Ronald Tramontano, Director Center for Environmental Health New York State Health Department 547 River Street Troy, NY 12180-2216

Richard Cucolo, Director Division of Safety and Health New York State Department of Labor State Office Building Campus Albany, NY 12240

Jeanine Prudhomme
Assistant Commissioner
Office of Environmental Sciences and Engineering
New York City Department of Health
125 Worth Street, Room 613
New York, NY 10013

Stephen Hammond, Director Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials NYS Department of Environmental Conservation 625 Broadway Albany, NY 12233-7250

Dear Sirs and Madam:

As you are aware, the NRC is using the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) for the evaluation of Agreement State Programs. Per my discussions with Messrs. Gene Miskin, Clayton Bradt, Steven Gavitt, and Paul Merges, I will be the team leader for the IMPEP review of the New York programs scheduled for July 15-26, 2002. During the first week of the review, the team will be in New York City and during the second week we will be based in Albany. The team will include Duncan White, NRC Region I State Agreements Officer; David Collins from the NRC Region II office; Deborah Piskura from the NRC Region III office; Anthony Gaines from the NRC Region IV office; David Fogle and Muhammadali Abbaszadeh from the State of Texas, and Barbara Hamrick from the State of California.

Enclosed is the document, "Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program Questionnaire." The questionnaire was previously furnished to your offices electronically. I ask that each program manager send your responses via Internet to JLL2@NRC.GOV by July 3, 2002. I am sending the document well in advance of the IMPEP review in order to provide time for you to allocate the staff resources necessary to complete the document by the due date.

Part A of the questionnaire contains questions on the common performance indicators. Part B contains questions on the non-common performance indicators for Agreement States.

Also included with the questionnaire is the document "Materials Requested to Be Available for the Onsite Portion of an IMPEP Review." We encourage States to have the items listed prepared prior to the IMPEP team's arrival.

I request that you set up an appointment with the appropriate State Senior Management Officials to discuss the results of the IMPEP review of the New York program on the morning of July 26, 2002 at the Department of Labor office in Albany.

If you have questions, please call me at (630) 829-9661.

Sincerely,

/RA/

James L. Lynch Regional State Agreements Officer

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encl John Spath, NYSERDA

Gene Miskin, NYCH Paul Merges, NYDEC

Barbara Youngberg, NYDEC Adela Salame-Alfie, NYSH Steven Gavitt, NYSH Clayton Bradt, NYDL Part A of the questionnaire contains questions on the common performance indicators. Part B contains questions on the non-common performance indicators for Agreement States.

Also included with the questionnaire is the document "Materials Requested to Be Available for the Onsite Portion of an IMPEP Review." We encourage States to have the items listed prepared prior to the IMPEP team's arrival.

I request that you set up an appointment with the appropriate State Senior Management Officials to discuss the results of the IMPEP review of the New York program on the morning of July 26, 2002 at the Department of Labor office in Albany.

If you have questions, please call me at (630) 829-9661.

Sincerely,

/RA/

James L. Lynch Regional State Agreements Officer

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encl John Spath, NYSERDA

Gene Miskin, NYCH Paul Merges, NYDEC

Barbara Youngberg, NYDEC Adela Salame-Alfie, NYSH Steven Gavitt, NYSH Clayton Bradt, NYDL

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ORPCheckout\FileNET\ML021200363.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RIII	RIII		
NAME	JLynch:jb	CPederson		
DATE	04/29/02	04/29/02		

DISTRIBUTION w/o encl:

- P. Lohaus, STP
- D. Cool, NMSS
- G. Pangburn, RI

DISTRIBUTION w/encl:

PUBLIC-SP01

- K. Schneider, STP
- L. Rakovan, STP
- D. White, RI
- D. Collins, RII
- D. Piskura, RIII
- A. Gaines, RIV
- D. Fogle, Texas
- M. Abbaszadeh, Texas
- B. Hamrick, California

INTEGRATED MATERIALS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM

QUESTIONNAIRE

Name of State Program Reporting Period: April 25, 1998, to July 15, 2002

A. COMMON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- I. Status of Materials Inspection Program
 - 1. Please prepare a table identifying the licenses with inspections that are overdue by more than 25 percent of the scheduled frequency set out in NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 2800. The list should include initial inspections that are overdue.

	Insp. Frequency		
Licensee Name	(Years)	Due Date	Months O/D

- 2. Do you currently have an action plan for completing overdue inspections? If so, please describe the plan or provide a written copy with your response to this questionnaire.
- 3. Please identify individual licensees or groups of licensees the State/Region is inspecting more or less frequently than called for in NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 2800 and state the reason for the change.
- 4. Please complete the following table for licensees granted reciprocity during the reporting period.

¹ Estimated burden per response to comply with this voluntary collection request: 53 hours. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0183), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Priority	Number of Licensees Granted Reciprocity Permits Each Year	Number of Licensees Inspected Each Year
Service Licensees performing teletherapy and irradiator source installations or changes	YR YR YR YR	YR YR YR YR
1	YR YR YR YR	YR YR YR YR
2	YR YR YR YR	YR YR YR YR
3	YR YR YR YR	YR YR YR YR
4		
All Other		

5. For NRC Regions, did you establish numerical goals for the number of inspections to be performed during this review period? If so, please describe your goals, the number of inspections actually performed, and the reasons for any differences between the goals and the actual number of inspections performed.

II. <u>Technical Quality of Inspections</u>

- 6. What, if any, changes were made to your written inspection procedures during the reporting period?
- 7. Prepare a table showing the number and types of supervisory accompaniments made during the review period. Include:

Inspector	Supervisor	License Cat.	<u>Date</u>
•			

- 8. Describe internal procedures for conducting supervisory accompaniments of inspectors in the field.
- 9. Describe or provide an update on your instrumentation and methods of calibration. Are all instruments properly calibrated at the present time? Were there sufficient calibrated instruments available through the review period?

III. Technical Staffing and Training

10. Please provide a staffing plan, or complete a listing using the suggested format below, of the professional (technical) person-years of effort applied to the agreement or radioactive material program by individual. Include the name, position, and, for Agreement States, the fraction of time spent in the following areas: administration, materials licensing and compliance, emergency response, LLW, U-mills, other. If these regulatory responsibilities are divided between offices, the table should be consolidated to include all personnel contributing to the radioactive materials program. Include all vacancies and identify all senior personnel assigned to monitor work of junior personnel. If consultants were used to carry out the program's radioactive materials responsibilities, include their efforts. The table heading should be:

Name	Position	Area of Effort	FTE%
------	----------	----------------	------

- 11. Please provide a listing of all new professional personnel hired since the last review, indicate the degree(s) they received, if applicable, and additional training and years of experience in health physics, or other disciplines, if appropriate.
- 12. Please list all professional staff who have not yet met the qualification requirements of license reviewer/materials inspection staff (for NRC, Inspection Manual Chapters 1246; for Agreement States, please describe your qualifications requirements for materials license reviewers and inspectors). For each, list the courses or equivalent training/experience they need to attend and a tentative schedule for completion of these requirements.
- 13. Please identify the technical staff who left the RCP/Regional DNMS program during this period.
- 14. List the vacant positions in each program, the length of time each position has been vacant, and a brief summary of efforts to fill the vacancy.

IV. Technical Quality of Licensing Actions

- 15. Please identify any major, unusual, or complex licenses which were issued, received a major amendment, were terminated, decommissioned, submitted a bankruptcy notification, or renewed in this period. Also identify any new or amended licenses that now require emergency plans.
- 16. Discuss any variances in licensing policies and procedures or exemptions from the regulations granted during the review period.
- 17. What, if any, changes were made in your written licensing procedures (new procedures, updates, policy memoranda, etc.) during the reporting period?

18. For NRC Regions, identify by licensee name, license number and type, any renewal applications that have been pending for one year or more. Please indicate why these reviews have been delayed.

V. Responses to Incidents and Allegations

19. For Agreement States, please provide a list of the reportable incidents (i.e., medical misadministration, overexposures, lost and abandoned sources, incidents requiring 24 hour or less notification, etc. See Handbook on Nuclear Material Event Reporting in Agreement States for additional guidance) that occurred during the review period. Information included in previous submittals to NRC need not be repeated (i.e., those submitted under OMB clearance number 3150-0178, Nuclear Material Events Database). The list should be in the following format:

<u>Licensee Name</u> <u>License # Date of Incident/Report</u> <u>Type of Incident</u>

- 20. During this review period, did any incidents occur that involved equipment or source failure or approved operating procedures that were deficient? If so, how and when were other State/NRC licensees who might be affected notified? For States, was timely notification made to NRC? For Regions, was an appropriate and timely PN generated?
- 21. For Agreement States, for incidents involving failure of equipment or sources, was information on the incident provided to the agency responsible for evaluation of the device for an assessment of possible generic design deficiency? Please provide details for each case.
- 22. Identify any changes to your procedures for handling allegations that occurred during the period of this review.

VI. General

- 23. Please prepare a summary of the status of the State's or Region's actions taken in response to the comments and recommendations following the last review.

 Describe the results of any program audits completed during the review period.
- 24. For NRC Regions, briefly describe any recent efforts, or future plans, on your part to: (1) improve the safety performance of licensees operating below acceptable levels for ensuring public health and protection; (2) increase the public confidence in your program; (3) increase your effectiveness, and efficiency, or (4) reduce any unnecessary regulatory burden for your stakeholders.
- 25. Provide a brief description of your program's strengths and weaknesses. These strengths and weaknesses should be supported by examples of successes, problems, or difficulties which occurred during this review period.

B. NON-COMMON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- I. <u>Legislation and Program Elements Required for Compatibility</u>
 - 26. Please list all currently effective legislation that affects the radiation control program (RCP).
 - 27. Are your regulations subject to a "Sunset" or equivalent law? If so, explain and include the next expiration date for your regulations.
 - 28. Please complete the enclosed table based on NRC chronology of amendments. Identify those that have not been adopted by the State as detailed in the current RATS form, explain why they were not adopted, and discuss any actions being taken to adopt them. Identify the regulations that the State has adopted through legally binding requirements other than regulations.
 - 29. If you have not adopted all amendments within three years from the date of NRC rule promulgation, briefly describe your State's procedures for amending regulations in order to maintain compatibility with the NRC, showing the normal length of time anticipated to complete each step.

II. Sealed Source and Device Program

30. Prepare a table listing new and revised SS&D registrations of sealed sources and devices issued during the review period. The table heading should be:

SS&D	Manutacturer,	Product Type		
Registry	Distributor or		Date	Type of
Number	Custom User	or Use	Issued	Action

- 31. What guides, standards, and procedures are used to evaluate registry applications?
- 32. Please include information on the following questions in Section A, as they apply to the Sealed Source and Device Program:

Technical Staffing and Training - A.III.10-14
Technical Quality of Licensing Actions - A.IV.15-18
Responses to Incidents and Allegations - A.V.19-22

III. Low-Level Waste Program

33. Please include information on the following questions in Section A, as they apply to the Low-level Waste Program:

Status of Materials Inspection Program - A.I.1-3, A.I.5 Technical Quality of Inspections - A.II.6-9 Technical Staffing and Training - A.III.10-14 Technical Quality of Licensing Actions - A.IV.15-18 Responses to Incidents and Allegations - A.V.19-22

IV. <u>Uranium Mill Program</u>

34. Please include information on the following questions in Section A, as they apply to the Uranium Mill Program:

Status of Materials Inspection Program - A.I.1-3, A.I.5 Technical Quality of Inspections - A.II.6-9 Technical Staffing and Training - A.III.10-14 Technical Quality of Licensing Actions - A.IV.15-18 Responses to Incidents and Allegations - A.V.19-22

TABLE FOR QUESTION 28

	DATE	DATE ADOPTED	OR			
10 CFR RULE	DUE	OR EFFECTIVE	CURRENT STATUS	EXPECTED ADOPTION		
Any amendment due prior to 1993. Identify each regulation (refer to the Chronology of Amendments)						
Emergency Planning; Parts 30, 40, 70	4/7/93					
Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Part 20	1/1/94					
Safety Requirements for Radiographic Equipment; Part 34	1/10/94					
Notification of Incidents; Parts 20, 30, 31, 34, 39, 40, 70	10/15/94					
Quality Management Program and Misadministrations; Part 35	1/27/95					
Licensing and Radiation Safety Requirements for Irradiators; Part 36	7/1/96					
Definition of Land Disposal and Waste Site QA Program; Part 61	7/22/96					
Decommissioning Recordkeeping: Documentation Additions; Parts 30, 40, 70	10/25/96					
Uranium Mill Tailings: Conforming to EPA Standards; Part 40	7/1/97					
Timeliness in Decommissioning Parts 30, 40, 70	8/15/97					
Preparation, Transfer for Commercial Distribution, and Use of Byproduct Material for Medical Use; Parts 30, 32, 35	1/1/98					
Frequency of Medical Examinations for Use of Respiratory Protection Equipment	3/13/98					

