Clinton Power Station ATTN: Mr. Richard F. Phares Director - Licensing Post Office Box 678 Mail Code V920 Clinton, IL 61727 Distribution w/encl:Docket FilePUBLICPDIII-3 r/fOGCCCarpenterDPickettMRushbrookACRS (10)JRoeOPAEGreenman, RIIIEJordan, AEOD

SUBJECT: FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO CONVERSION TO THE IMPROVED STANDARD TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS - CLINTON POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. M88126)

Dear Mr. Phares:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This notice relates to your application dated October 26, 1993 (U-602196), for amendment to the Clinton Power Station Technical Specifications to implement the Improved Standard Technical Specifications for BWR/6 facilities (NUREG-1434).

The original of this notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Douglas V. Pickett

Douglas V. Pickett, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate III-3 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-461

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CLINTON\ISTS.EA

OFFICE	LA:PDIII-3	PM:PDĮJI-3	(A)D:PDIII-3	OGC
NAME	MRUSTBCOOK	DPickett:gll	CCarpenter ()	STurk 0
DATE	10/\\ /94	10/ \\/94	10/\/94	10/20/94

NEE FRE CENTER COPY



Clinton Power Station Illinois Power Company

cc:

Mr. J. G. Cook Vice President Clinton Power Station Post Office Box 678 Clinton, Illinois 61727

Mr. J. A. Miller Manager Nuclear Station Engineering Department Clinton Power Station Post Office Box 678 Clinton, Illinois 61727

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission RR#3, Box 229 A Clinton, Illinois 61727

Mr. R. T. Hill Licensing Services Manager General Electric Company 175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 481 San Jose, California 95125

Regional Administrator, Region III 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Chairman of DeWitt County c/o County Clerk's Office DeWitt County Courthouse Clinton, Illinois 61727

Mr. Robert Neumann Office of Public Counsel State of Illinois Center 100 W. Randolph, Suite 11-300 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Mr. J. W. Blattner Project Manager Sargent & Lundy Engineers 55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 Unit No. 1

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety Office of Nuclear Facility Safety 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, Illinois 62704

Mr. Richard F. Phares Director - Licensing Clinton Power Station P. O. Box 678 Mail Code V920 Clinton, Illinois 61727

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-461

NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-62, issued to Illinois Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Clinton Power Station, Unit 1 (CPS), located in Dewitt County, Illinois.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed amendment will replace the existing Technical Specifications (TS) in their entirety with the Improved Technical Specifications (ITS). The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's amendment request dated October 26, 1993.

The Need for the Proposed Action

It has been recognized that nuclear safety in all plants would benefit from improvement and standardization of TS. The "NRC Interim Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors," (FEDERAL REGISTER 52 FR 3788, February 6, 1987) and later the Final Policy Statement, formalized this need. To facilitate the development of individual ITS, each reactor vendor owners group (OG) and the NRC staff developed standard Technical Specifications. For General Electric (GE) plants, the standard TS (STS) are NUREG-1433 for BWR/4 reactor facilities and NUREG-1434 for BWR/6 facilities. NUREG-1434 formed the basis of the CPS ITS. The NRC Committee to Review Generic Requirements (CRGR) reviewed the STS and made note of the safety merits of the STS and indicated its support of conversion by operating plants to the STS.

Description of the Proposed Change

The proposed revision to the TS is based on NUREG-1434 and on guidance provided in the Policy Statement. Its objective is to completely rewrite, reformat, and streamline the existing TS. Emphasis is placed on human factors principles to improve clarity and understanding. The Bases section has been significantly expanded to clarify and better explain the purpose and foundation of each specification. In addition to NUREG-1434, portions of the existing TS were also used as the basis for the ITS. Plant-specific issues (unique design features, requirements, and operating practices) were discussed at length with the licensee, and generic matters with the GE and other OGs.

The proposed changes from the existing TS can be grouped into four general categories, as follows:

1. Non-technical (administrative) changes, which were intended to make the ITS easier to use for plant operations personnel. They are purely editorial in nature or involve the movement or reformat of requirements without affecting technical content. Every section of the CPS TS has undergone these types of changes. In order to ensure consistency, the NRC staff and the licensee have used NUREG-1434 as guidance to reformat and make other administrative changes.

2. Relocation of requirements, which includes items that were in the existing CPS TS but did not meet the criteria set forth in the Policy Statement for inclusion in TS. In general, the proposed relocation of items in the CPS TS to the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR), appropriate plant-

- 2 -

specific programs, procedures and ITS Bases follows the guidance of the BWR/6 STS, NUREG-1434. Once these items have been relocated by removing them from the TS to other licensee-controlled documents, the licensee may revise them under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 or other NRC staff-approved control mechanisms which provide appropriate procedural means to control changes.

3. More restrictive requirements, which consist of proposed CPS ITS items that are either more conservative than corresponding requirements in the existing CPS TS, or are additional restrictions which are not in the existing CPS TS but are contained in NUREG-1434. Examples of more restrictive requirements include: placing a Limiting Condition of Operation (LCO) on plant equipment, which is not required by the present TS to be operable; more restrictive requirements to restore inoperable equipment; and more restrictive surveillance requirements.

4. Less restrictive requirements, which are relaxations of corresponding requirements in the existing CPS TS which provided little or no safety benefit and placed unnecessary burden on the licensee. These relaxations were the result of generic NRC action or other analyses. They have been justified on a case-by-case basis for CPS as described in the Safety Evaluation to be issued with the license amendment, which will be noticed in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revision to the TS. Changes which are administrative in nature have been found to have no effect on technical content of the TS, and are acceptable. The increased clarity and understanding these changes bring to the TS are expected to improve the operator's control of the plant in normal and accident conditions.

- 3 -

Relocation of requirements to other licensee-controlled documents does not change the requirements themselves. Future changes to these requirements may be made by the licensee under 10 CFR 50.59 or other NRC-approved control mechanisms, which assures continued maintenance of adequate requirements. All such relocations have been found to be in conformance with the guidelines of NUREG-1434 and the Policy Statement, and, therefore, to be acceptable.

Changes involving more restrictive requirements have been found to be acceptable.

Changes involving less restrictive requirements have been reviewed individually. When requirements have been shown to provide little or no safety benefit or to place unnecessary burden on the licensee, their removal from the TS was justified. In most cases, relaxations previously granted to individual plants on a plant-specific basis were the result of a generic NRC action, or of agreements reached during discussions with the OG and found to be acceptable for CPS. Generic relaxations contained in NUREG-1434 have also been reviewed by the NRC staff and have been found to be acceptable.

In summary, the proposed revision to the TS was found to provide control of plant operations such that reasonable assurance will be provided that the health and safety of the public will be adequately protected.

These TS changes will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluent that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed TS amendment.

- 4 -

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed amendment involves features located entirely within the restricted areas as defined in 10 CFR 20. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed amendment, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to the amendment would be to deny the amendment request. Such action would not enhance the protection of the environment.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of resources not considered previously in the Final Environmental Statement for Clinton Power Station. Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff consulted with the State of Illinois regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to this proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 26, 1993. This letter is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120

- 5 -

L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room located at the Vespasian Warner Public Library, 120 West Johnson Street, Clinton, Illinois 61727.

•

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this day of November 1994.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

٠,

Cynthia a Carponter

Cynthia A. Carpenter, Acting Director Project Directorate III-3 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation