



DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory • (512) 232-5370 • FAX (512) 471-4589

April 2, 2002

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington D. C. 20555

Subject: Annual Report for The University of Texas at Austin , Docket 50-602

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is the 2001 Annual Report for the Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory at The University of Texas at Austin. This report could not be submitted electronically due to the NRC web site failures. This report is being submitted in accordance with Section 6.6 of the Technical Specifications.

Please contact me at 512-232-5373 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


Sean O'Kelly
NETL Associate Director

Enclosure: 2001 Annual Report

cc: A. Adams, NPRD Project Manager
CF#57

A020
A001

The University of Texas at Austin
Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory

2001

Annual Report

NRC Docket 50-602
DOE Contract. No. DE-AC07-ER03919

The University of Texas at Austin

**Nuclear Engineering Teaching
Laboratory**

2001

Annual Report

NRC Docket 50-602

DOE Contract No. DE-AC07-ER03919

Tables of Contents	ii
Executive Summary	iii
Forward	iv
1.0 Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
Purpose of the Report	
Availability of the Facility	
Operating Regulations	
NETL History	
1.2 NETL Building	1-4
J.J. Pickle Research Campus	
NETL Building Description	
Laboratories, Equipment	
1.3 UT-TRIGA Mark II Research Reactor	1-7
Reactor Description	
Experiment Facilities	
Beam Port Facilities	
1.4 Nuclear Engineering Academic Program	1-12
1.5 NETL Divisions	1-13
Operations and Maintenance	
Laboratory Operations	
Health Physics	
2.0 Annual Progress Report	2-1
2.1 Faculty, Staff, and Students	2-1
2.2 Education and Training Activities	2-8
2.3 Research and Development Projects	2-9
2.4 Publications, Reports, and Papers	2-14
3.0 Facility Operating Summaries	3-1
3.1 Operating Experience	3-1
3.2 Reactor Shutdowns	3-1
3.3 Utilization	3-4
3.4 Maintenance	3-4
3.5 Facility Changes	3-4
3.6 Laboratory Inspections	3-7
3.7 Radiation Exposures	3-9
3.8 Radiation Surveys	3-15
3.9 Radioactive Effluents, Radioactive Waste	3-16

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory (NETL) facility continues to support the academic and research missions of The University of Texas but has begun to provide these support functions to other institutions. Experimenters from Canada, Saudia Arabia and Mexico requested the support of the faculty and staff of the Nuclear Engineering Program during 2001 and these collaborations will continue into the future. The environmental research and analysis services performed by the NETL during this past year supported the U.S. Army, Los Alamos National Laboratory, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the State of Texas.

FORWARD

The mission of the Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory at The University of Texas at Austin is to:

Support the teaching and research mission of the University of Texas and the greater scientific community by the application of advanced radiation engineering and science.

This objective is achieved by carrying out a well-balanced program of education, research, and service. The NETL research reactor supports hands-on education in reactor physics and nuclear science. In addition, the reactor is used in laboratory course work by students in non-nuclear fields such as physics, chemistry, and biology. It may also be used in education programs for nuclear power plant personnel, secondary schools students and teachers, and the general public.

The NETL research reactor benefits a wide range of on-campus and off-campus users, including academic, medical, industrial, and government organizations. The principal services offered by our reactor involve material irradiation, trace element detection, material analysis, and radiographic analysis of objects and processes. Such services establish beneficial links to off-campus users, expose faculty and students to multidisciplinary research and commercial applications of nuclear science, and earn revenues to help support Nuclear Engineering activities.

Randall Charbeneau
Associate Dean and Interim Director
Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory

Chapter 1

1.0 NUCLEAR ENGINEERING TEACHING LABORATORY

1.1 Introduction

Purpose of the Report

The Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory (NETL) at The University of Texas at Austin prepares an annual report of program activities. Information in this report provides an introduction to the education, research, and service programs of the NETL. A TRIGA nuclear reactor is the major experimental facility at the Laboratory. The reactor operates at power levels up to 1100 kilowatts or with pulse reactivity insertions up to 2.2% $\Delta k/k$.



Figure 1-1 NETL - Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory

The annual reports also satisfy requirements of the University Fuel Assistance Program, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) [contract number DE-AC07-ER03919, Amendment A015; C85-110742 Task Order 2, Mod. 1], and the licensing agency, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) [docket number 50-602]. This annual report covers the period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001.

Availability of the Facility

The NETL facility serves a multipurpose role. The use of NETL by faculty, staff, and students in the College of Engineering is the Laboratory's primary function. In addition, the development and application of nuclear methods are done to assist researchers from other universities, industry, and government. NETL provides services to industry, government and other laboratories for the testing and evaluation of materials. Public education through tours and demonstrations is also a routine function of the laboratory operation.

Operating Regulations

Licensing of activities at NETL involve both Federal and State agencies. The nuclear reactor is subject to the terms and specifications of Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) License R-129, a class 104c research reactor license. Another NRC license, SNM-180, for special nuclear material, provides for the use of a subcritical assembly with neutron sources. Both licenses are responsibilities of the NETL. For general use of radioisotopes the university maintains a broad license with the State of Texas, L00485. Functions of the broad license are the responsibility of the University Office of Environmental Health and Safety.

NETL History

Development of the nuclear engineering program was an effort of both physics and engineering faculty during the late 1950's and early 1960's. The program became part of the Mechanical Engineering Department where it remains to this day. The program installed, operated, and dismantled a TRIGA nuclear reactor at a site on the main campus in the engineering building, Taylor Hall. Initial criticality for the first UT reactor was August 1963 with the final operation in April 1988. Power at startup was 10 kilowatts (1963) with one power upgrade to 250 kilowatts (1968). The total burnup during a 25 year period from 1963 to 1988 was 26.1 megawatt-days. Pulse capability of the reactor was 1.4% $\Delta k/k$ with a total of 476 pulses during the operating history. Dismantling and decommissioning of the facility were completed in December 1992.

Planning for a new facility, which led to the shutdown of the campus facility, began in October 1983, with construction commencing in December 1986 and continuing until May 1989. The final license was issued in January 1992, and initial criticality occurred on March 12, 1992.

2001 NETL Annual Report

The new facility, including support laboratories, administrative offices, and the reactor is the central location for all NETL activities.

Land use in the area of the NETL site began as an industrial site during the 1940's. Following the 1950's, lease agreements between the University and the Federal government led to the creation of the Balcones Research Center. In the 1990's, the University became owner of the site, and in 1994 the site name was changed to the J.J. Pickle Research Campus to honor retired U.S. Congressman James "Jake" Pickle.

2001 NETL Annual Report

1.2 NETL Building

J.J. Pickle Research Campus

The J.J. Pickle Research Campus (PRC) is a multidiscipline research campus with a site area of 1.87 square kilometers. Areas of the site consist of two approximately equal east and west tracts of land. An area of about 9000 square meters on the east tract is the location of the NETL building. Sixteen separate research units and at least five other academic research programs, including the NETL facility, have research efforts with locations at the research campus. Adjacent to the NETL site is the Center for Research in Water Resources and Bureau of Economic Geology, which are examples of the diverse research activities on the campus. A Commons Building provides cafeteria service, recreation areas, meeting rooms, and conference facilities. Access to the NETL site is shown in Figure 1-2.




Figure 1-2 NETL Site - J.J. Pickle Research Campus

NETL Building Description

The NETL building is a 1950 sq meter (21,000 sq ft), facility with laboratory and office spaces. Building areas consist of two primary laboratories of 330 sq m (3600 sq ft) and 80 sq m (900 sq ft), eight support laboratories (217 sq m, 2340 sq ft), and six supplemental areas (130 sq m, 1430 sq ft). Conference and office space is allocated to 12 rooms totaling 244 sq m (2570 sq ft). One of the primary laboratories contains the TRIGA reactor pool, biological shield structure, and the neutron beam experiment areas. A second primary laboratory consists of 1.3 meter (4.25 ft) thick walls for use as a general purpose radiation experiment facility. Other areas of the building include support shops, instrument laboratories, measurement laboratories, and material handling laboratories.

Laboratories, Equipment

The NETL facility makes available several types of radiation facilities and an array of radiation detection equipment. In addition to the reactor, facilities include a subcritical assembly, a gamma irradiator, various radioisotope sources, and several radiation producing machines.

The gamma irradiator is a multicurie cobalt-60 source with a design activity of 10,000 curies. The gamma irradiator is in permanent storage and is not currently available for use. Radioisotopes are available in millicurie quantities for calibration of radiation detection equipment.

Neutron sources of plutonium-beryllium and californium-252 are available. A subcritical assembly of 20% enriched uranium in a polyethylene moderated cylinder provides an experimental device for laboratory demonstrations of neutron multiplication and neutron flux measurements.

Laboratories provide locations to setup radiation experiments, test instrumentation, prepare materials for irradiation, process radioactive samples and experiment with radiochemical reactions.

A Texas Nuclear 14 MeV neutron generator is functional. It can create a neutron beam of up to one milliamp in steady-state and can also operate in a pulsed mode. Neutron source strength is approximately 10^{11} neutrons per second.

2001 NETL Annual Report

1.3 UT-TRIGA MARK II Research Reactor

The TRIGA Mark II nuclear reactor at the Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory of The University of Texas at Austin is an above-ground, fixed-core research reactor. The nuclear core, containing uranium fuel, is located at the bottom of an 8.2 meter deep water-filled tank surrounded by a concrete shield structure. The highly purified water in the tank serves as the reactor coolant, neutron moderator, and a transparent radiation shield. Visual and physical access to the core is possible at all times. The TRIGA Mark II reactor is a versatile and inherently safe research reactor conceived and developed by General Atomics to meet the requirements of education and research. The UT-TRIGA research reactor provides sufficient power and neutron flux for comprehensive and productive work in many fields including physics, chemistry, engineering, medicine, and metallurgy. The word TRIGA stands for Training, Research, Isotope production, General Atomics.

2001 NETL Annual Report

Reactor Description

Reactor Operation. The UT-TRIGA research reactor can operate continuously at nominal powers up to 1 MW, or in the pulsing mode where typical peak powers of 1500 MW can be achieved for durations of about 10 msec. The UT-TRIGA with its new digital control system provides a unique facility for performing reactor physics experiments as well as reactor operator training. The pulsing operation is particularly useful in the study of reactor kinetics and control. Neutrons produced in the reactor core can be used in a wide variety of research applications including nuclear reaction studies, neutron scattering experiments, and nuclear analytical and irradiation services.

Special neutron facilities include a rotary specimen rack, which is located in the reactor graphite reflector, a pneumatically operated "rabbit" transfer system, which penetrates the reactor core, and a central thimble, which allows samples to be inserted into the peak flux region of the core. Cylindrical voids in the concrete shield structure, called neutron beam ports, allow neutrons to stream out away from the core. Experiments may be done inside the beam ports or outside the concrete shield in the neutron beams.

Nuclear Core. The reactor core is an assembly of about 90 fuel elements surrounded by an annular graphite neutron reflector. Each element consists of a fuel region capped at top and bottom with a graphite section, all contained within a thin-walled stainless steel tube. The fuel region is a metallic alloy of low-enriched uranium evenly distributed in zirconium hydride (UZrH). The physical properties of the TRIGA fuel provide an inherently safe operation. Rapid power transients to high powers are automatically suppressed without using mechanical control; the reactor quickly returns to normal power levels. Pulse operation, which is a normal mode of operation, is a practical demonstration of this inherent safety feature.

Reactor Reflector. The aluminum-canned graphite neutron reflector surrounding the reactor was flooded in 2000 by the NETL staff to correct pressurization problems. The reflector is expected to be replaced within five years.

Reactor Control. The instrumentation for the UT-TRIGA research reactor is contained in a compact microprocessor-driven control system. This advanced system provides for flexible and efficient operation with precise power and flux control. It also allows permanent retention of all pertinent data. The power level of the UT-TRIGA is controlled by four control rods. Three of these rods, one regulating and two shim, are sealed stainless steel tubes containing powdered boron carbide followed by UZrH. As these rods are withdrawn, boron (a neutron absorber) leaves the core and UZrH (fuel) enters the core, increasing power. The fourth control rod, the transient rod, is a solid cylinder of borated graphite followed by air, clad in aluminum, and operated by pneumatic pressure to permit pulse operation. The sudden ejection of the transient rod produces an immediate burst of power.

Experiment Facilities

The experimental and irradiation facilities of the TRIGA Mark II reactor are extensive and versatile. Experimental tubes can easily be installed in the core region to provide facilities for high-level irradiations or small in-core experiments. Areas outside the core and reflector are available for large experiment equipment or facilities.

The reactor is equipped with a central thimble for access to the point of maximum flux in the core. The central thimble consists of an aluminum tube that fits through the center hole of the top and bottom grid plates. Experiments with the central thimble include irradiation of small samples and the exposure of materials to a collimated beam of neutrons or gamma rays.

A rotary multiple-position specimen rack located in a well in the top of the graphite reflector provides for batch production of radioisotopes and for the activation and irradiation of multiple samples. When rotated, all forty positions in the rack are exposed to neutron fluxes of the same intensity. Samples are loaded from the top of the reactor through a tube into the rotary rack using a specimen lifting device. A rack design feature provides pneumatic pressure for insertion and removal of samples from the sample rack positions.

A pneumatic transfer system permits applications with short-lived radioisotopes. The in-core terminus of the system is normally located in the outer ring of fuel element positions, a region of high neutron flux. The sample capsule (rabbit) is conveyed to a sender-receiver station via pressure differences in the tubing system. An optional transfer box permits the sample to be sent and received from one to three different sender-receiver stations.

Special cadmium-lined facilities have been constructed that utilize an internal area of the core created by removing three fuel elements.

Beam Port Facilities

Five neutron beam ports penetrate the concrete biological shield and reactor water tank at core level. These beam ports were designed with different characteristics to accommodate a wide variety of experiments. Specimens may be placed inside a beam port or outside the beam port in a neutron beam from the beam port. When a beam port is not in use, special shielding reduces the radiation levels outside the concrete biological shield to safe values. This shielding consists of an inner shield plug, outer shield plug, lead-filled shutter, and circular steel cover plate.

Beam Port (BP) #1 is connected to BP #5, end to end, to form a through beam port. The through beam port penetrates the graphite reflector tangential to the reactor core, as seen in Figure 1-6. This configuration allows introduction of specimens adjacent to the reactor core to gain access to a high neutron flux, allows access from either side of the concrete biological shield, and can provide beams of thermal neutrons with relatively low fast-neutron and gamma-ray contamination.

Beam Port #2 is a tangential beam port, terminating at the outer edge of the reflector. However, a void in the graphite reflector extends the effective source of neutrons into the reflector to provide a thermal neutron beam with minimum fast-neutron and gamma-ray backgrounds. Beam Port #2 is out of commission due to Reflector flooding.

Beam Port #3 is a radial beam port. The beam port pierces the graphite reflector and terminates at the inner edge of the reflector. This beam port permits access to a position adjacent to the reactor core, and can provide a neutron beam with relatively high fast-neutron and gamma-ray fluxes. Beam Port #3 contains the Texas Cold Neutron Source Facility.

Beam Port #4 is a radial beam port which also terminates at the outer edge of the reflector. A void in the graphite reflector extends the effective source of neutrons to the reactor core. This configuration is useful for neutron-beam experiments which require neutron energies higher than thermal energies. Beam Port #4 is out of commission due to Reflector flooding.

A neutron beam coming from a beam port may be modified by using collimators, moderators and neutron filters. Collimators are used to limit beam size and beam divergence. Moderators are used to change the energy of neutron beams (e.g., cold moderator). Filters allow neutrons in selected energy intervals to pass through while attenuating neutrons with other energies.

Table 1-1
Physical Dimensions of Standard Beam Ports

<u>Beam Port</u>	<u>Port Diameter</u>	
BP#1, BP#2, BP#4		
At Core:	6 in.	15.24 cm
At Exit:	8 in.	20.32 cm
BP #3, BP#5		
At Core:	6 in.	15.24 cm
	8 in.	20.32 cm
	10 in.	25.40 cm
At Exit:	16 in.	40.64 cm

1.4 Nuclear Engineering Academic Program

The Nuclear Engineering Program (NE) at The University of Texas at Austin is located within the Mechanical Engineering Department. The Program's undergraduate degree is the Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering, Nuclear Engineering Option. It is best described as a major in Mechanical Engineering with a minor in Nuclear Engineering. As such, all Mechanical Engineering degree requirements must be met.

The Program's graduate degrees are completely autonomous; they are Master of Science in Engineering (Concentration in Nuclear Engineering) and Doctor of Philosophy (Concentration in Nuclear Engineering). Course requirements for these degrees and the qualifying examination for the Ph.D. are separate and distinct from other areas of Mechanical Engineering. A Dissertation Proposal and Defense of Dissertation are also required for the Ph.D. degree and are acted on by a NE dissertation committee.

Of the five undergraduate Nuclear Engineering courses and the dozen graduate Nuclear Engineering courses, five courses make extensive use of the reactor facility. Table 1-3 lists the courses that use the reactor and its experiment facilities.

Table 1-3
Nuclear Engineering Courses

Undergraduate

ME 361F Instrumentation and Methods
ME 361G Reactor Operations and Control
ME 177K Nuclear and Radiation Engineering Concepts

Graduate

ME 388R.3 Kinetics and Dynamics of Nuclear Systems
ME 389R.1 Nuclear Engineering Laboratory
ME 389R.2 Nuclear Analytical Measurement Techniques
ME 397M Radioactive Waste Management
ME 337D Radiation and Radiation Protection

In addition to these formal classes the NETL often provides short, one day short courses or tours for Texas agencies, high schools and the Boy Scouts of America. The NETL has participated in the IAEA Fellowship programs for over five years. Several Fellows and Visiting Scientists spend 3-6 months at the NETL per year.

1.5 NETL Divisions

The Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory operates as a unit of the Department of Mechanical Engineering at The University of Texas. Figure 1-8 shows the staff organization of the Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory. The staff includes the Health Physics and the Reactor Operations to support the Experimenter and Users groups and to insure compliance with all licensed activities.

The Operation and Maintenance Division (OMD) is responsible for the safe and effective operations of the TRIGA nuclear reactor. Activities of OMD include neutron and gamma irradiation service, operator/engineering training courses, and teaching reactor short courses.




Figure 1-8 NETL Staff Organization

Reactor Operations and Maintenance

The role of these individuals is the routine maintenance and safe operation of the TRIGA Mark II Research Reactor. With the assistance of the NETL licensed operators, Health Physicists and Electronics Technician this division performs most of the work necessary to meet the

Technical Specifications of the reactor license. Personnel implement modifications to reactor systems and furnish design assistance for new experiment systems. The reactor operators may operate standard reactor experiment facilities.

Services provided to other divisions at the laboratory include assistance in the areas of initial experiment design, fabrication, and setup. Maintenance, repair support, and inventory control of computer, electronic, and mechanical equipment is also provided. Building systems maintenance is also coordinated by the OMD. Other activities include scheduling and coordination of facility tours.

Laboratory and Research Activities

The principal objectives of the Laboratory research staff involve support of the research and educational missions of the university at large. Elemental measurements using instrumental neutron activation analysis provide nuclear analytical support for individual projects ranging from student project support for classes to measurements for faculty research projects. Project support is in the areas of engineering, chemistry, physics, geology, biology, zoology, and other areas. Research project support includes elemental measurements for routine environmental and innovative research projects. In the area of education, the division, with available state-of-the-art equipment, helps stimulate the interest of students to consider studies in the areas of science and engineering. Education in the irradiation and measurement of radioactivity is presented to college, high school and other student groups in class demonstrations or on a one-on-one basis. The neutron activation analysis technique is made available to different state agencies to assist with quality control of sample measurements. Analysis of samples for the presence of various elements and measurements of environmental effects assists detection of toxic elements.

Radiation measurement systems available include several high purity germanium detectors with relative efficiencies ranging from 20 to 40%. The detectors are coupled to several Canberra and Ortec PC-based systems. Two of the detectors are equipped with an automatic sample changer for full-time (i.e., 24 hrs a day) utilization of the counting equipment. One detector operates in a Compton Gamma Ray Suppression System that provides improved low background measurements. APC based acquisition and analysis system supports the analysis of Compton Suppression spectra and short half-life nuclear reaction.

The group also manages the use of the five beam ports. Experiments at the beam ports may be permanent systems which function for periods in excess of one or two years or temporary systems. Temporary systems function once or for a few months, and generally require removal and replacement as part of the setup and shutdown process. The reactor bay contains floor space for each of the beam ports. Available beam paths range from 6 meters (20 ft) to 12 meters (40 ft). The objectives of the research function are to apply nuclear methods at the forefront of modern technology and to investigate fundamental issues related to nuclear physics and condensed matter. Another mission of the division is to obtain new, funded research programs to promote the capabilities of the neutron beam projects division for academic, government and industrial organizations and/or groups.

The Laboratory Manager is responsible for coordinating all phases of a project, beginning with the proposal and design, proceeding to the fabrication and testing, and concluding with the operation, evaluation and dismantlement. Projects available at NETL are the Texas Cold Neutron Source, Neutron Depth Profiling, Neutron Guide and Focusing System, Prompt Gamma Activation Analysis and Texas Intense Positron Source.

Health Physics

The Health Physics (HP) group is responsible for radiation safety and protection of personnel at the NETL as well as the protection of the general public. The laws mandated by Federal and State government agencies are enforced at the facility through various measures. Health physics procedures have been developed that are facility-specific to ensure that all operations comply with the regulations. Periodic monitoring for radiation and contamination assures that the use of the reactor and radioactive nuclides is conducted safely with no hazard to personnel outside of the facility. Personnel exposures are always maintained ALARA ("as low as is reasonably achievable"). This practice is consistent with the mission of the NETL. Collateral duties of the Health Physics group include the inventory and monitoring of hazardous materials, and environmental health.

The Health Physics group consists of one full time Health Physicist with part-time student support. The Health Physicist is functionally responsible to the Management of the NETL, but maintains a reporting relationship to the University Radiation Safety Office. This arrangement allows the Health Physicist to operate independent of NETL operations constraints to insure that

safety is not compromised. A part-time Undergraduate Research Assistant (URA) may assist the Health Physicist. The URA reports to the Health Physicist and assists with technical tasks including periodic surveys, equipment maintenance, equipment calibration, and record keeping.

The Health Physics Group provides radiation monitoring, personnel exposure monitoring, and educational activities. Personnel for whom permanent dosimeters are required must attend an eight hour course given by the Health Physicist. This course covers basic radiation principles including general safety practices, and facility-specific procedures and rules. Each trainee is given a guided tour of the facility to familiarize him with emergency equipment and to reinforce safety/emergency procedures. The group supports University educational activities through assistance to student experimenters in their projects by demonstration of the proper radiation work techniques and controls. The Health Physics group participates in emergency planning between NETL and the City of Austin to provide basic response requirements and conducts off-site radiation safety training to emergency response personnel such as the Hazardous Materials Division of the Fire Department, and Emergency Medical Services crews.

Chapter 2

2.0 ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

2.1 Faculty, Staff, and Students

Organization. The University administrative structure overseeing the NETL program is presented in Figure 2-1. A description follows, including titles and names of personnel, of the administration and committees that set policy important to NETL.




Figure 2-1 - University Administrative Structure over NETL

Administration. The University of Texas at Austin is one campus of 15 campuses of the University of Texas System. As the flagship campus, UT Austin consists of 16 separate colleges and schools. The College of Engineering consists of six engineering departments with separate degree programs. NETL is one of several education and research functions within the college.

Table 2-1 and Table 2-2 list The University of Texas System Board of Regents which is the governing organization and the pertinent administrative officials of The University of Texas at Austin.

Table 2-1
The University of Texas System
Board of Regents for 2001

Chairman	A. Sanchez
Vice Chairman	W. Hunt
Vice Chairman	R.C. Clements
Vice Chairman	C. Miller
Executive Secretary	F. A. Frederick
UT System Chancellor	R.D. Burck

Table 2-2
The University of Texas at Austin
Administration

President	Larry R. Faulkner
Executive Vice President and Provost ad interim	Sheldon Ekland-Olson
Dean of College of Engineering	Benjamin Streetman
Chairman of Department of Mechanical Engineering	Joseph J. Beaman

Radiation Safety Committee. The Radiation Safety Committee convenes to review radiological safety practices at the University during each academic term. The committee composition is shown in Table 2-3. Committee general responsibilities are review of activities of University research programs that utilize radiation source materials.

Table 2-3
2001 Radiation Safety Committee

Chairman	D. Klein
Vice-Chair	J.M. Sanchez
Member	G. Hoffmann
Member	S.A. Monti
Member	J. Robertus
Member	B.G. Sanders
Member	Carl Beard
Ex officio member	J.C. White
Ex officio member	E. Janssen

Nuclear Reactor Committee. The Nuclear Reactor Committee convenes to review the activities related to facility operation during each quarter of the calendar year. The committee composition is shown in Table 2-4. Committee general responsibilities are review of reactor operation and associated activities.

Table 2-4
Nuclear Reactor Committee

Chairman	K. Ball
Member	W. Charlton
Member	J. F. Higginbotham
Member	R.T. Johns
Member	H. M. Liljestrand
Member	C. Beard
Member	D. Klein
Member	J.C. White
Student Member	D. Dorsey
Ex officio member	J. Beaman
Ex officio member	R. J. Charbeneau
Ex officio member	W. Kitchen
Ex officio member	M. Krause
Ex officio member	D. S. O'Kelly

Table 2-5
NETL Personnel

NETL FTE Facility Staff

Director
Associate Director

C. Beard (Jan-May), D. Klein
D. S. O'Kelly

Reactor Supervisor
Research Laboratory Manager
Health Physicist
Electronics Technician
Administrative Associate

M.G. Krause
D. J O'Kelly
W. A. Kitchen
L. Welch
J.L. Wiley

NRE Faculty

C. A. Beard W. Charlton
D.E. Klein
S. Landsberger

Funding. NETL funding is provided by state appropriations, research grants, and service activities. Research funding supplements the base budget provided by the State and is obtained mostly through the process of competitive project proposals. Funds from service activities supplement the base funds to allow the facility to provide quality data acquisition and analysis capabilities. Both sources of supplemental funds, research projects and service activities, contribute to the education and research environment for students.

NETL/NRE Research 2001

1. Developed time-integrated proliferation resistance methodology for nuclear fuel cycles (DOE)
2. Measurements of (in collaboration with LANSCE at LANL) spallation product yields and cross sections for materials of interest to the DOE/NE AAA program (DOE)
3. Determine the effects of internal country monitoring on the illicit trafficking of nuclear materials through the newly independent states (namely Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Armenia, and Georgia) (LANL)
4. Developed proliferation resistance metrics for ATW technology options (Burns and Roe, PANTEX)
5. Nuclear archaeology study in support of treaty verifications (LANL)
6. Development of stochastic network models to study nuclear smuggling routes in Russia for the U.S. DOE Second Line of Defense (SLD) project (managed through DOE/NN-50/Office of Security Affairs)
7. Hosted the 13th International Training Course on Implementation of State Systems of Accounting for and Control of Nuclear Materials (SSAC). Performed in collaboration with the U.S. State Department and the International Atomic Energy Agency. (IAEA/LANL)
8. Radiation shielding testing of advanced tungsten composite materials to reduce environmental hazards of lead shielding (Ecomass Technologies)
9. Radiation shielding tests and modeling of composite gloves for handling transuranic materials (LANL)
10. Trace impurities and iodine background levels in groundwater (Illinois Geological Survey)
11. Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) of travertine and anthracite from Civil War-era ships for nautical archaeology.
12. NAA of plant materials to determine Arsenic and Antimony pollution levels on U.S./Mexico border (UT El Paso)
13. Irradiation and damage studies of advanced high temperature superconductors (U of Florida)
14. Heavy-metal particulate pollution levels over Russian smelters (NOAA)

15. Particulate atmospheric pollution levels over Finland (U of Alaska/NOAA)
16. Prompt gamma activation analysis of carbon composite flywheels (NASA/UT)
17. Radiation damage modeling of integrated chip packaging materials (Texas A&M/Texas Instruments)
18. NAA of human blood serum for identification of biological cancer markers (MD Anderson Hospital)
19. NAA of air filters for monitoring environmental atmospheric pollution to maintain compliance with EPA and Clean Air Act (State of Vermont)

2.2 Education and Training Activities

Tours and special projects are available to promote public awareness of nuclear energy issues. Tours of the NETL facility are routine activities of NETL staff and students. A typical tour is a general presentation for high school and civic organizations. Other tours given special consideration are demonstrations for interest groups such as physics, chemistry and science groups.

A total of 1411 visitors were given access to the facility during the reporting period. The total includes tour groups, official visitors, and facility maintenance personnel. Tours for 16 groups with an average 20 persons/group were taken through the facility during the reporting period. This is a significant increase in the number of tours for education.

Presentations by NETL staff, including demonstrations with laboratory equipment, were given to several high school organizations. These presentations were done as part of school wide programs sponsored by the high schools. This year the NETL Associate Director provided hands-on activities for the local Boy Scouts of American as part of the NETL/UT outreach program. 30 Scouts received Atomic Energy merit badges from this activity.

2.3 Research Activities

Neutron Depth Profiling

The University of Texas (UT) NDP instrument utilizes thermal neutrons from the tangential beam port (BP#2) of the reactor. The NDP technique is not normally available to the research community due to the limited number of appropriate neutron sources.

Neutron depth profiling is an isotope specific nondestructive technique used to measure the near-surface depth distributions of several technologically important elements in various substrates. NDP is based on neutron induced reactions to determine concentration versus depth profiles. Because of the potential for materials research, particularly for semiconductor research, the UT-NDP facility has been developed and is available for scientific measurements.

The UT-NDP facility consists of a collimated thermal neutron beam, a target chamber, a beam catcher, and necessary data acquisition and process electronics. A collimator system was designed to achieve a high quality thermal neutron beam with good intensity and minimum contamination of neutrons above thermal energies.

A target vacuum chamber for NDP was constructed from 40.6 cm diameter aluminum tubing. The chamber can accommodate several small samples or a single large sample with a diameter up to 30 cm. The other degrees of freedom for an NDP measurement, location of charged particle detector and angle between sample and neutron beam, are set with the top cover of the chamber removed.

Depth profiles of various borophosphosilicate glass from Intel Corporation and Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. have been measured. Measurements were repeated at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) NDP facility using the same samples. The NETL results showed good agreement with the NIST depth profiles.

Boron-10 implanted silicon wafers from Advanced Micro Devices have been used for NDP measurements for the comparison of reported implant dose and profile. Also several measurements of Helium-3 implanted in stainless steel samples were carried out in order to examine helium behavior on metals and alloys.

Other possible applications of the UT-NDP facility include study of nitrogen in metals as it affects wear resistance, hardness, and corrosion.

Texas Cold Neutron Source

A cold neutron source has been designed, constructed, and tested by NETL personnel. The Texas Cold Neutron Source (TCNS) is located in one of the radial beam ports (BP #3) and consists of a cold source system and a neutron guide system.

The cold source system includes a cooled moderator, a heat pipe, a cryogenic refrigerator, a vacuum jacket, and connecting lines. Eighty milliliters of mesitylene moderator is maintained by the cold source system at ~36 K in a chamber within the reactor graphite reflector. Mesitylene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, was selected for the cold moderator because it has been shown to be an effective and safe cold moderator. The moderator chamber for the mesitylene is a 7.5 cm diameter right-circular cylinder 2.0 cm thick. The neon heat pipe (properly called thermosyphon) is a 3-m long aluminum tube which is used for cooling the moderator chamber. The heat pipe contains neon as the working fluid that evaporates at the moderator chamber and condenses at the cold head.

Cold neutrons coming from the moderator chamber are transported by a 2-m-long neutron guide inside the beam port and a 4-m-long neutron guide (two 2-m sections) outside the beam port. Both the internal neutron guide and the external neutron guide are curved with a radius of curvature equal to 300 m. To block line-of-sight radiation streaming in the guides, the cross-sectional area of the guides is separated into three channels by 1-mm-thick vertical walls. All reflecting surfaces are coated with Ni-58.

The TCNS system provides a low background subthermal neutron beam for neutron reaction and scattering research. Installation and testing of the external curved neutron guides, the shielding structure, neutron focusing and a Prompt Gamma Activation Analysis facility are completed. The only other operating reactor cold neutron sources in the United States are at Brookhaven National Laboratory, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and Cornell University. At least four major centers for cold neutron research exist in Europe, with another two in Japan.

Prompt Gamma Activation Analysis Facility

A Prompt Gamma Activation Analysis (PGAA) facility has been designed, constructed, and tested. The UT-PGAA facility utilizes the focused cold-neutron beam from the Texas Cold Neutron Source. The PGAA sample is located at the focal point of the converging guide focusing system. The use of a guided focused cold-neutron beam provides a higher capture

reaction rate and a lower background at the sample-detector area as compared to other facilities using filtered thermal neutron beams.

The UT-PGAA facility has been designed taking into account the advantage of the low background. The following criteria have been used during the design: a) The structure and shielding materials for the UT-PGAA facility were chosen to minimize the background contribution for elements to be detected in the samples to be studied. b) The sample handling system was designed to be versatile to permit the study of a wide range of samples with quick and reproducible sample positioning with a minimum of material close to the samples.

A 25% efficient gamma-ray detector in a configuration with an offset-port dewar was purchased to be used at the UT-PGAA facility. The detector was selected in order to incorporate a Compton suppression system at a later date. A gamma-spectrum analysis system with 16,000 channels is used for data acquisition and processing.

The applications of the UT-PGAA will include: i) determination of B and Gd concentration in biological samples which are used for Neutron Capture Therapy studies, ii) determination of H and B impurity levels in metals, alloys, and semiconductor, iii) multielemental analysis of geological, archeological, and environmental samples for determination of major components such as Al, S, K, Ca, Ti, and Fe, and minor or trace elements such as H, B, V, Mn, Co, Cd, Nd, Sm, and Gd, and iv) multielemental analysis of biological samples for the major and minor elements H, C, N, Na, P, S, Cl, and K, and trace elements like B and Cd.

Neutron Radiography

A collimator design is being developed for beam port #5 of the TRIGA reactor. The collimator will provide neutrons for imaging various objects for analysis by neutron radiography. An image intensifier, display and acquisition system and analysis software are being acquired. The system will provide standard neutron radiography and provide for research into neutron tomography.

Texas Intense Positron Source

A reactor-based slow positron beam facility is being fabricated at the Nuclear Engineering Teaching Laboratory (NETL). This is a joint effort between UT-Austin and UT-Arlington researchers. The facility (Texas Intense Positron Source) will be one of a few reactor-based slow positron beams in the world when completed. The Texas Intense Positron Source

consists of a copper source, a source transport system, a combined positron moderator/remoderator assembly, a positron beam line and a sample chamber. High energy positrons from the source will be slowed down to a few eV by a solid Kr moderator that also acts as a remoderator to reduce the beam size to enable beam transport to a target for experimentation. The beam will be electrostatically guided and will deliver about 10^8 positrons/sec in the energy range of 0 - 50 keV.

Reactor-based positron beams utilizing a copper source have been implemented at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) and at Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands. There are several differences between TIPS and these reactor based positron beams. The source/moderator array of the Delft positron beam is located inside one of the neutron beam ports of their reactor and the positron beam is transported out of the reactor and then remoderated before it enters into an experimental chamber. For the BNL positron beam, a 200 mg copper pellet is irradiated in the High Flux Beam Reactor (8.3×10^{14} n/cm²sec) and then transported to their positron beam facility at a different location where the copper is evaporated onto a source holder. The BNL positron beam uses solid Kr to moderate the fast positrons while at Delft a tungsten moderator is applied. The TIPS will have a joint moderator/remoderator stage using solid Kr, an approach that is similar in concept to that suggested for a magnetically guided positron beam. A major advantage is that our moderator/remoderator stage is operated in a magnetic field free environment such that electric fields can be established to increase its overall efficiency.

Based on general experience on reactor based positron sources, we have decided that the moderator/remoderator assembly and the positron beam optics should be entirely outside the reactor biological shield. A source transport system will be placed in a 4 meter long vacuum jacket that will be inserted into one of the neutron beam ports of the NETL 1-MW TRIGA Mark II research reactor. The vacuum jacket will be evacuated to high vacuum and will have a rectangular section to allow for some shielding materials inside the beam port. The transport system will be used to move the source to the irradiation location and out of the biological shield. The source will be moved away from the neutron beam line to an ultra high vacuum (at around 10^{-10} torr) chamber, where the moderator/remoderator assembly is located. The high vacuum and ultra high vacuum systems will be separated by a gate valve.

The copper source of TIPS will be irradiated across from the core in the graphite reflector, in the middle section of the through port. The isotope ^{64}Cu formed by neutron capture

in ^{63}Cu (69 % in natural copper) has a half life of 12.7 hours, and the branching ratio for β^+ emission is 19 %. Our current source design consists of 400 copper cylinders with 1 cm height and 0.5 cm diameter mounted on a $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$ copper plate forming a square lattice. The source activity will be around 100 Ci of which 14 Ci or more is available for positron beam production. The combined efficiency of the moderator/remoderator assembly is approximately 10^{-3} and, therefore, TIPS should deliver about 10^8 positrons/sec at the sample chamber.

Preliminary designs and construction of the source transport system and the vacuum jacket are completed. The designs and construction of the copper source, moderator/remoderator assembly, and the positron beam optics are completed and testing of these components are currently in progress. The high-intensity low-energy positron beam of TIPS will be applied to defect characterization of metals, semiconductors, and polymers.

2.4 Publications, Reports, and Papers

Reports, publications, and presentations on research done at NETL are produced each year by NETL personnel. The following list documents research done by NETL faculty, staff, and students during the reporting period.

S. EATON, K. RAMSEY, J. BUKSA, K. CHIDESTER, and C. BEARD, "Development of Non-Fertile and Evolutionary Mixed-Oxide Nuclear Fuels for Use in Existing Water Reactors," accepted for publication in *Nuclear Science and Engineering*.

S. L. EATON, C. A. BEARD, L. L. DAEMEN, W. B. WILSON, and M. L. ADAMS, "Calculational Analysis of Structural Activation Induced by 20-100 MeV Proton Beam Loss in High-Power Linear Accelerators," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B*, 168, 88-91 (2000).

KENNETH L. SCHWARTZ and CARL A. BEARD, "Process Modeling of Plutonium Conversion and MOX Fabrication for Plutonium Disposition," *Nuclear Technology*, Vol. 129, 152-174 (2000).

T. Burr, W.D. Stanbro, and W.S. Charlton, "A Quantitative Evaluation of Safeguards Approaches for Neptunium," *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, **38(3)**, p. 209 (2001).

W.D. Stanbro, C.A. Wells, G.W. Eccleston, and W. S. Charlton, "International Monitoring of Neptunium and Americium," submitted for publication to the *Nonproliferation Review* (2001).

W.S. Charlton and W.D. Stanbro, "The Prediction of Alternate Nuclear Material Concentrations Using Noble Gas Isotopic Measurements During the Dissolution of Spent Nuclear Fuel," submitted for publication to the *Journal of Nuclear Materials Management* (2001).

W.D. Stanbro, S. Hsue, T.L. Burr, M.L. Collins, C.A. Wells, W.S. Charlton and G.W. Eccleston, "Advanced Approaches to the International Oversight of Neptunium: Challenges and Opportunities," accepted for publication in the *Journal of Nuclear Materials Management* (2001).

W.S. Charlton and J. Poths, "Capability of Ruthenium Isotopes in Distinguishing Fuel Type and Burnup," accepted for publication in the *Journal of Nuclear Materials Management* (2001).

W.S. Charlton and W.D. Stanbro, "Monitors for the Prediction of Alternate Nuclear Material Concentrations for PWR Spent Fuel," *Nucl. Tech.*, **136**, pp. 24-36 (2001).

Y.V. Terekhine, T.A. Parish, and W.S. Charlton, "Shielding and Criticality Characterization of ALR8(SI) Plutonium Storage Containers," *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, **S1**, p. 347-351 (March 2000).

W.S. Charlton, R.T. Perry, G.P. Estes, and T.A. Parish, "Derivation and Implementation of the Three Region Problem in the SOURCES Code System," *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, **S1**, p. 570-574 (March 2000).

W.S. Charlton, W.D. Stanbro, and R.T. Perry, "Comparisons of HELIOS, ORIGEN2, and MonteBurns Calculated ^{241}Am and ^{243}Am Concentrations to Measured Values for PWR, BWR, and VVER Spent Fuel," *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, **37(7)**, p. 615 (2000).

W.S. Charlton, R.T. Perry, and T.A. Parish, "Calculated Actinide and Fission Product Concentration Ratios for Gaseous Effluent Monitoring Using MonteBurns 3.01," *Nucl. Tech.*, **131**, p. 1 (2000).

W.D. Stanbro, W.S. Charlton, P.H. Hemberger, J. Poths, T.L. Burr, and B.L. Fearey, "The Use of Stable Xenon Isotope Monitoring in Strengthened Safeguards at Large Reprocessing Plants," *JNMM*, **28(2)**, p. 22 (2000).

W.S. Charlton, B.L. Fearey, C.W. Nahkleh, T.A. Parish, R.T. Perry, J. Poths, J.R. Quagliano, W.D. Stanbro, and W.B. Wilson, "Operator Declaration Verification Technique for Spent Fuel at Reprocessing Facilities," *Nucl. Inst. and Meth./B*, **168**, p. 98 (2000).

Landsberger, S., D. Wu, and P. Zhang, "Analysis of the Arctic Aerosol for a Ten Year Period Using Various Neutron Activation Analysis Methods," *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **217**, 11-15(1997).

Diebel, M. A., S. Landsberger, D. Wu, and W. D. Ehmann, "Non-Destructive Determination of Copper in Human Brain Tissue by Epithermal Neutron Activation Analysis Using Compton Suppression," *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **217**, 153-155(1997).

Landsberger, S., D. Wu, S. J. Vermette, W. Cizek, and "Intercomparison of IAEA Airborne Particulate Matter Reference Material," *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **215**, 117-127 (1997).

Wu, D., and S. Landsberger, "Determination of Elemental Distribution for Cigarette Smoking by Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis," *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **217**, 77-82 (1997).

Wu, D., S. Landsberger, and G. Vandegrift, "Application of Neutron Activation Analysis in the Fission Molybdenum Separation Study," *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, **216**, 101-105 (1997).

Kaminski, M., S. Landsberger, L. Nunez and G. Vandegrift, "Sorption Capacity of Ferromagnetic Microparticles Coated with CMPO," *Separation Science and Technology*, **32**, 115-126 (1997).

Biegalski, S. R., S. Landsberger and R. Hoff, "High Bromine Aerosol Concentrations near Lake Huron from Long-Range Transport from the Arctic during Polar Sunrise", *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102 D19**, 23,337-23,343 (1997).

Biegalski, S. R., S. Landsberger and R. Hoff, "Source-Receptor Modeling Using Trace Metals in Aerosols Collected at Three Canadian Great Lakes Sampling Stations", *J. of Air and Waste Management*, **48**, 227-237 (1998).

Omar, A. H., S. Biegalski, S. M. Larson and S. Landsberger, "Particulate Contributions to Light Extinction and Local Forcing at a Rural Illinois Site" *Atmos. Environ.* **13**, 2637-2646 (1999).

Landsberger, S. and D. Wu, "An Evaluation of Neutron Activation Analysis Compton Suppression Methods for Biological Reference Materials", Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 71-72, 453-461 (1999).

Landsberger, S. and S. R. Biegalski, " An Evaluation of Atmospheric Deposition of Trace Elements into the Great Lakes", Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 71-72, 247-256 (1999).

Landsberger, S., F. Iskander, S. Basunia, D. Barnes and M. D. Kaminski, " Lead and Copper Contamination from Industrial Activities and Firing Ranges", Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 71-72, 387-396 (1999).

Kaminski, M. D. and S. Landsberger, " Heavy Metals in Urban Soils of East St. Louis, Illinois, Part I: Total Concentration of Heavy Metals in Soils", J. Air and Waste Management Association. 60, 1667-1679 (2000).

Kaminski, M. D. and S. Landsberger, "Heavy Metals in Urban Soils of East St. Louis, Illinois, Part II: Leaching Characteristics and Modeling", J. Air and Waste Management Association. 60, 1680-1687 (2000).

128. Iskander, F., S., S. Landsberger and S. D. Warren, "Compton Suppression Low Level Counting of ¹³⁷Cs in Environmental Soil Samples" J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 244, 159-163 (2000)

129. Landsberger, S., M. Kaminski, M. Basunia and F. Iskander "Multielemental Analysis of Solid Wastes and Leachates" J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 244, 35-40 (2000)

Dodoo-Amoo, D. and S. Landsberger, "Gamma-Ray Self-Attenuation Calculations in Neutron Activation Analysis", J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 248, 327-332 (2001)

Iskander, F., M. Basunia and S. Landsberger, " Halogen Determination in Arctic Aerosols by Neutron Activation Analysis with Compton Suppression Methods" J. Radioanal. Nuc. Chem., 249, 303-305, (2001).

Defee, T., F. Iskander and S. Landsberger " Leaching of Chlorine from Celotex Packaging in Stainless Steel Containers Storing Plutonium Pits", J. Radioanal. Nuc. Chem., 248, 689-694 (2001).

Basunia, S. and S. Landsberger, "Contents and Leachability of Heavy Metals (Pb,Cu, Sb, Zn, As) at the Pantex Firing Range, Amarillo, Texas", J. Air and Waste Management, 51, 174-185, (2001).

Gardesay- Toresdey, J., S. Landsberger, D. O'Kelly, K. J. Tiemann and J. G. Parsons. " Use of Neutron Activation Analysis to Describe Arsenic and Antimony Concentrations in Creosote Bushes Collected Near a Lead Smelter in El Paso, Texas", J. Radioanal. and Nuc. Chem., 250, 583-586, (2001).

Armstrong, R. W., P. B. Imbrey, M. S. Lye, M. J. Armstrong, M. C. Yu, S. Sani, M. Rashid, M. Mohamed, A. Tajudin, M. J. Rood and S. Landsberger, "Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in Malaysian Chinese: Occupational Exposures to Particulates, Formaldehyde and Heat", Inter. J. Cancer (submitted 2000).

Dissertations and Theses

Lisa Vickers

Graduated December 2001

Dissertation Title: "Estimate of Radiation-Induced Steel Embrittlement in the BWR Core Shroud and Vessel Wall from Reactor-Grade MOX/UOX Fuel for the Nuclear Power Plant at Laguna Verde, Veracruz, Mexico"

Linda Vickers

Graduated December 2001

Dissertation Title: "Radiological Dose Analysis of Target Materials for Accelerator Transmutation of Waste (ATW) Applications"

Daniel J. Dorsey

Expected graduation date: August 2002

Dissertation Title: "Nuclear Analytical Techniques for the Characterization of Carbon-Fiber Composites"

Sukesh Aghara

Expected graduation date: December 2002

Dissertation Title: "Development of an In-core Irradiation Position for Radiation Damage Studies at the UT NETL Research Reactor"

Feng Pan (co-advised with Dr. David Morton)

Expected graduation date: December 2002

Dissertation Title: "Site Prioritization Methodology to Combat the Smuggling of Nuclear Materials"

Ryan LeBouf

Graduated December 2001

Thesis Title: "Methodology for Assessing the Proliferation Resistance of Accelerator Transmutation of Waste Technology Options"

Amaury de Lumley-Woodyear

Graduated December 2001

Thesis Title: "A Methodology for Determining Bounded Plutonium Estimates and Reactor Historical Parameters from the Measurement of Ex-core Structural Material Activation Products"

Karunesh Pandey

Expected graduation date: May 2002

Thesis Title: "Characterization of the UT NETL Prompt Gamma-ray Activation Analysis System"

Coy Bryant (co-advised with Dr. Sheldon Landsberger)

Expected graduation date: May 2002

Thesis Title: "Developing Computer Models for Solvent Extraction Processes for Optimizing Flowsheets for Actinide Transmutation and Analyzing Their Technical Benefits/Liabilities and Proliferation Resistance"

Michael Gregson

Expected graduation date: December 2002

Thesis Title: "MCNP Analysis of Rail Car Portal Monitors for the Detection of the Illicit Trafficking of Nuclear Materials"

Eric Chesak

Fall 2001 Advisor S. Landsberger

Thesis: Assessment of Radiological Dosimetry from the Diagnosis and Treatment of Venous Sinus Thrombosis with Intracranial Hemorrhage □

Yannick Bercy

Spring 2001 Advisor C. Beard

Thesis: Active Neutron Multiplicity and Monte Carlo Calculations

Sukesh Aghara

Spring 2001 Advisor C. Beard

Thesis: Feasibility Study of Proliferation Resistant Actinide Based Fuel for Light Water Reactors

Conference Proceedings

Iskander, F. and S. Landsberger, "Neutron Activation Analysis at the University of Texas" Trans. ANS., 76, 8-9 (1997).

Landsberger, S. and M. Kaminski, "Extent of Industrial Heavy Metal Contamination of Soil in East St. Louis, Illinois, Trans. ANS., 77, 16, (1997).

Landsberger, S, and S. R. Biegalski, "Evaluation of Detection Limits and Analytical Blanks for Trace Element Determination of Airborne Particles by NAA", Trans. ANS., 77, 34-36 (1997).

Landsberger, S. and K. Schwartz, "Geometric Considerations in Neutron Activation Analysis", Trans. ANS., 77, 36-37 (1997).

Landsberger, S. and E. S. Kirsten, "Neutron Absorption Self-Shielding Factors in Activation Analysis" Trans. ANS., 77, 40-41(1997).

Landsberger, S., B. Buchholz, D. Chichester, M. Kaminski, J. Cerbus and S. Larson, "Solid Waste and Leaching Studies Nuclear Analytical Techniques", in Harmonization of Health Related Environmental Measurements Using Nuclear and Isotopic Techniques, Hyderabad, India November 4-7, 1996, International Atomic Energy Agency IAEA-SM-344/20, pp 547-565 (1997).

Defee, T., F. Iskander and S. Landsberger, "Leaching Characteristics of Celotex in an Aqueous Solution", Trans ANS, 79, 71-72 (1998).

Landsberger, S., P. K. Hopke and S. Biegalski, "Some Aspects of Quality Assurance and Quality Control in Neutron Activation Analysis of Airborne Particles" Trans ANS, 79, 20 (1998).

Landsberger, S., F. Iskander, T. Bauer, M. Krause and A. J. Teachout, "Upgrade of Neutron Activation Analysis Facilities at the University of Texas at Austin" Trans ANS, 79, 7-8 (1998).

Landsberger, S., M. Dhalla, E. Anderson and E. Zounar, "Implementation of a Health Physics Masters Program Via Long-Distance Learning". Trans ANS, 79, 7-8 (1998).

O'Kelly, S., S. Landsberger, A. J. Teachout, G. Chubarian, and J. Krohmer, "Short Course in Accelerator Health Physics for Regulators" Trans ANS, 81, 43-44 (1999).

Landsberger S. and M. Creatchman, "Development of a Web-Based Long Distance Learning Course in Radioactive Waste Management" " Trans ANS, 83, 462 (2000).

Landsberger, S., S. R. Biegalski and F. Iskander "Long Range Transport of Heavy Metals over the Great Lakes as Determined by Neutron Activation Analysis", Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Isotopes (3ICI), Vancouver, BC, September 6-10, 1999, N. R. Stevenson ed., World Scientific, pages 65-67 (2000).

Dodoo-Amoo, D. N. A., S. Landsberger, J. M. Macdonald and J. Castro, "Attenuation of Composite Materials for Radiological Protection of Hand Gloves", Proceedings of the Radiation Protection for Our National Priorities, September 17 -21, Spokane, Washington, 2000, pages 515-520.

Landsberger, S. C. Mann and L. Hunter, "Determination and Correlation of Cs-137 and unsupported Pb-210 in Soil Erosion Studies" Trans ANS, 85, 326 (2001).

Landsberger, S. and M. Basunia , "Heavy Metal Leaching From an Outdoor Firing Range" Trans ANS, 85, 327 (2001).

Landsberger, S, K. Schmidt, F. Tariq, and S. Siddiqui. "Introductory Course in Nuclear and Radiation Engineering/Physics" Trans ANS, 85, 457 (2001).

D.S. O'Kelly, "*Quality Assurance Success and Failure at a Nonpower Reactor*," Transactions of the Annual ANS Winter meeting, Reno, NV, Session Chair, November 2001.

S. Landsberger, C. Beard, W. Charlton, S. O'Kelly, M. Creatchman, "*Graduate Distance Learning in Nuclear and Radiation Engineering at the University of Texas at Austin*," Proceedings of the Annual ASEE meeting, Albuquerque, NM, June 2001.

D.S. O'Kelly, "*Manpower and Management Issues at Nonpower Reactors*," Operations and Power Division Panel, Panel Chair and Speaker ANS Summer Meeting, Milwaukee WI, June 2001.

D. S. O'Kelly, "*Bayesian Reliability and Failure Analysis of Small Diameter, High Power Electrical Heaters for the Simulation of Reactor Fuel Temperatures.*" 2000

D.S. O'Kelly, "*Hydrogen and Oxygen Gas Generation in the UT TRIGA Reflector,*" Invited, Transactions ANS Winter Meeting, Washington, D.C., November 2000.

D. S. O'Kelly, "*UT-TRIGA Reflector Pressurization and Venting,*" Annual Meeting of the Organization of Test, Research and Training Reactors, Raleigh, NC, October 2000.

Chapter 3

3.0 FACILITY OPERATING SUMMARIES

3.1 Operating Experience

The UT-TRIGA reactor operated for 179 days in 2001. The reactor produced a total energy output of 414 MW-hrs during this period. The burnup per year in the ten years of operation is shown in Figure 3-1. Several experiments required 50% power or less so the burnup is roughly half of what the true reactor time on would have been.




Figure 3-1 History of Reactor Operations

3.2 Reactor Shutdowns

The reactor safety system classifies protective action trips as one of three types, a limiting safety system (LSSS) trip, a limiting condition for operation (LCO) trip or a trip of the SCRAM manual switch. In the event the switch is used for a normal reactor shutdown, the operation is not considered a protective action shutdown. The following definitions in Table 3-1 classify the types of protective actions recorded.

Table 3-1
Protective Action Definitions

<u>Protective Action</u>	<u>Description</u>
Safety System Setting LSSS	Setpoint corresponds to detection of limiting safety system setting. Examples: fuel temperature percent power
Condition for Operation LCO - (analog detection)	Hardware action detects inoperable conditions within a safety channel or the instrument control and safety system. Examples: pool water level detector high voltage external circuit trips
Condition for Operation LCO - (digital detection)	Software action detects inoperable conditions within a program function of the instrument control and safety system. Examples: watchdog timers program database errors
Manual Switch (protective action)	Operator emergency shutdown
Manual Switch (intentional operation)	Operator routine shutdown

There were 21 safety system protective unscheduled shutdowns in 2001. Fourteen of these were considered watchdog or timeout scrams when one of the computer systems locked up. Seven scrams were caused by operator error while operating too close to a high power scram trip setpoint or noise spikes.

SCRM Fuel Temp 1

1) 5/16/01 1234 @500kW – History does not show any problems – Spurious spike.

SCRM PPWR HI

1) 12/13/01 1447 NM1000 Power HI SCRAM – Power fluctuation; power @ 990 kW auto-mode.

SCRM %P1

1) 7/12/01 1438 NPP1000 Power HI SCRAM @950kW. Playback indicates NPP power is 102%. Power fluctuations is cause, all calcs ok.
2) 9/27/01 1712 Power fluctuation shortly after startup.

SCRM %P2

1) 1/3/01 1211 Scram @ 80% - Training operator over power.
2) 12/13/01 1453 Power fluctuation shortly after startup.
3) 12/18/01 1553 Power fluctuation shortly after startup.

Scram log for digital systems:

(Console Watchdog Timeout)

1) 7/17/01 1521 CSC W/D – CSC software locked up – reboot
2) 8/24/01 1230 CSC W/D – CSC software locked up – reboot
3) 9/5/01 1007 CSC W/D – CSC software locked up – reboot
4) 10/10/01 0954 CSC W/D – CSC software locked up – reboot
4) 11/20/01 1722 Occurred during reactor shutdown. No noticeable abnormalities.
5) 11/30/01 1331 CSC W/D. Operator tried logging out. Pressed “4 – exit”

SCRM DAC DS

1) 11/30/01 1229 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
2) 12/4/01 1034 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
3) 12/5/01 1026 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
4) 12/5/01 1319 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
5) 12/5/01 1637 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
6) 12/10/01 1726 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
7) 12/13/01 1540 DAC DIS 64 Timeout
8) 12/14/01 1619 DAC DIS 64 Timeout

SCRM DBASE

1) 3/20/01 1655 Database Timeout SCRAM. Occurred when trying to print the animation window at power (50W). Could not print from status window because keyboard was locked by key in console door.

3.3 Utilization

There was a significant increase in the number of sample irradiated and hours of operation during the reporting period compared to previous years of NETL operations. Several new licensed operators permitted extended operations for some experiments. The NETL staff continues to perform activation and analysis services as a public service and in support of the overall UT mission. Neutron activation analysis accounted for much of the reactor utilization time with teaching labs and beam port research projects making up the remainder. The Prompt Gamma Analysis System was in use for much of the year for two student projects.

3.4 Routine Scheduled Maintenance

All surveillances and scheduled maintenance were completed during the reporting year. All results met or exceeded the limits of the Technical Specifications.

3.5 Facility Changes and Corrective Maintenance

Digital Console Upgrade

The original software for the TRIGA digital console instrumentation and control system (ICS) was developed in the mid-1980s. The ICS program runs under a proprietary (and no longer supported) UNIX operating system (OS) developed by Action Instruments called IC-DOS. The current NETL ICS operates on two IBM 7532 Rack-Mounted Industrial PCs. The IBM 7532 PC is an Intel 80286 machine and replacements for many of the hardware components have become difficult to obtain. Recently, Sorrento Electronics has ported the original General Atomic (GA) ICS code to run under a widely used and well-documented OS, QNX. The change in OS and updated software permits an upgrade of the ICS to operate on Intel Pentium-class IBM machines. This software and hardware upgrade was completed in early December 2001. The upgrade has not been formally accepted by NETL as a few minor software “bugs” remain. Functionally, the system has passed all safety and control checks and thus provides the same level of safety as the previous Console system.

Briefly, the ICS utilizes two separate computers to monitor and control the NETL reactor (see attached Figure). The Control System Computer (CSC) is located in the Control Room and The Data Acquisition and Control (DAC) computer is located in the Reactor Bay adjacent to the pool. These two systems provide outputs to control rod movement and process information from the

various inputs (rod position, reactor power, etc) for operator information and control. Additionally, the ICS provides protective action (Scram) and interlocks for reactor safety. Several protective actions, such as the "Watchdog" timers, are unique to the microprocessor controlled ICS. The Watchdog circuits comprise a system where each computer checks for normal internal processing and the operation of the other computer. The essential changes to the ICS system are as follows

1. Functionally the same software package with the same operator interface at the console. 32-bit QNX operating system is fully supported, industry standard with broad user base.
2. Replaces previous proprietary network adapters with standard 3Com Ethernet network adapters. System uses standard Ethernet network hub.
3. Version control software (PVCS) has been incorporated into the program.
4. Replaced Intel X286 machine with Pentium machines (600 MHz clock speed) to extend effective hardware lifetime.
5. Software was restructured and optimized using standard C and UNIX functions.

The ICS upgrade was previously installed and is in operation at the following US research reactors:

1. AFRRI TRIGA Facility, Bethesda MD
2. DOW TRIGA, Midland, MI
3. USGS TRIGA, Denver, CO

Personal communications with Reactor Supervisors at each facility confirm the software and equipment have been essentially debugged and are operating reliably. It was noted that a few (non-protective) routines appeared slower but this is attributed to a change in software timing loops such that their speed is processor independent. In general, operators have seen noticeably fewer network failures and watchdog circuit timeouts (a weekly occurrence at some facilities). Loose wiring terminals caused several system failures during installation but the equipment was installed and operational within 5 to 10 days (with the exception of the Beta test site, AFRRI).

System testing at NETL verified the software logic; the network communication and the reactor instrumentation controls are identical to the ICS previously installed. Minor changes to remove obsolete and unnecessary functions or the modification of existing subroutines based on operator experiences was permitted if it do not change the essential operator interface.

The UT-TRIGA testing program was adapted from the original GA TRIGA CSC/DAC Checkout and Test Plan (4/87) and the approved software upgrade test programs from the USGS and AFFRI facilities. The ICS Acceptance Test verified operation in all reactor operating modes (Steady State, Scram, Pulse and Square Wave). The retests included testing the performance of the NETL Procedure MAIN 1 (Interlock and Scram Features), MAIN 2 (Calibration and Function Checks of the ICS-Instrument System), MAIN 3 (Calibration and Function Checks of the ICS-Support System) and MAIN 4 (Calibration and Function Checks of the ICS-Radiation Monitor System). The ICS upgrade replaced the redundant network system with a single network system. Redundancy is less of an issue with the current reliable Ethernet systems. The majority of facilities using the older software had already converted to a single network system. The current system and the upgraded network are not connected to the Internet or the NETL LAN and will not be vulnerable to external effects.

The Digital ICS operation and possible accident conditions (reactivity insertion) are considered in the UT-TRIGA Safety Analysis Report and Reactor Facility License. The original software and hardware complies with the American Nuclear Society Standard Criteria for the Reactor Safety Systems of Research Reactors (ANSI/ANS 15.15). The software upgrade will increase the reliability and maintainability of the ICS and extend the operational console lifetime.

LED Bar Graph Failure

The hard-wired LED Period meter failed high during retests of the console software in December. The failure was caused by a memory circuits in the NM1000 failing. These failed memory chips were replaced.

Secondary Flow Transducer

Remote monitoring of the secondary flow is not currently available due to a failed transducer. Local indication is available and checked periodically. Repairs to the transducer have not been successful and a direct replacement is currently not available. A suitable replacement is expected to be found and installed in 2002.

3.6 Laboratory Inspections

Inspections of laboratory operations are conducted by university and licensing agency personnel. Two committees, a Radiation Safety Committee and a Nuclear Reactor Committee, review operations of the NETL facility. These committees convened at the times listed in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6
Committee Meetings

<u>Nuclear Reactor Committee</u>	
First Quarter	No meeting
Second Quarter	April 24, 2001
Third Quarter	September 10, 2001
Fourth Quarter	No meeting

Inspections by licensing agencies include federal license activities by the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Nuclear Reactor Regulation Branch (NRR), and state license activities by the Texas Department of Health (TDH) Bureau of Radiation Control (BRC). NRC and TDH inspections were held at the times presented in Table 3-7.

Table 3-7
Dates of License Inspections

<u>License</u>	<u>Dates</u>
R-129	August 6, 2001
SNM-180	None
L00485(48)	August 13, 2001

Routine inspections by the Office of Environmental Health and Safety (OEHS) for compliance with university safety rules and procedures are conducted at varying intervals throughout the year. In response to safety concerns at other sites on the main campus, several additional OEHS inspections have been made. Inspections cover fire, chemical, and radiological hazards. No significant safety problems were found at NETL, which reflects favorably on the positive safety culture for all hazard classes at the NETL. Safety concerns included such items as storage of combustibles, compressed gases, and fire extinguisher access.

3.7 Radiation Exposures

A radiation protection program for the NETL facility provides monitoring for personnel radiation exposure, surveys of radiation areas and contamination areas, and measurements of radioactive effluents. Radiation exposures for personnel, building work areas and areas of the NETL site are shown in the following tables. Site area measurements include exterior points adjacent to the building and exterior points away from the building.

Table 3-8 summarizes NETL personnel dose exposure data for the calendar year. Figure 3-3 locates the building internal and external dosimetry sites. Dots locate fixed monitoring points within the building. Numbers identify the immediate site area radiation measurement points exterior to the building. These measurements do not indicate any measurable dose from work within the NETL building. Table 3-9 and Table 3-10 summarize doses recorded in facility work areas and the site areas. Table 3-11 contains a list of the basic requirements and frequencies of measurements.

Additional measurement data is available from the State of Texas Department of Health. The state agency records environmental radiological exposures at five sites in the vicinity of the research reactor site. Samples are also taken for analysis of soil, vegetation, and sanitary waste effluents.

Table 3-8

Annual Summary of Personnel Radiation Doses Received Within the NETL Facility for 2001									
Personnel Group	Average Annual Dose (mrem)(1)(6)			Greatest Individual Dose (mrem)(1)(6)			Total Person mrem per Group (1)(6)		
	Whole Body DDE(2)	Lens of Eye LDE(3)	Extremities SDE(4)	Whole Body DDE(2)	Lens of Eye LDE(3)	Extremities SDE(4)	Whole Body DDE(2)	Lens of Eye LDE(3)	Extremities SDE(4)
Facility Operating and Research Personnel	14.33	9.56	100.89	56	46	790	129	86	908
Students	6.43	2.00	1.93	24	18	16	90	28	27
Visitors Film Badges/TLD	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M
Visitors PD's (5)	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
<p>(1) "M" indicates that each of the beta-gamma or neutron dosimeters during the reporting period was less than the vendor's minimum measurable quantity of 10 mrem for x and gamma rays and thermal neutrons, 40 mrem for energetic betas, and 20 mrem for fast neutrons. "N/A" indicates that there was no extremity monitoring conducted or required for the group</p>									
<p>(2), (3), (4) Deep, Eye, and Shallow Dose Equivalents (DDE, LDE, and SDE respectively). DDE applies to external whole-body exposure and is the dose equivalent at a tissue depth of 1 cm (1000 mg/cm²). LDE applies to the external exposure of the eye lens and is taken as the dose equivalent at a tissue depth of 0.3 cm (300mg/cm²). SDE applies to skin or extremity exposure, and is the dose equivalent at a tissue depth of 0.007 cm (7mg/cm²) averaged over an area of 1 cm.</p>									
<p>(5) PD's are pocket ion chambers issued to persons who enter radioactive materials / restricted areas for periods of short duration, i.e., a few hours or days.</p>									
<p>(6) Exposures were obtained from Daily Dosimeter Log sheets for DDE, and vendor dosimeter reports for LDE and SDE. LDE and SDE values do not consider September exposure due to postal x-raying during shipment during anthrax scare.</p>									




