1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JAMES L. LOPES (No. 63678) JANET A. NEXON (No. 104747) JULIE B. LANDAU (No. 162038) HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY FALK & RABKIN A Professional Corporation Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4065 Telephone: 415/434-1600 Facsimile: 415/217-5910  Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY	, i	50-275	
8	UNITED STATES BAN	NKRUPTCY COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11				
12			o. 01-30923 DM	
13	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a California corporation,	Chapter 11 Case		
HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY 14		Date:	May 9, 2002	
FALK & RABICIN  APolisional Constrain 15	Debtor.	Time: Place:	1:30 p.m. 235 Pine Street, 22nd Floor	
16	Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640	San Francisco, California	San Francisco, California	
17	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INCUR AND PAY CERTAIN LAND-RELATED EXPENSES; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF  [Supporting Declaration of Michael Schonherr Filed Concurrently Herewith]			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23			•	
24				
25	Add Rids Oge mail cent Adoj			
26				
27			Hoo,	
20	ll .			

MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INCUR AND PAY CERTAIN LAND-RELATED EXPENSES

EMEROVSICI 14
EALK
FRANCIN

Detical Carrets

15

### NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 9, 2002, at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Courtroom of the Honorable Dennis Montali, located at 235 Pine Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, California, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 case ("PG&E"), will and hereby does move the Court for entry of an Order Authorizing PG&E to Incur and Pay Certain Land-Related Expenses (the "Motion").

This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Michael Schonherr filed concurrently herewith, the record of this case and any evidence presented at or prior to the hearing on this Motion.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 9014-1(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the Northern District of California, any written opposition to the Motion and the relief requested herein must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon appropriate parties (including counsel for PG&E, the Office of the United States Trustee and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors) at least five (5) days prior to the scheduled hearing date. If there is no timely objection to the requested relief, the Court may enter an order granting such relief without further hearing.

HOWARD RICE
NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK GRABIGIN

APpaisonal Community 15

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 case ("PG&E"), requests an order authorizing PG&E to incur and pay certain land-related expenses outside of the ordinary course of business pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 363(b)(1).

## I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND<sup>1</sup>

PG&E filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on April 6, 2001. A trustee has not been appointed, and PG&E continues to function as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

On March 7, 2002, PG&E, together with its parent corporation, PG&E Corporation, filed a proposed Second Amended Plan of Reorganization (as amended from time to time, the "Plan"). The Second Amended Disclosure Statement for the Plan was tentatively approved by the Court after hearing conducted on April 11, 2002, subject to final approval at the hearing scheduled for April 24, 2002.

## A. Necessity for the Land Work.

The Plan generally provides for the creation of three new companies, ETrans LLC, GTrans LLC and Electric Generation LLC (collectively, the "New Entities"), whereby PG&E will separate its operations into four lines of business based on PG&E's historical functions: retail gas and electric distribution, electric transmission, interstate gas transmission, and electric generation. By this Motion, PG&E requests authority to pay certain contractors who will assist with the preparation required for the transfer of PG&E's existing Land and Land Rights (as defined below) to the New Entities (the "Land Work"). This work is necessary as PG&E holds a large portfolio of real estate assets, including approximately 250,000 acres of land, more than six million square feet of support service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The evidentiary basis and support for the facts set forth in this Motion are contained in the Declaration of Michael Schonherr filed concurrently herewith.

HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY 14

CANADY 14
FALK
#RABKIN

space (service centers, offices and warehouses) and hundreds of real property leases (collectively, the "Land"), along with thousands of related real property rights, including: rights-of-way and easements, prescriptive rights, possessory interests, unrecorded rights and other land-related agreements, and associated maps and drawings (collectively, the "Land Rights").

The Land Work relates to the ultimate transfer to the New Entities of the Land and Land Rights necessary for the New Entities to conduct their operations in full compliance with relevant laws, rules and regulations. Much of the Land Work to be performed, however, is also for general business purposes, including the maintenance and reconciliation of PG&E's real estate rights and records. Land rights analysis and reconciliation work is constant for a company such as PG&E with substantial real estate holdings and such work has been ongoing both pre-petition and post-petition. The transfer of the Land and Land Rights in connection with the Plan now requires an accelerated pace for this work.

# B. <u>Description of Land Work and Contractors Performing Land Work.</u>

PG&E has historically utilized real estate specialists to assist its internal real estate staff, such as title and survey specialists.<sup>2</sup> Most of the contractors listed below have worked for PG&E and are familiar with PG&E's unique Land and Land Rights' issues; consequently, PG&E believes that these contractors are well qualified to perform the services necessary to assist with the Land Work. PG&E believes that these contractors do not rise to the level of "professionals" under the Bankruptcy Code, due both to the nature of the services to be provided (as described in more detail below) and to the contractors' limited role in connection with PG&E's reorganization proceeding. See In re That's Entertainment Mktg. Group, Inc., 168 B.R. 226, 230 (N.D. Cal. 1994); see also In re

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>PG&E also utilizes real estate brokers and appraisers, previously approved by the Court pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 327(a), although it is not contemplated that any of the brokers or appraisers will be utilized for the work described in this Motion. Also, PG&E employs various attorneys in connection with real estate matters, who have also been previously approved by the Court pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 327(e).

