INITIAL

AND NOTICING ACTION AND NOTICING ACTION	
Docket No. 50-346 Facility: DAVISBESSE VNT No!	
Licensee: TOLEDO EDISON Co Date of application OCTOBER 14, 1983	
Request for: <u>REVISED SETPOINTS FOR THE PRESSURINER CODE SAFETY</u>	
VALVES AND PILOT OPERATED RELIEF VALVE (PORV).	
Initial Determination:	
Proposed determination - amendment request involves no significant hazards considerations (NSHC).	
() <u>Final determination</u> - conclusion cannot be made that the amendment request involves NSHC.	
Basis for Determination) Licensee's NSHC discussion has been reviewed and is accepted.	
(V) Other (state). THE PROPOSED AMENT WHEN COMPARED	
TO THE STANDARDS GIVEN IN \$50.92 LEADSTOTHE	
CONCLUSION THAT NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION	7X
ARE INVOLVED. THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT IS NOT	
LIKELY TO INCREASE THE PROBABILITY OF CONSEQUENCES	
OF AN ACCIDENT PREVIOUSLY EVALUATED SINCE	
(attach additional pages as needed)	
Initial Noticing Action: (Attach appropriate notice or input for monthly FRN)	
1. () Monthly FRN. Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination monthly FRN input is attached (Attachment 8).	
2. () Individual FRN. Same notice matter as above. Time does not allow waiting for next monthly FRN (Attachments 9a and 9b).	

Basis for Determination (cont.)

the proposed change will increase the margin available between the peak reactor coolant system pressure reached during anticipated transients and the safety valve setpoint, thus, reducing the likelihood of the safety valve opening. The increased setpoint is possible because the safety valves have been relocated eliminating 65 psi of pressure drop in piping leading to the safety valves. The amendment would also increase the minimum allowable setpoint value of the pilot operated relief valve to be equal to the minimum trip setpoint of the valve. This minor change could also result in a slight increase in margin from peak pressure during anticipated transients.

The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment does not involve a reduction in a margin of safety.

3. - ~ ~	Local media notice requesting public comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachment 10).
4.	() No initial FRN or local media notice. A valid emergency situation exists (evaluated below) and there is no time for public notice on proposed NSHC determination. (No attachment)
5.	() Individual FRN. Licensee's claim of exigent or emergency circumstances is invalid (evaluated below). Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachments 9a and 9b). Letter of explanation to licensee is also attached.
6.	() <u>Individual FRN</u> . Conclusion cannot be made that the amendment request involves NSHC. Notice of opportunity for prior hearing is attached (Attachment 5). Letter to licensee also attached.
Eval	uation of exigent or emergency circumstances (if applicable):
	·
	entropy of the second s
	(attach additional pages as needed)
Appr	ovals: Date
1.	albert W. ble again 5/16/83
. 2.	Solver Flos (Project Six nager) [(Project Six nager)
3.	(Branch Chief) M. Nothecuid 6/9/83
7	(OELD)
<u>Áddi</u>	tional approvals (for noticing action types 4, 5 and 6):
-4.	J. Jan 6/1/83
	/ (Assistant Director)
5.	
	(Director, Division of Licensing)