



Entergy Nuclear Northeast
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
James A. Fitzpatrick NPP
P.O. Box 110
Lycoming, NY 13093
Tel 315 349 6024 Fax 315 349 6480

March 27, 2002
JAFP-02-0070

T.A. Sullivan
Vice President, Operations-JAF

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Mail Station O-P1-17
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

SUBJECT: James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-333
License No. DPR-59

Proposed License Conditions Supporting the Implementation of Improved Standard Technical Specifications for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

References: see last page of letter

Dear Sir:

In the conversion to Improved Standard Technical Specifications, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (ENO) committed to relocate certain requirements from the Current Technical Specifications to licensee controlled documents as described in References 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. To make this commitment enforceable in accordance with an NRC request, the following license condition is requested to be issued.

The Licensee shall relocate certain Technical Specification requirements to ENO-controlled documents. Implementation of Amendment XXX shall include relocating these certain Technical Specification requirements to the appropriate documents, as described in Table LA – Removal of Details Matrix and Table R – Relocated Specifications, which are attached to the NRC's Safety Evaluation enclosed with Amendment XXX. However, the relocations to the UFSAR shall be reflected in updates completed in accordance with 10CFR 50.71(e).

As part of the overall conversion to the Improved Standard Technical Specifications, ENO is adopting numerous new and revised Surveillance Requirements (SRs). In order to facilitate implementation of these SRs, it is requested that a license condition be issued that defines the schedule for performance of the new and revised SRs during or after the implementation of the Improved Technical Specifications (ITS). The License Condition should read as follows:

The schedule for performing Surveillance Requirements (SRs) that are new or revised in Amendment XXX shall be as follows:

A001

1. For SRs that are new in this amendment, the first performance is due at the end of the first surveillance interval that begins on the date of implementation of this amendment.
2. For SRs that existed prior to this amendment whose intervals of performance are being reduced, the first reduced surveillance interval begins upon completion of the first surveillance performed after implementation of this amendment.
3. For SRs that existed prior to this amendment that have modified acceptance criteria, the first performance is due at the end of the first surveillance interval that began on the date the surveillance was last performed prior to the implementation of this amendment.
4. For SRs that existed prior to this amendment whose intervals of performance are being extended, the first extended surveillance interval begins upon completion of the last surveillance performed prior to implementation of this amendment.

In addition, license conditions 2.C.(4) and 2.C.(5) require certain programs to be implemented. In many Standard Technical Specification plants that were licensed after JAFNPP, these two programs are required by the Administrative Controls section of the plant's Technical Specifications, and are not included as a license condition. In the Improved Standard Technical Specifications (ISTS), the program required by license condition 2.C.(4) is maintained in ISTS Section 5.5, while the program required by license condition 2.C.(5) is not maintained in the ISTS (it is allowed to be relocated to plant controlled documents). These changes are described in the Discussion of Changes for ITS Section 5.5, in the JAFNPP ITS Submittal. Therefore, the two license conditions are not necessary and JAFNPP requests their deletion.

Should you have any questions, please contact Mr. Andy Halliday at (315) 349-6055.

Very truly yours,



T.A. Sullivan
Vice President, Operations-JAF

cc: last page

References:

1. NYPA letter, J. Knubel to USNRC Document Control Desk, Proposed Technical Specification (License Amendment) Conversion to Improved Technical Specifications (JPN-99-008), dated March 31, 1999 (TAC No. MA5049)
2. NYPA letter, J. Knubel to USNRC Document Control Desk, Revision B to Proposed Technical Specification Change (License Amendment) Conversion to Improved Standard Technical Specifications (JPN-99-018), dated June 1, 1999
3. NYPA letter, Michael J. Colomb to USNRC Document Control Desk, Revision C to Proposed Technical Specification Change (License Amendment) Conversion to Improved Standard Technical Specifications (JAFP-99-0278), dated June 1, 1999
4. ENO letter, T.A. Sullivan to USNRC Document Control Desk, Revisions D, E, F, G, and H to Proposed Technical Specification Change (License Amendment) Conversion to Improved Standard Technical Specifications (JAFP-01-0133), dated May 31, 2001
5. ENO letter, T.A. Sullivan to USNRC Document Control Desk, Revision I to Proposed Technical Specification Change (License Amendment) Conversion to Improved Standard Technical Specifications (JAFP-01-0234), dated October 18, 2001

cc:

Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. N. B. Le
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop O-7H3
Washington, DC 20555 P. O. Box 134

Mr. Guy Vissing, Project Manager
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop 8C2
Washington, DC 20555

Resident Inspector's Office
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 134
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. William M. Flynn
New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
Corporate Plaza West
286 Washington Avenue Extension
Albany, New York 12203-6399

Mr. Paul Eddy
NYS Department of Public Service
3 Empire Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Mr. William D. Beckner, Chief
Technical Specifications Branch
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop O-7H3
Washington, DC 20555