1

5 6

4

7 8

9 10 11

12

13

<u>\_</u> 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

Saybrook Mfg. Co., Inc., 108 B.R. 366, 368-369 (Bankr. M.D. Ga. 1989) (in determining whether a person is a professional for purposes of Section 327, courts consider not only the nature of the services provided but also how central the services are to the reorganization proceeding).

The following is a description of the two categories of Land Work to be performed and each contractor currently under contract with PG&E to perform the applicable Land Work (collectively, the "Contractors"). All of the Contractors will perform the work described at the direction of and under the supervision of PG&E.

- Land and Land Rights Analysis and Reconciliation: This category of work includes contractors performing title work in connection with the review, analysis and reconciliation of all Land Rights, including field verification of information, assignment of the Land and Land Rights to the New Entities, and analyzing new and modified rights to be acquired. Contractors performing this work are as follows:
- EDB Data Resources
- Phillip Longo
- Charles McClue
- Paragon Partners, Ltd.
- Kenneth Sorensen
- Willbanks Resources Corporation

Specifically, the work to be performed by each of the foregoing contractors will include: (1) reviewing Land and Land Rights documents to determine: (a) the grantor and grantee information; (b) identifying the facility for which the Land or Land Right was obtained; (c) determining document dates and recording information, if applicable; (d) determining whether documents contain assignability provisions; and (e) determining whether documents contain any non-standard provisions; (2) evaluating the chain of title with respect to Land Rights in order to facilitate a complete and clear understanding of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>PG&E also utilizes certain employees of Corestaff Services, Inc., a staffing agency, to assist its internal staff with project planning and coordination, data gathering and analysis, and database development and management in connection with the Land Work. The expenses for these services are also included in the Budget set forth in Section I.C. below.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

18 19

16

17

21 22

20

24

23

25

26 27

28

Land Rights; and (3) generating pictorial records (on existing PG&E line drawings) by highlighting the specific locations of the Land and Land Rights covered by each document reviewed.

2. Land Surveying. This category of work includes land surveys, preparation of the deeds and maps related to the possible subdivision, lot line adjustments, and/or transfer of fee properties to the New Entities, performing the work necessary to ensure compliance with local rules and regulations, and preparation of easement reservations and new easement grants. More specifically, this work will include: (1) reviewing PG&E's records to ascertain the information required to develop recordable legal descriptions for all deeds and easements to be conveyed to the New Entities or to be reserved by PG&E; (2) evaluating the chain of title with respect to the Land (fee interests) in order to prepare clear descriptions, free from defects, for the conveyance of PG&E's interests in the Land; (3) generating exhibit drawings (maps) that delineate property and easement locations; (4) performing land surveys to collect the information necessary to subdivide or perform lot line adjustments for properties that will be divided between PG&E and the New Entities; and (5) preparing deeds and "Record of Survey Maps" pursuant to the California Subdivision Map Act, reflecting the proposed subdivision or lot line adjustments with respect to divided properties. The contractor performing this work is Towill, Inc.

#### **Budget for Land Work.** C.

PG&E requests approval to pay the Contractors for the Land Work in the amount of approximately \$5.5 million (the "Budget"), beginning April 2002 and continuing to the Effective Date (as defined in the Plan) or such earlier date on which the transfers contemplated to the New Entities have been completed. Payments would be made by PG&E to the Contractors on a monthly basis as work is completed, based on monthly billings by the Contractors.

#### Current Need for Approval of Budget for Land Work. D.

The Land Work is essential to the implementation of the Plan. Although the Plan is not yet confirmed, PG&E estimates that it will take up to 12 months to complete the Land MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INCUR AND PAY CERTAIN LAND-RELATED EXPENSES

HOWARD RICE
NEMEROVSKI
CANADY
FALK
# RABKUN

APpdisonal Communia

13

Work necessary to transfer PG&E's extensive Land and Land Rights to the New Entities, as contemplated by the Plan. Therefore, given the volume of work and level of detail and accuracy needed to satisfy recording and other requirements, the Land Work must be accelerated well in advance of confirmation of the Plan in order to assure a timely consummation.

In developing its strategy for handling the Land Work, PG&E has adopted a moderate approach in terms of balancing risks and costs. Specifically, PG&E contemplates undertaking a complete review of title and land rights only for those properties and entitlements that may become assets of the New Entities. PG&E does not intend to obtain title insurance in connection with any of the transfers to the New Entities. Thus, the goal is to handle the transactions in a manner that will effectively transfer the Land and Land Rights to the New Entities, thereby minimizing the potential for disputes and uncertainties arising post-separation, but without the certainty and costs associated with title insurance.

