Docket No. 50-346

Mr. Donald C. Shelton Vice President, Nuclear - Davis-Besse Centerior Service Company c/o Toledo Edison Company 300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43652 DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
PD33 Reading
NRC & Local PDRs
BBoger
JZwolinski
JHannon
JHopkins
PKreutzer
EJordan
ACRS(10)

OGC-OWF GPA/PA PD33 Gray Region III, DRP

Dear Mr. Shelton:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT RELATED TO REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION

FROM A REQUIREMENT OF APPENDIX A TO 10 CFR PART 20

(TAC NO. 75234)

Enclosed for your information is a copy of an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your request dated December 5, 1989 as supplemented July 12 and 29, 1991, for an exemption from a requirement in Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 20.

This Environmental Assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Jon B. Hopkins, Sr. Project Manager Project Directorate III-3 Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

NRC FILE GENTER GOPY

PD33;LA:DRPW

8///91

PD33:PM:DRPW JHopkins/bj 8///91 PDBB:D:DRPW JHamnon X /2/91

0GC-0WF G 5 /91 c P

DOCUMENT NAME: 75234 EA PT 20 EXEMPTION

9108240338 910805 PDR ADDCK 05000344 P PDR

21,0056

Pol

Mr. Donald C. Shelton Toledo Edison Company

cc:
David E. Burke, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Mr. Robert W. Schrauder Manager, Nuclear Licensing Toledo Edison Company 300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43652

Gerald Charnoff, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 2300 N Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Generation Division 1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525 Rockville, MD 20852

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
5503 N. State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Mr. Murray R. Edelman
Executive Vice President Power Generation
Centerior Service Company
6200 Oak Tree Boulevard
Independence, Ohio 44101

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1

Radiological Health Program Ohio Department of Health 1224 Kinnear Road Columbus, Ohio 43212

Attorney General
Department of Attorney
General
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Mr. James W. Harris, Director Division of Power Generation Ohio Department of Industrial Relations P. O. Box 825 Columbus, Ohio 43216

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency DERR--Compliance Unit ATTN: Zack A. Clayton P. O. Box 1049 Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149

Resident, Board of Ottawa County Commissioners Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

State of Ohio Public Utilities Commission 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

Mr. James R. Williams
State Liaison to the NRC
Adjutant General's Department
Office of Emergency Management
Agency
2825 West Ganville Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-2712

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

## CENTERIOR SERVICE COMPANY

## AND THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

## DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO.50-346

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF

## NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 20 in response to a request filed by the Toledo Edison Company, Centerior Service Company and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (the licensee), for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station (DBNPS), Unit No. 1, located in Ottawa County, Ohio.

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

## Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant an exemption from a requirement in footnote d-2(c) in Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 20 related to protection factors for respiratory protective devices. The footnote requires that no allowance is to be made for the use of sorbents against radioactive gases or vapors. The exemption would allow the use of a radioiodine protection factor of 50 for certain respiratory protection canisters used by workers at the licensee's facility.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's request for exemption dated December 5, 1989, supplemented July 12 and 29, 1991.

## The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption is needed because the use of the sorbent canisters described in the licensee's request can potentially reduce the time required to complete those tasks that require the use of respiratory protection devices, thereby minimizing the amount of radiation exposure to plant operating personnel.

## Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption will most likely reduce the work effort and occupational exposure for some tasks at DBNPS. The utilization of air purifying respirators in lieu of air-supplied or self-contained apparatuses, where possible, can result in person-rem reductions estimated overall at 25% for tasks requiring radioiodine protection. The light weight, less cumbersome air purifying respirators (i.e., sorbent canisters) can provide increased comfort and mobility in most cases, and result in increased worker efficiency and decreased time on-the-job.

With regard to potential radiological impacts to the general public, the proposed exemption involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect the potential for, or consequences of, radiological accidents and does not affect radiological plant effluents. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

with regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption involves systems located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

## Alternative to the Proposed Action

Because the Commission's staff has concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action, any alternatives would have either no significantly different environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested exemption.

This would not reduce the environmental impacts as a result of plant operations.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, dated March 1973 and its supplement dated October 1975.

## Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for amendment dated December 5, 1989, supplemented July 12 and 29, 1991, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the University of Toledo Library, Documents Department, 2801 Bancroft Avenue, Toledo, Ohio 43606.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of August

1991.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John N. Hannon, Director Project Directorate III-3

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation