

April 15, 1997

Ms. Irene Johnson, Acting Manager  
Nuclear Regulatory Services  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Executive Towers West III  
1400 Opus Place, Suite 500  
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515

SUBJECT: CORRECTION NOTICE - DRESDEN NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3  
(TAC NOS. M98245 AND M98246)

Dear Ms. Johnson:

On March 28, 1997, the Commission forwarded to you a copy of a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for a Hearing." The notice was in response to your application dated January 24, 1997. The application proposed to change the Technical Specifications to reflect the installation of new reactor water level instrumentation for the Emergency Core Cooling System actuation.

Unfortunately, publication of the Notice was inadvertently delayed by the NRC staff. The enclosed Notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. Please replace the previous copy of the Notice with that enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

John F. Stang, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-237, 50-249

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: see next page

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

DISTRIBUTION:

J. Roe, JWR  
W. Kropp, RIII

Docket  
R. Capra  
ACRS, T2E26

PUBLIC  
C. Moore  
J. Stang

PDIII-2 r/f  
E. Adensam, EGA1  
OGC, 015B18

*DFC 1/*

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CMNTJR\DRESDEN\DR98245.COR

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM: PDIII-2	LA: PDIII-2	D: PDIII-2				
NAME	JSTANG/acm	CMOORE	RCAPRA Ro				
DATE	04/15/97	04/15/97	04/15/97				

170034

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9704170382 970415  
PDR ADOCK 05000237  
P PDR

I. Johnson  
Commonwealth Edison Company

Dresden Nuclear Power Station  
Unit Nos. 2 and 3

cc:

Michael I. Miller, Esquire  
Sidley and Austin  
One First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Site Vice President  
Dresden Nuclear Power Station  
6500 North Dresden Road  
Morris, Illinois 60450-9765

Station Manager  
Dresden Nuclear Power Station  
6500 North Dresden Road  
Morris, Illinois 60450-9765

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspectors Office  
Dresden Station  
6500 North Dresden Road  
Morris, Illinois 60450-9766

Regional Administrator  
U.S. NRC, Region III  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety  
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety  
1035 Outer Park Drive  
Springfield, Illinois 62704

Chairman  
Grundy County Board  
Administration Building  
1320 Union Street  
Morris, Illinois 60450

Document Control Desk-Licensing  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
1400 Opus Place, Suite 400  
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONCOMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-237 AND 50-249NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-19 and DPR-25, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in Grundy County, Illinois.

The proposed amendments would change the Technical Specifications (TS) to reflect the installation of new reactor water level instrumentation for the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) actuation.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendments requested involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a),

the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because of the following:

The proposed change to note (f) to Table 4.2.B-1, which modifies the surveillance frequency for the Reactor Vessel Water level Low Low inputs to the CS, LPCI, HPCI, and ADS systems (items 1.a, 2.a, 3.a, and 4.a) and the Reactor Vessel Water level High trip input to the HPCI system (item 3.c), will not increase the probability of or the consequences of any accident previously evaluated in the SAR. Similarly, the proposed editorial change to achieve consistency in notation between tables 4.2.B-1 and 4.2.C-1 will not increase the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated in the SAR.

The surveillance requirements proposed for the Unit 3 Reactor Vessel Water level transmitters are the same 18 month channel calibration requirements required by Table 4.2.C-1 "ATWS-RPT Instrument Surveillance Requirements." The surveillance schedule proposed for the new Unit 3 Reactor Vessel Water level analog trip units has the same quarterly requirement as the replaced Yarway level switches. The proposed change will impose a calibration schedule on the post modification trip units only, the trip setpoints of Table 3.2.B-1 "ECCS Actuation Instrumentation" will not change.

The proposed change affects the scheduling of the surveillance only and will not have any effect on the operating trip points of the instrumentation. The proposed change cannot initiate any of the accidents previously evaluated in the SAR. Based on this the proposed change to note (f) will not increase the probability of any accident nor the consequences of any accident previously evaluated in the SAR.

The change to the table to achieve consistency with Table 4.2.C-1 is an editorial change only. This editorial change will not increase the probability of an accident previously evaluated, nor will it increase the consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because:

The proposed change to note (f) provides a schedule for performing channel calibrations on the Reactor Vessel Water Level inputs to the ECCS System. The proposed change does not introduce any new failure mechanisms or modes. The proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident previously evaluated.

The change to the table is an editorial change only and will not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident previously evaluated.

(3) Involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because:

The proposed amendment only specifies a schedule for performing channel calibrations for the Reactor Vessel Water level instrumentation. The change will not impact the availability or trip setpoints of the ECCS system. Further the editorial change to achieve consistency between tables will not impact the availability or operating setpoints of the instruments. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

Guidance has been provided in "Final Procedures and Standards on No Significant Hazards Considerations," Final Rule, 51 FR 7744, for the application of standards to license change requests for determination of the existence of significant hazards considerations. This document provides examples of amendments which are and are not considered likely to involve significant hazards considerations.

This proposed amendment does not involve any irreversible changes, a significant relaxation of the criteria used to establish safety limits, a significant relaxation of the bases for the limiting safety system settings or a significant relaxation of the bases for the limiting conditions for operations. Therefore, based on the guidance provided in the Federal Register and the criteria established in 10 CFR 50.92(c), the proposed change does not constitute a significant hazards consideration.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendments requested involve no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change

during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendments before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By May 19, 1997 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendments to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a

hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Morris Area Public Library District, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois 60450. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days

prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.



If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendments requested involve no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make them immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendments.

If the final determination is that the amendments requested involve a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Robert A. Capra: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Michael I.


Miller, Esquire; Sidley and Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60603, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated January 24, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Morris Area Public Library District, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois 60450.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of April 1997.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

  
Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation