

July 29, 1998

Mr. John K. Wood, Vice President - Nuclear  
Centerior Service Company  
5501 North State Route 2  
Oak Harbor, Ohio  
43449-9760

SUBJECT: DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF TRANSFER AND ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING (TAC NO. MA2201)

Dear Mr. Wood:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing" related to your application dated June 29, 1998, as supplemented by letter dated July 14, 1998. Your application (pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 and 10 CFR 50.90) seeks approval of the transfer of the authority to operate the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station under the license to a new company, FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, and the issuance of a conforming amendment to the license.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

ORIG. SIGNED BY  
Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION w/encl:  
Docket File  
PUBLIC  
PD3-3 R/F  
EGA1  
RBellamy  
OGC  
ACRS

\* See previous concurrence

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DAVISBES\DBA2201.IND

OFFICE	PM:PD33	E	LA:PD33	E	OGC	
NAME	AHansen <i>AW</i>		EBarnhill <i>EB</i>		SHom *	
DATE	7/29/98		7/29/98		7/29/98	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9808070277 980729  
PDR ADOCK 05000346  
P PDR

*DFA*

July 29, 1998

Mr. John K. Wood, Vice President - Nuclear  
Centerior Service Company  
5501 North State Route 2  
Oak Harbor, Ohio  
43449-9760

SUBJECT: DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF TRANSFER AND ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING (TAC NO. MA2201)

Dear Mr. Wood:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing" related to your application dated June 29, 1998, as supplemented by letter dated July 14, 1998. Your application (pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 and 10 CFR 50.90) seeks approval of the transfer of the authority to operate the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station under the license to a new company, FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, and the issuance of a conforming amendment to the license.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

ORIG. SIGNED BY  
Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION w/encl:  
Docket File  
PUBLIC  
PD3-3 R/F  
EGA1  
RBellamy  
OGC  
ACRS

\* See previous concurrence  
DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DAVISBES\DBA2201.IND

OFFICE	PM:PD33	E	LA:PD33	E	OGC
NAME	AHansen <i>AW</i>		EBarnhill <i>EB</i>		SHom *
DATE	7/29/98		7/29/98		7/29/98

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 29, 1998

Mr. John K. Wood, Vice President - Nuclear  
Centerior Service Company  
5501 North State Route 2  
Oak Harbor, Ohio  
43449-9760

SUBJECT: DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF TRANSFER AND ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING (TAC NO. MA2201)

Dear Mr. Wood:

Enclosed is a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer of License and Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing" related to your application dated June 29, 1998, as supplemented by letter dated July 14, 1998. Your application (pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 and 10 CFR 50.90) seeks approval of the transfer of the authority to operate the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station under the license to a new company, FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, and the issuance of a conforming amendment to the license.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Allen G. Hansen".

Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

John K. Wood  
Toledo Edison Company

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1

cc:

Mary E. O'Reilly  
FirstEnergy  
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station  
5501 North State - Route 2  
Oak Harbor, OH 43449-9760

Robert E. Owen, Chief  
Bureau of Radiological Health  
Service  
Ohio Department of Health  
P.O. Box 118  
Columbus, OH 43266-0118

James L. Freels  
Manager - Regulatory Affairs  
Toledo Edison Company  
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station  
5501 North State - Route 2  
Oak Harbor, OH 43449-9760

James R. Williams, Chief of Staff  
Ohio Emergency Management Agency  
2855 West Dublin Granville Road  
Columbus, OH 43235-2206

Jay E. Silberg, Esq.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts  
and Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20037

Donna Owens, Director  
Ohio Department of Commerce  
Division of Industrial Compliance  
Bureau of Operations & Maintenance  
6606 Tussing Road  
P.O. Box 4009  
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068-9009

Regional Administrator  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, IL 60523-4351

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency  
DERR--Compliance Unit  
ATTN: Zack A. Clayton  
P.O. Box 1049  
Columbus, OH 43266-0149

Robert B. Borsum  
Babcock & Wilcox  
Nuclear Power Generation Division  
1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525  
Rockville, MD 20852

State of Ohio  
Public Utilities Commission  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43266-0573

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
5503 North State Route 2  
Oak Harbor, OH 43449

Attorney General  
Department of Attorney  
30 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43216

James H. Lash, Plant Manager  
Toledo Edison Company  
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station  
5501 North State Route 2  
Oak Harbor, OH 43449-9760

President, Board of County  
Commissioner of Ottawa County  
Port Clinton, OH 43252

Mrs. Edith Binford  
PUCO  
180 East Broad Street  
Columbus, OH 43215

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

CENTERIOR SERVICE COMPANY

AND

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-346

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF TRANSFER OF LICENSE

AND ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS

CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an order under 10 CFR 50.80 approving the transfer of Facility Operating License No. NPF-3 issued to the Toledo Edison Company, Centerior Service Company, and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (the licensees) with respect to operating authority thereunder for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, located in Ottawa County, Ohio, and considering issuance of a conforming amendment under 10 CFR 50.90.

The proposed transfer of operating authority under the license would authorize a new company, FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company (FENOC), to use and operate Davis-Besse and to possess and use related licensed nuclear materials in accordance with the same conditions and authorizations included in the current operating license. FENOC would be formed by FirstEnergy Corporation, the corporate parent of the licensees, to become the licensed operator for Davis-Besse and would have exclusive control over the operation

and maintenance of the facility. The license would be amended to reflect the transfer of authority under the license.

Under the proposed arrangement, ownership of Davis-Besse will remain unchanged with each owner (The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison Company) retaining its current ownership interest. FENOC will not own any portion of Davis-Besse. Likewise, the owners' entitlement to capacity and energy from Davis-Besse will not be affected by the proposed change in operating responsibility for Davis-Besse. The owners will continue to provide all funds for the operation, maintenance, and decommissioning by FENOC of Davis-Besse. The responsibility of the owners will include funding for any emergency situations that might arise at Davis-Besse.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, the Commission may approve the transfer of a license, or any right thereunder, after notice to interested persons. Such approval is contingent upon the Commission's determination that the proposed transferee is qualified to hold the license and that the transfer is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders of the Commission.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of

accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensees have provided their analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station has reviewed the proposed changes and determined that a significant hazards consideration does not exist because operation of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, in accordance with these changes would:

- 1a. Not involve a significant increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated because no accident initiators or assumptions are affected. The proposed changes are administrative and have no direct effect on any plant systems. All Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings, and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications will remain unchanged.
- 1b. Not involve a significant increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated because no accident conditions or assumptions are affected. The proposed changes do not alter the source term, containment isolation, or allowable radiological consequences. The proposed changes are administrative and have no adverse effect on any plant system.
2. Not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because no new accident initiators or assumptions are introduced by the proposed changes. The proposed changes are administrative and have no direct effect on any plant systems. The changes do not affect the reactor coolant pressure boundary and do not affect any system functional requirements, plant maintenance, or operability requirements.
3. Not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because the proposed changes do not involve new or significant changes to the initial conditions contributing to accident severity or consequences. The proposed changes are administrative and have no direct effect on any plant systems.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensees' analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of

publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC. The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By September 3, 1998 , the licensees may file a request for a hearing with respect to the proposed transfer of operating authority under the license and issuance of a conforming amendment to the subject facility

operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of Toledo, William Carlson Library, Government Documents Collection, 2801 West Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, OH 43606. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the

proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the

opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested with respect to the proposed amendment, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing on the amendment is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Jay E. Silberg, Esquire, Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, attorney for the licensees.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or

request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 29, 1998, as supplemented by letter dated July 14, 1998, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of Toledo, William Carlson Library, Government Documents Collection, 2801 West Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, OH 43606.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of July 1998

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation