Docket No. 50-498

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment relates to your application dated February 24, 1988, for modifications to South Texas Project, Unit 1. The change would modify the Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) logic such that failure of radiation monitors located in three areas of the plant will annunciate in the control room rather than cause an ESF actuation. Only a high radiation signal will cause such an actuation. The application identified the modifications as an unreviewed safety question.

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely, Original Signed By George F. Dick, Jr.

George F. Dick, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate - IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR PD4 Reading G. Holahan L. Rubenstein J. Calvo F. Hebdon P. Noonan G. Dick C. Abbate OGC-Rockville E. Jordan B. Grimes

8905110243 890501 PDR ADOCK 05000498 PDC PDC

PD4 Plant File

\*SEE PREVIOUS PAGE FOR CONCURRENCES

PD4/LA \* PNoonan 04/11/89

ACRS (10) GPA/PA

PD4/PE \* CAbbate:bj 04/12/89

JAPD4/PM \*
GDick
04/13/89
5/01/89

OGC PD4/D \*

Cockman JCalvo

04/19/89 04/13/89

POP

Docket No. 50-498

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment relates to your application dated February 24, 1988, for modifications to South Texas Project, Unit 1. The change would modify the Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) logic such that failure of radiation monitors located in three areas of the plant will annunciate in the control room rather than cause an ESF actuation. Only a high radiation signal will cause such an actuation. The application identified the modifications as an unreviewed safety question.

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely, Original Signed By George F. Dick, Jr. George F. Dick, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate - IV Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR PD4 Reading G. Holahan L. Rubenstein J. Calvo F. Hebdon P. Noonan G. Dick C. Abbate OGC-Rockville E. Jordan B. Grimes ACRS (10) GPA/PA PD4 Plant File

\*SEE PREVIOUS PAGE FOR CONCURRENCE

PD4/LA \*
PNoonan
04/11/89

PD4/PE \* CAbbate:bj 04/12/89

| MD4/PM \* | GDick | 04/13/89 | €/01/89 0GC PD4/D \*

\*\*Cockman\_JCalvo

04/19/89 04/13/89



# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 1, 1989

Docket No. 50-498

Mr. J. H. Goldberg Group Vice-President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT. UNIT 1

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment relates to your application dated February 24, 1988, for modifications to South Texas Project, Unit 1. The change would modify the Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) logic such that failure of radiation monitors located in three areas of the plant will annunciate in the control room rather than cause an ESF actuation. Only a high radiation signal will cause such an actuation. The application identified the modifications as an unreviewed safety question.

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely.

George F. Dick, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate - IV

Division of Reactor Projects - III,

IV, V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page Mr. J. H. Goldberg Houston Lighting and Power Company

cc:
Brian Berwick, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P. O. Box 12548
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Mr. J. T. Westermeier General Manager, South Texas Project Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Houston, Texas 77483

Mr. R. J. Miner Chief Operating Officer City of Austin Electric Utility 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, Texas 78704

Mr. R. J. Costello Mr. M. T. Hardt City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, Texas 78296

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Newman & Holtzinger, P. C. 1615 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Melbert Schwartz, Jr., Esq. Baker & Botts One Shell Plaza Houston, Texas 77002

Mrs. Peggy Buchorn Executive Director Citizens for Equitable Utilities, Inc. Route 1, Box 1684 Brazoria, Texas 77422

Mr. S. L. Rosen General Manager, Operations Support Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483 South Texas Project

Resident Inspector/South Texas
Project
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 910
Bay City, Texas 77414

Mr. Jonathan Davis Assistant City Attorney City of Austin P. O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767

Ms. Pat Coy Citizens Concerned About Nuclear Power 10 Singleton Eureka Springs, Arkansas 72632

Mr. M. A. McBurnett Manager, Operations Support Licensing Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

Mr. A. Zaccaria Mr. K. G. Hess Bechtel Corporation P. O. Box 2166 Houston, Texas 77001

Mr. R. P. Verret Mr. R. L. Range Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 2121 Corpus Christi, Texas 78403

Doub, Muntzing and Glasgow Attorneys at Law Suite 400 808 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 cc:
Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Executive Director
for Operations
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

Mr. Lanny Sinkin, Counsel for Intervenor Citizens Concerned about Nuclear Power, Inc. Christic Institute 1324 North Capitol Street Washington, D.C. 20002

Licensing Representative Houston Lighting and Power Company Suite 610 Three Metro Center Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001

INPO Records Center 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-3064

Joseph M. Hendrie 50 Bellport Lane Bellport, New York 11713

Gerald E. Vaughn, Vice President Nuclear Operations Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

R. W. Chewning, Chairman Nuclear Safety Review Board Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY, ET AL. SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

## DOCKET NO. 50-498

# ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76, issued to Houston Lighting & Power Company, et al., (the licensee) for the South Texas Project, Unit 1, located at the licensee's site in Matagorda County, Texas. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

## Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed amendment would revise provisions in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and modify the logic of the Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) such that failure of radiation monitors located in three areas of the plant would annunciate in the control room instead of actuating Engineered Safety Features (ESF).

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated February 24, 1988.

## The Need for The Proposed Action:

The proposed change will reduce the number of unnecessary ESF actuations.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The proposed change would modify the ESFAS logic such that radiation monitor failures will annunciate in the control room rather than cause an ESF actuation. Currently, ESF actuation will occur automatically upon either failure of a radiation monitor or a high radiation signal in either of two redundant monitors. The consequences of an accident could increase only if the accident is postulated to occur while one radiation monitor is out of service and the other fails simultaneously. In that situation, the ESF would not be actuated on a high radiation condition. However, the Technical Specifications require immediate action (within 1 hour) if the appropriate number of monitors are not operable. Consequently, there is no significant hazard associated with the change. Moreover, the slightly increased consequences of such an accident would not affect offsite releases. Therefore, the proposed changes only very slightly increase the consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed change involves systems located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

The Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER

on September 12, 1988 (53 FR 35244). No request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following this notice.

#### Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendment. This would not reduce environmental impacts of plant operation and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

#### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement (NUREG-171) for the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2.

#### Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendment.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For information concerning this action, see the application of amendment dated February 24, 1988, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and

at the Wharton County Junior College, J. M. Hodges Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton, Texas 77488 and Austin Public Library, 810 Guadalupe Street, Austin, Texas 78701.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 1st day of May 1989.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Jose A. Calvo, Director Project Directorate - IV

Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V and Special Projects

Tone G. Calm

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation