

January 21, 1988

Docket No. 50-346
Serial No. DB-88-006

Mr. Donald C. Shelton
Vice President, Nuclear
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza - Stop 712
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Dear Mr. Shelton:

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket Files
NRC & Local PDRs
PDIII-3 r/f
ADeAgazio
GHolahan
PKreutzer
OGC-WF1
EJordan
JPartlow
ACRS (10)
PDIII-3 Gray File

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION
DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING; SURVEILLANCE DUE DATE
EXTENSION OF 13.8 KV TRANSFER BUS (TAC 66967)

The Commission has forwarded the enclosed Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. This notice relates to your application dated January 20, 1988, (No. 1469).

Sincerely,

15/
Albert W. De Agazio, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV,
V & Special Projects

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

Office: *Lee* LA/PDIII-3
Surname: PKreutzer
Date: *for* 01/21/88

ADe
PM/PDIII-3
ADeAgazio/tg
01/21/88

B
PD/PDIII-3
KPerkins
01/21/88

BB02090391 BB0121
PDR ADOCK 05000346
P PDR

Mr. Donald C. Shelton
Toledo Edison Company

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
Unit No. 1

cc:
Donald H. Hauser, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Radiological Health Program
Ohio Department of Health
1224 Kinnear Road
Columbus, Ohio 43212

Mr. Robert W. Schrauder
Manager, Nuclear Licensing
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Attorney General
Department of Attorney
General
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts
and Trowbridge
2300 N Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Mr. James W. Harris, Director
(Addressee Only)
Division of Power Generation
Ohio Department of Industrial Relations
2323 West 5th Avenue
P. O. Box 825
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
361 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0558

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
Suite 525, 1700 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

President, Board of
County Commissioners of
Ottawa County
Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
5503 N. State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

State of Ohio
Public Utilities Commission
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY AND THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 50-346
NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-3 issued to Toledo Edison Company and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (the licensees), for operation of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1, located in Ottawa County, Ohio.

The amendment would permit an extension of the due date for surveillance testing to demonstrate the operability of the required independent circuits between the offsite transmission network and the onsite Class 1E distribution system by automatically and manually transferring the unit power supply to each of the 345 KV transmission lines. This surveillance is required by Technical Specification (TS) Section 4.8.1.1.1.b at least once each 18 months during unit shutdown. The amendment would extend the due date to April 1, 1988, from March 11, 1988.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The licensees have evaluated the proposed change against the above criteria as required by 10 CFR 50.91(a). We have reviewed the licensees' evaluation, and agree with it. The licensees concluded that:

A. the change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(1)) because the manual and automatic transfer capability will still be available, and deferral of the surveillance test has no impact on the probability of a loss of offsite power, load rejection, or station blackout. Also, adequate power sources known to be operable such as the diesel generators are available.

B. the change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(2)) because proper operation of the 13.8 KV transfer bus is still assured, and all failure modes are the same as previously analyzed. No changes to the physical arrangement or operational limits are involved.

C. the change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety (10 CFR 50.92(c)(3)) because all the assumptions used for the accident analyses in the Updated Safety Analysis Report remain unchanged, and the consequences of a malfunction of the 13.8 KV bus transfer remain within the bounds previously analyzed.

Therefore, based on these considerations and the three criteria given above, the Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules and Procedures Branch, Division of Rules and Records, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 4000, Maryland National Bank Building, 7735 Old Georgetown Road, Bethesda, Maryland, from 8:15 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By February 26, 1988, the licensees may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Request for a hearing and

petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are

sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this

action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-800-325-6000 (in Missouri 1-800-342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Kenneth Perkins: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Gerald Charnoff, Esq., 2300 N Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037, attorney for the licensees.


Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the the

University of Toledo Library, Documents Department, 2801 Bancroft Avenue,
Toledo, Ohio 43606.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 21st day of January, 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Albert W. De Agazio".

Albert W. De Agazio, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V
& Special Projects