The Land Work will also provide value to PG&E whether or not the Plan is implemented. PG&E will benefit from the accelerated review and audit of its real property portfolio as this work will enable it to manage its Land and Land Rights more efficiently through enhanced understanding and improved organization of its records.

Finally, to the extent that subsequent events demonstrate that the transfers to the New Entities will not be necessary, the associated Land Work can be terminated immediately. PG&E's standard contractual provisions in place with the various contractors do not guarantee future work or any minimum amount of revenue. PG&E also maintains the right to terminate the contracts at any time without cause, in which case PG&E is liable only for work performed to the date of termination plus costs reasonably incurred by the contractor in terminating any work in progress.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

17 18

16

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

## THE BUDGET FOR THE LAND WORK SHOULD BE APPROVED PURSUANT TO SECTION 363(b)(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE

PG&E seeks approval for the Budget described above as a use of estate property that is outside of the ordinary course of business under Bankruptcy Code Section 363(b)(1). Since PG&E seeks to expand the current scope and volume of the Contractors' work in order to implement the Plan, PG&E believes that the purpose and scope of the expenditure may be characterized as outside of the ordinary course of business and therefore requires Court approval. However, as summarized above, the Land Work is also representative of work that the Contractors have routinely performed for PG&E for years and, in certain respects, could be characterized as within the ordinary course of PG&E's business. To avoid confusion and err on the side of caution, PG&E seeks approval of the Budget, which includes all of the Land Work to be performed by the Contractors.

The Court has considerable discretion in approving a request pursuant to Section 363(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code ("[t]he trustee, after notice and a hearing, may use, sell or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate"). See In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp., 242 B.R. 147, 153 (D. Del. 1999) (affirming the bankruptcy court's decision to approve expenditure for employee incentive programs, noting that bankruptcy court has considerable discretion in approving a Section 363(b) motion).

In determining whether to authorize a transaction under Section 363(b)(1), courts require a debtor to show that a sound business purpose justifies such actions, applying the business judgment test. See, e.g., Stephens Indus., Inc. v. McClung, 789 F.2d 386, 389-90 (6th Cir. 1986); Committee of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.), 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983); see also 3 Lawrence P. King, Collier on Bankruptcy ¶363.02[1][g] (15th ed. rev. 1998).

Once the debtor has articulated a rational business justification, a presumption attaches that the decision was made "on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that the action taken was in the best interest of the [debtor]." See, e.g., Official

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSIG CANADY 14

RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY 14 EALK & RABKIN Anglesiand Composition 15

17

16

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

Comm. of Subordinated Bondholders v. Integrated Res., Inc. (In re Integrated Res., Inc.), 147 B.R. 650, 656 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (citing Smith v. Van Gorkom, 488 A.2d 858, 872 (Del. 1985)).

Here, sound business justifications exist for approval of the Budget. The Land Work is necessary and cannot be delayed without causing a delay in the implementation of the Plan. The volume of Land and Land Rights that PG&E proposes to transfer to the New Entities will require resources far in excess of PG&E's own internal resources to review and reconcile PG&E's interest in the Land and Land Rights, and draft proper, recordable conveyances and other related documents. The Land Work will also provide value to PG&E whether or not the Plan is implemented by allowing the continued efficient organization and management of its extensive real property portfolio.

Also, PG&E is solvent and has sufficient cash to pay the Budget (which will be paid over a period of up to 12 months) without causing any detriment to its creditors. Thus, while there is the possibility that the Plan will not be confirmed and therefore part of the Land Work will become unnecessary, this does not justify denial of the expenditure. See Montgomery Ward, 242 B.R. at 154 (no requirement for debtor to show a successful prospect of reorganization in order to justify expenditure request under Section 365(b)(1)). It is sufficient that PG&E currently has sound business reasons for the expenditure. In a case of this size and complexity, it is simply not possible to wait until Plan confirmation to begin all of the work necessary to implement the Plan. Therefore, in requesting approval for the Budget, PG&E has attempted to strike a balance between being prepared to implement the Plan while at the same time being in a position to terminate or minimize the Land Work at any time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>As reflected in PG&E's February 2002 Monthly Operating Report, PG&E held more than \$4.8 billion in cash reserves as of February 28, 2002.

### III. CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, PG&E respectfully requests that the Court approve the expenditures described herein, in accordance with the Budget, for the purposes set forth herein and grant such other and further relief as may be just and appropriate.

DATED: April 12, 2002

HOWARD 13
RICE
EMEROVSIG 14

CANADY 14
EALK
FRABION
TO SERVICE CONTROLL

CO

Respectfully,

HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY, FALK & RABKIN
A Professional Corporation

Ou line

JULIE B. LANDAU

Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

WD 040402/1-1419905/985154/v4