

April 24, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Charles L. Miller, Deputy Director
Licensing and Inspection Directorate
Spent Fuel Project Office, NMSS

FROM: Steven Baggett, Senior Project Manager */RA/*
Licensing Section
Spent Fuel Project Office, NMSS

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE MARCH 28, 2002, MEETING BETWEEN THE
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND PACIFIC GAS
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

On March 28, 2002, a public meeting was held between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and representatives of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to discuss PG&E's and NRC's plans for licensing the independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) at Diablo Canyon. At this meeting, PG&E provided a status update and specific information regarding the proposed ISFSI. No members of the public attended the meeting and no handout was provided. Attachment 1 is an attendance list. This meeting was noticed on March 8, 2002.

PG&E described its desire to optimize the review schedule in an effort to reduce the total review time. PG&E noted that it would be willing to reduce the request for additional information to 45 days as a time saving measure. Staff agreed to reexamine the proposed schedule based on PG&E's proposal. As basis for its request to reduce the total review time, PG&E stated that they will lose full core off load capabilities in late 2006 unless they re-rack the storage pool. Because re-racking would require additional resource expenditures by both NRC and PG&E to obtain 10 CFR Part 50 license amendments, PG&E believes it is more efficient to wait and evaluate the potential for a hearing request on the Diablo Canyon ISFSI license application prior to making its decision on whether to file a 10 CFR Part 50 license amendment request, therefore, the push to truncate the NRC review schedule as much as possible.

PG&E offered to brief the staff on its QA program and audits it performed on the vendors used to develop the application's supporting material. After further consideration, while interesting, it would be more efficient to spend time to review the license application. PG&E and NRC staff agreed that candid and clear communication is the key to NRC's timely review and agreed to hold future meetings to address specific technical issues resulting from NRC review of the application and project status updates.

No proprietary information was disseminated or presented at this meeting. No regulatory decisions were requested or made.

Docket No. 72-26
TAC NO. L23399

Attachment: Attendance List

cc: Service List

April 24, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Charles L. Miller, Deputy Director
Licensing and Inspection Directorate
Spent Fuel Project Office, NMSS

FROM: Steven Baggett, Senior Project Manager */RA/*
Licensing Section
Spent Fuel Project Office, NMSS

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE MARCH 28, 2002, MEETING BETWEEN THE
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND PACIFIC GAS
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

On March 28, 2002, a public meeting was held between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and representatives of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to discuss PG&E's and NRC's plans for licensing the independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) at Diablo Canyon. At this meeting, PG&E provided a status update and specific information regarding the proposed ISFSI. No members of the public attended the meeting and no handout was provided. Attachment 1 is an attendance list. This meeting was noticed on March 8, 2002.

PG&E described its desire to optimize the review schedule in an effort to reduce the total review time. PG&E noted that it would be willing to reduce the request for additional information to 45 days as a time saving measure. Staff agreed to reexamine the proposed schedule based on PG&E's proposal. As basis for its request to reduce the total review time, PG&E stated that they will lose full core off load capabilities in late 2006 unless they re-rack the storage pool. Because re-racking would require additional resource expenditures by both NRC and PG&E to obtain 10 CFR Part 50 license amendments, PG&E believes it is more efficient to wait and evaluate the potential for a hearing request on the Diablo Canyon ISFSI license application prior to making its decision on whether to file a 10 CFR Part 50 license amendment request, therefore, the push to truncate the NRC review schedule as much as possible.

PG&E offered to brief the staff on its QA program and audits it performed on the vendors used to develop the application's supporting material. After further consideration, while interesting, it would be more efficient to spend time to review the license application. PG&E and NRC staff agreed that candid and clear communication is the key to NRC's timely review and agreed to hold future meetings to address specific technical issues resulting from NRC review of the application and project status updates.

No proprietary information was disseminated or presented at this meeting. No regulatory decisions were requested or made.

Docket No. 72-26
TAC NO. L23399

Attachment: Attendance List

cc: Service List

Docket
SGagner, OPA
NRC attendees

NRC File Center
BSpitzburg, RIV

PUBLIC
VEverett, RIV

NMSS R/F
NJensen, OGC

SFPO R/F

C:\ORPCheckout\FileNET\ML021140313.WPD

ADAMS:

OFC:	SFPO*	E	SFPO	E	SFPO	
NAME:	SBaggett		EZiegler		JDMonninger	
DATE:	4/23/02		4/24/02		4/24/02	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

**March 28, 2002 Meeting
between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and
Pacific Gas and Electric**

ATTENDANCE LIST

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>
Larry Womack	PG&E
Terry Grebel	PG&E
Jearl Strickland	PG&E
Charles L. Miller	NRC/SFPO
John D. Monninger	NRC/SFPO
Jose Cuadrado	NRC/SFPO
Steven Baggett	NRC/SFPO
Girija Shukla	NRC/NRR

*