 10)	N. A.	1
		b. Turbine Stop Valve Closure	N.A.	R	N.A.	S/U(î, 10)	N.A.	1
	18.	Safety Injection Input from ESFAS	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	R	N.A.	1, 2

TABLE 4.3-1 (Continued)

REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>FUN</u>	CTIO	NAL UNIT	CHANNEL CHECK	CHANNEL CALIBRATION	ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST	TRIP ACTUATING DEVICE OPERATIONAL TEST	ACTUATION LOGIC TEST	MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED
19.	Rea	actor Trip System Interlock	KS					(
,	a.	Intermediate Range Neutron Flux, P-6	N.A.	R(4)	R	N. A.	N.A.	2**
	b.	Low Power Reactor Trips Block, P-7	N.A.	R(4)	R	N.A.	N.A.	1
	c.	Power Range Neutron Flux, P-8	N.A.	R(4)	R	N.A.	N.A.	1
	d.	Power Range Neutron Flux, P-9	N.A.	R(4)	R	N.A.	N.A.	1
	e.	Power Range Neutron Flux, P-10	N.A.	R(4)	R	N.A.	N.A.	1, 2
	f.	Turbine Impulse Chamber Pressure, P-13	N.A.	R	R	N.A.	N.A.	1
20.	Rea	actor Trip Breaker	N.A.	N.A.	N. A.	M(7, 11)	N.A.	1, 2, 3*, 4*, 5*
21.	Aut Log	comatic Trip and Interlock	N. A.	N. A.	N.A.	N.A.	M(7)	1, 2, 3*, 4*, 5*
22.	Rea	nctor Trip Bypass Breaker	N. A.	N.A.	N.A.	M(15),R(16) N.A.	1, 2, 3*, 4*, 5*

TABLE 4.3-1 (Continued)

TABLE NOTATIONS

*When the Reactor Trip System breakers are closed and the Control Rod Drive System is capable of rod withdrawal.

**Below P-6 (Intermediate Range Neutron Flux Interlock) Setpoint.

***Below P-10 (Low Setpoint Power Range Neutron Flux Interlock) Setpoint.

- (1) If not performed in previous 31 days.
- (2) Comparison of calorimetric to excore power indication above 15% of RATED THERMAL POWER. Adjust excore channel gains consistent with calorimetric power if absolute difference is greater than 2%. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to entry into MODE 2 or 1.
- (3) Single point comparison of incore to excore AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE above 15% of RATED THERMAL POWER. Recalibrate if the absolute difference is greater than or equal to 3%. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 2 or 1.
- (4) Neutron detectors may be excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.
- (5) Detector plateau curves shall be obtained and evaluated. If a low noise preamplifier is used with the Source Range Detector, no plateau curve is obtained. Instead, with the high voltage setting varied as recommended by the manufacturer, an initial discriminator bias curve shall be measured for each detector. Subsequent discriminator bias curves shall be obtained, evaluated and compared to the initial curves. For the Intermediate Range and Power Range Neutron Flux channels the provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 2 or 1.
- (6) Incore Excore Calibration, above 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 2 or 1.
- (7) Each train shall be tested at least every 62 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS.
- (8) (Not Used)
- (9) Quarterly surveillance in MODES 3*, 4*, and 5* shall also include verification that permissives P-6 and P-10 are in their required state for existing plant conditions by observation of the permissive annunciator window.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 13 TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-76

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY

CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD OF SAN ANTONIO

CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

DOCKET NO. 50-498

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated January 25, 1989, Houston Lighting & Power Company, et. al., (the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) (Appendix A to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76) for South Texas Project, Unit 1. The proposed changes would modify the calibration requirements for the source range neutron monitors to allow for the possible installation of a replacement low noise preamplifier in the instrumentation circuitry.

2.0 DISCUSSION

With present circuitry the channel calibration specified in TS Table 4.2-1 requires the development of high voltage plateau curves for each of the BF3 proportional counters detector degradation. Upon replacement with the low-noise preamplifier, this TS requirement will be accomplished by varying discriminator bias over a specified range of applied detector high voltages and the recording of changes in the neutron count rate. A graph of count rate versus discriminator bias is then generated for which the bias operating point is then selected. Detector degradation is determined by downward deviation in the discriminator bias curve from that of previous curves.

3.0 EVALUATION

During the preoperational testing of South Texas Project (STP) Unit 2, two of source range neutron monitor preamplifiers failed their performance testing and required replacement. At that time all of the original design preamplifiers, which are the model presently installed in STP Unit 1, were replaced with a new low noise preamplifier that the manufacturer provided as a direct replacement and which had a reduced susceptibility to electromagnetic interference. This preamplifier replacement fulfilled a licensing commitment for STP Unit 2.

7004190213 900403 PDR ADOCK 05000498 PDC The NRC staff had previously concluded for STP Unit 2 that both methods of channel calibration for periodical assessment of the condition of the source range neutron detectors are acceptable (Section 7.2.2.5 of NUREG-0781, Supplement 6, Safety Evaluation of the operation of South Texas Project, Unit 2). The proposed channel calibration requirements for STP Unit 1 are the same as that previously approved for Unit 2. Thus, the staff likewise finds the incorporation of these alternate requirements to be similarly acceptable.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment involves a change in a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes in surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposures. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Section 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Based upon its evaluation of the proposed changes to the South Texas Project, Unit 1, Technical Specifications, the staff has concluded that: there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The staff, therefore, concludes that the proposed changes are acceptable.

Date: April 3, 1990

Principal Contributor: P. Milano, NRR/DRSP