	DATE	DATE ADOPTED	OR			
10 CFR RULE	DUE	OR EFFECTIVE	CURRENT STATUS	EXPECTED ADOPTION		
Low-Level Waste Shipment Manifest Information and Reporting	3/1/98					
Performance Requirements for Radiography Equipment	6/30/98					
Radiation Protection Requirements: Amended Definitions and Criteria	8/14/98					
Medical Administration of Radiation and Radioactive Materials.	10/20/98					
Clarification of Decommissioning Funding Requirements	11/24/98					
10 CFR Part 71: Compatibility with the International Atomic Energy Agency	4/1/99					
Termination or Transfer of Licensed Activities: Recordkeeping Requirements.	6/16/99					
Resolution of Dual Regulation of Airborne Effluents of Radioactive Materials; Clean Air Act	1/9/2000					
Recognition of Agreement State Licenses in Areas Under Exclusive Federal Jurisdiction Within an Agreement State	2/27/2000					
Criteria for the Release of Individuals Administered Radioactive Material	5/29/2000					
Licenses for Industrial Radiography and Radiation Safety Requirements for Industrial Radiography Operations; Final Rule	6/27/2000					
Radiological Criteria for License Termination	8/20/2000					
Exempt Distribution of a Radioactive Drug Containing One Microcurie of Carbon-14 Urea	1/2/2001					
Deliberate Misconduct by Unlicensed Persons	2/12/2001					

	DATE	DATE ADOPTED	OR			
10 CFR RULE	DUE	OR EFFECTIVE	CURRENT STATUS	EXPECTED ADOPTION		
Licenses for Industrial Radiography and Radiation Safety Requirements for Industrial Radiographic Operations; Clarifying Amendments and Corrections	7/9/2001					
Minor Corrections, Clarifying Changes, and a Minor Policy Change	10/26/2001					
Transfer for Disposal and Manifest; Minor Technical Conforming Amendments	11/20/2001					
Radiological Criteria for License Termination of Uranium Recovery Facilities	6/11/2002					
Respiratory Protection and Controls to Restrict Internal Exposures	2/2/2003					
Energy Compensation Sources for Well Logging and Other Regulatory Clarifications	5/17/03					
New Dosimetry Technology	1/8/04					

MATERIALS REQUESTED TO BE AVAILABLE FOR THE ONSITE PORTION OF AN IMPEP REVIEW

ORGANIZATION CHARTS

Claan ciza	ر /10 امر	V 11"	including	namac	and	nacitia	nc
Clean, size	:u 0/2 /	\wedge 11	including	Hallies	anu	positio	112

- □ One showing positions from Governor down to Radiation Control Program Director (RCPD)
- One showing positions of current radiation control program with RCPD as Head
- □ Equivalent charts for LLRW and mills programs, if applicable

LICENSE LISTS

□ Printouts of current licenses, showing total, as follows:

Name	License #	Location	License Type	Priority	Last Inspection	Due Date
------	-----------	----------	--------------	----------	-----------------	----------

Sort alphabetically

Also, sort by due date and by priority (if possible)

THE FOLLOWING LISTS

- □ List of open license cases, with date of original request, and dates of follow up actions
- □ List of licenses terminated during review period.
- Copy of current log or other document used to track licensing actions
- Copy of current log or other document used to track inspections
- □ List of Inspection frequency by license type
- □ List all incidents occurring during the review period. Show whether incident is open or closed and whether it was reported to the NRC
- □ List of all allegations occurring during the review period. Show whether the allegation is open or closed and whether it was referred by NRC
- □ List of all wrongdoings occurring during the review period. Show whether the allegation is open or closed

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS

- □ All State regulations
- Statutes affecting the regulatory authority of the state program
- Standard license conditions
- Technical procedures for licensing, model licenses, review guides
- □ SS&D review procedures
- □ Instrument calibration records
- Inspection procedures and guides
- Inspection report forms

- Records of results of supervisory accompaniments of inspectors
- Emergency plan and communications list
- Procedures for investigating allegations
- Procedures for investigating incidents
- Enforcement procedures, including procedures for escalated enforcement, severity levels, civil penalties (as applicable)
- Copies of job descriptions
- Copies of audits or self audits conducted