Figure 3-3 Environmental TLD Locations

Table 3-9

**Total Dose Equivalent Recorded
on Area Dosimeters Located
Within the NETL Facility 2001**

<u>Location in Reactor Facility</u>	<u>Monitor</u>	<u>Total Dose</u>	<u>(mrem) n</u>	<u>Shallow</u>
	<u>ID</u>	<u>(1,2) b,g,x</u>		<u>(4)</u>
Reactor Bay, North Wall	00277	41	41	41
Reactor Bay, East Wall	00278	10	10	10
Reactor Bay, West Wall	00279	443	443	442
Water Treatment Room	00280	9392	9392	9340
Reactor Pool Area, Roof	00171	15	15	15
Shield Area, Room 1.102	00281	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sample Processing, Room 3.102	00173	15	15	15
Gamma Spectroscopy Lab, 3.112	00174	8	8	10
Radiation Experiment Lab, 3.106	00175	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reception Area, 2.102	00176	N/A	N/A	N/A
Office, Room 3.104	00222	11	11	12

(1) The total recorded dose equivalent values reported in mrem do not include natural background contribution and reflect the summation of the results of 12 monthly beta, x- and gamma ray or neutron dosimeters for each location. A total dose equivalent of "M" indicates that each of the dosimeters during the period was below the vendor's minimum measurable quantity of 10 mrem for x and gamma rays, 40 mrem for energetic betas, 20 mrem for fast neutrons, and 10 mrem for thermal neutron. "N/A" indicates that there was no neutron monitor at that location.

(2) These dose equivalent values do not represent radiation exposure through an exterior wall directly into an unrestricted area.

(3) Deep indicates Deep Dose Equivalent, which applies to external whole-body exposure and is the dose equivalent at a tissue depth of 1 cm.

(4) Shallow indicates Shallow Dose Equivalent, and applies to external exposure of the skin or an extremity, and is taken as the dose equivalent at a tissue depth of 0.007 cm averaged over an area of 1 square cm.

Table 3-10

Total Dose Equivalent Recorded on TLD Environmental Monitors Around the NETL Reactor Facility 2001

Monitor I.D Reactor Facility Location Total Recorded Dose Equivalent (1) (mrem)

00156	Sidewalk, NETL Front Entrance	M
00157	NETL Power transformer	M
00158	NETL Roof stack	M
00159	Reactor bay exterior wall, East	30 (2)
00160	Reactor bay exterior wall, West	30 (2)
00161	NETL Service Door	M

(1) The total recorded dose equivalent values reported in mrem do not include natural background contribution and reflect the summation of the results of 12 monthly beta, x- and gamma ray or neutron dosimeters for each location. A total dose equivalent of "M" indicates that each of the dosimeters during the period was below the vendor's minimum measureable quantity of 10 mrem for x and gamma rays, 40 mrem for energetic betas, 20 mrem for fast neutrons, and 10 mrem for thermal neutron.

(2) X or gamma ray exposure. May be followed by an 'H' for energies greater than 250 keV effective or 'L' for energies less than 100 keV effective.

Table 3-11
Radiation Protection Program
Requirements and Frequencies

<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Radiation Protection Requirement</u>
Weekly	Gamma survey of all Restricted Areas. Swipe survey of all Restricted Areas. Swipe survey of Radioactive Materials Areas. Response check of the continuous air monitor. Response checks of the area radiation monitors. Neutron survey of the reactor bay (during reactor operation).
Monthly	Gamma, neutron and swipe surveys of exterior walls and roof. Exchange personnel dosimeters and interior area monitoring dosimeters. Review dosimetry reports. Response check emergency locker portable radiation measuring equipment. Review Radiation Work Permits. Response check of the argon monitor. Response check hand and foot monitor. Conduct background checks of low background alpha/beta counting system. Collect and analyze TRIGA primary water.
As Required	Process and record solid wastes and liquid effluent discharges. Prepare and record radioactive material shipments. Survey and record incoming radioactive materials. Perform and record special radiation surveys. Issue radiation work permits and provide health physics coverage for maintenance operations. Conduct orientations and training.
Quarterly	Exchange TLD environmental monitors. Gamma and swipe surveys of all non restricted areas. Swipe survey of building exterior areas. Calibrate area monitors in neutron generator room. Perform Chi-square test, and determine HV plateaus and detection efficiencies on the low background alpha/beta counting system.
Semi-Annual	Inventory emergency locker. Calibrate portable radiation monitoring instruments. Calibrate continuous air monitor, argon monitor, and area radiation monitors. Calibrate personnel pocket dosimeters. Leak test and inventory sealed sources.
Annual	Conduct ALARA Committee meeting. Conduct personnel refresher training. Calibrate emergency locker portable radiation detection equipment

3.8 Radiation Surveys

Radiation surveys of NETL work areas are shown in Table 3-12. Surveys with portable instruments and measurements of radioactive contamination are routine. Supplemental measurements are also made any time unusual conditions occur. Values in the table represent the result of routine measurements. Environmental monitoring at sample sites exterior to the building are generally done at random times or as a case by case evaluation.

Table 3-12

Annual Summary of Radiation and Contamination Levels Within the NETL Reactor Facility 2001

Accessible Location	Area Radiation Levels (mrem/hr)		Contamination Levels (dpm/11sq cm)	
	Avg.(1)	Max. (1)	Avg.	Max.
TRIGA Reactor Bay:				
Reactor Bay North	0.1	25	MDA	MDA
Reactor Bay South	<0.05	20 (3)	MDA	MDA
Reactor Bay East	<0.05	1	MDA	MDA
Reactor Bay West	3	15	MDA	MDA
Reactor Pool Deck (3rd Floor)	<0.05	10	MDA	MDA
NETL Facility:				
NAA Sample Processing (Rm 3.102)	0.1	7	MDA	MDA
NAA Sample Counting (Rm 3.112)	<0.05	0.1	MDA	MDA
Health Physics Laboratory	<0.05	0.5	MDA	MDA
NAA Laboratory (Rm 3.106)	<0.05	0.12	MDA	MDA

(1)Measurements made with Victoreen 450B and/or Bicorn Microrem portables survey meters in areas readily accessible to personnel.

(2)MDA for the G-5000 low level alpha-beta radiation counting system is 2.49 dpm/100cm² beta, and .58 dpm/100cm² alpha. Calculation of MDA based on NCRP Report #58.

(3)Water Treatment room at Ion exchanger.

3.9 Radioactive Effluents, Radioactive Waste

Radioactive effluents are releases to the air and to the sanitary sewer system. The most significant effluent is an airborne radionuclide, argon-41. Two other airborne radionuclides, nitrogen-16 and oxygen-19, decay rapidly and do not contribute to effluent releases. Argon-41, with a half-life of 109 minutes is the only airborne radionuclide emitted by the facility. A summary of the argon-41 releases are shown in Table 3-13. Total quantity of Ar-41 released in 1999 was 42.53% of the T.S. allowance. This is based on a conservative dilution factor. Evaluation of the radioactive gaseous effluent by the COMPLY code indicates the NETL is in compliance with dose limits to the public.

Table 3-13

Monthly Summary of Argon-41 Effluent Releases 2001 (1)			
Date of Discharge (Month, 2001)	Total Quantity of Ar-41 Released (microcuries)	Average Concentration of Ar-41 at Point of Release	Tech Spec. Percentage of Ar-41 Released
January	2.89E+05	1.73E-07	8.65%
February	1.15E+06	6.89E-07	34.46%
March	6.59E+05	3.95E-07	19.73%
April	2.88E+05	1.72E-07	8.61%
May	1.72E+06	1.03E-06	51.50%
June	2.54E+06	1.52E-06	75.90%
July	2.23E+06	1.34E-06	66.75%
August	1.03E+06	6.17E-07	30.86%
September	2.44E+06	1.46E-06	73.10%
October	1.86E+06	1.11E-06	55.60%
November	8.30E+05	4.97E-07	24.83%
December	2.02E+06	1.21E-06	60.40%
ANNUAL VALUE	1.70E+07	8.51E-07	42.53%
(1) Point of release is the roof exhaust stack. Concentration includes dilution factor of 0.2 for mixing with main exhaust.			
(2) Technical Specification limit for continuous release is 2.00E-6 microcuries/cubic cm.			

Releases to the sanitary sewer are done from waste hold up tanks at irregular intervals. To date, no releases have been made. The liquid radioactive waste tanks allow for segregation of liquids for decay of the activity. Liquids may also be processed on-site to concentrate the radionuclides into other forms prior to disposal. Liquid disposals are infrequent. There were no solid waste transfers off the NETL R-129 License in 2001.

Table 3-14

Monthly Summary of Solid Waste Transfers for Disposal and Liquid Effluent Releases to the Sanitary Sewer From the NETL Reactor Reactor Facility 2001

Date of Disposal / Discharge (Month, 2001)	Release Volume (cubis meters)	Total Activity (millicuries)	Total Activity Released (millicuries)
--	-------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------------

January	0	NONE	No Releases
February	0	NONE	No Releases
March	0	NONE	No Releases
April	0	NONE	No Releases
May	0	NONE	No Releases
June	0	NONE	No Releases
July	0	NONE	No Releases
August	0	NONE	No Releases
September	0	NONE	No Releases
October	0	NONE	No Releases
November	0	NONE	No Releases
December	0	NONE	No Releases