| Facility: | TNOIA~ POINT 3 Date of Examination | 1: 3-16-00 | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Examination | ons Developed by: Facility / (NRC circle one) | , , | | | | | | |
| Target Date* | Task Description / Reference | Chief Examiner's Initials | | | | | | |
| -180 | Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b) | SO | | | | | | |
| -120 | 2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e) | SO | | | | | | |
| -120 | 3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c) | SO | | | | | | |
| -120 | 4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d) | SO | | | | | | |
| [-90] | [5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)] | SD | | | | | | |
| -75 | 6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d) | NA | | | | | | |
| -70 | 7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e) | | | | | | | |
| -45 | 8. Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d) | 06 | | | | | | |
| -30 | 9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202) | 0/3 | | | | | | |
| -14 | 10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared (C.1.l; C.2.g; ES-202) | 83 | | | | | | |
| -14 | 11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f) | 6B | | | | | | |
| -14 | 12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g) | No | | | | | | |
| -7 | 13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h) | 613 | | | | | | |
| -7 | 14. Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver-letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204) | 6]B | | | | | | |
| -7 | 15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k) | 6/3 | | | | | | |
| -7 | 16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) | 93 | | | | | | |
| Th wit | rget dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate not ey are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basi h the facility licensee. plies only to examinations prepared by the NRC. | tification letter. s in coordination | | | | | | |

Examination Outline Quality Checklist

| Facility | y: Indian Point 3 Date of Examination: March 19 - 30, 2001 | | | |
|-------------|--|----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Item | Task Description | | Initials | S |
| | , and a second s | а | b* | С |
| 1. | a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401. | 50 | na | 12 |
| W R I | Item Task Description a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401. b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.T. of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled. c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive. 2. a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients. b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected orew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days. c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D. a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks. b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, 1/2 (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3)* or tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, 1/2 (4) no in-plant task tests the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA. c. Verify that t | | na | 13 |
| Т | c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics. | 50 | na | 1 |
| | d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive. | 59 | na | 13 |
| | | W | na | 10 |
| | mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, | A | na | B |
| | | p | na | 10 |
| w / | (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and | Ju | na | li |
| | (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (4) | 1 | na | 10 |
| | | 1 | na | 13 |
| | | 1 | na | 13 |
| | | SD | na | 13 |
| E | b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate. | 50 | na | 13 |
| N E | c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5. | 50 | na | B |
| R | d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections. | 50 | na | 18 |
| ĵ [| e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage. | 50 | na | 13 |
| | f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO). | 50 | na | 1 |
| a. Auth | Printed Name Signature | 2 | Date /2- | |
| c. Chie | ef Examiner C Supervisor PAUL BISSETT/ TIM Jun W RICH CONTE | <u>Z</u> | 1. [1.4] 1.34] | 701 01 |
| (*) Not | applicable for NRC-developed examinations. | | | |

| Facility: Indian Point 3 | Date of Examination: March 19 -30, 2001 | Operating | Test N | Numbe | r: 1 |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------------|
| | 4 CENEDAL CDITEDIA | | | Initial | s |
| | I. GENERAL GRITERIA | | а | b | С |
| a. The operating test confo | orms with the previously approved outline; changes are consister (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function dist | nt with ribution). | 1 | na | R |
| | The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10,CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a). Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. 2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B)-CRITERIA Each-JPM includes the following; as applicable: initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. 3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached. | | 9 | na | 1 |
| c. The operating test shall | The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10,CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a). Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. 2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B)-CRITERIA Each JPM includes the following; as applicable: initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cless statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and de not compromise test integrity. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. 3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached. Printed Name / Signature JOE D'ANTONIO! N/A PAUL BISSETT! | | 1 | na | 13 |
| d. Overlap with the written | The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10.CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a). Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. 2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY & B) CRITERIA Each-JPM includes the following; as applicable. initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment of ES-301. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. 3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4, and a copy is attached. | | 1 | na | 13 |
| | | petent | | na | 3 |
| 2. WAL | K-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA | | | | |
| | | | | na | |
| initiating cues references and tools, validated time limits (a to be time critical by th specific performance detailed expecte system response statements desc criteria for succe identification of ce | average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deficility licensee criteria that include: ed actions with exact criteria and nomenclature e and other examiner cuestribing important observations to be made by the applicant essful completion of the task critical steps and their associated performance standards | eemed | 1 | | B |
| b. The prescripted question in Attachment 1 of ES-3 | ns in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet th | e criteria | P | na | 1 |
| c. Repetition from operatin acceptable limits (30% f | ng tests used during the previous licensing examination is within for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity. | | 9 | na | 1 |
| d. At least 20 percent of th | ne JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. | | 1 | na | 13 |
| 3. | SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA | | | | |
| a. The associated simulator | of operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordar | nce with | | na | B |
| | Printed Name / Signature | | | Date | |
| a. Author | JOE D'ANTONIO! / Mulhouis | | -Z | 113/5 | 2/ |
| b. Facility Reviewer(*) | N/A DOLD | | | /_ | / |
| c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) | PAUL BISSETTI TOTAL | <u></u> | 4 | 15/ | <u>9/</u> |
| d. NRC Supervisor (*) | RICH CONTE/ ON CONTE/ | | 3 | 1:3/ | 10) |
| (*) The facility signature is not app | plicable for NRC-developed tests; two independent NRC reviews | are require | ∍d | | |

| Facility | y: INDIAN POINT 3 Date of Exam:3/19-30/01 Scenario Num | bers: // 2/3 Oper | rating T | est No | o.: [| | | | | |
|----------|---|---------------------|----------|---------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES | | | Initial | s | | | | | |
| | | | а | b | С | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrument service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events. | ation may be out of | 1 | NA | B | | | | | |
| 2. | The scenarios consist mostly of related events. | | 2 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 3. | Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) | | 9 | NA | B | | | | | |
| 4. | No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. | into the scenario | N | MA | B | | | | | |
| 5. | The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics. | l | N | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 6. | Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. | team to obțain | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | The simulator modeling is not altered. | : | 1 | NA | 12 | | | | | |
| 9. | The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance defici- evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the pl | | | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 10. | Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modi other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-30 | fied scenario. All | 1 | NA | 0 | | | | | |
| 11. | All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using For the form along with the simulator scenarios). | m ES-301-6 (submit | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 12. | Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transi specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios). | ents and events | | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 13. | The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each c | rew position. | | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| TARG | SET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D) | Actual Attributes | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Total malfunctions (5-8) | 10,5,8 | 1 | | 3 | | | | | |
| 2. | Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) | 61111 | 1 | | 13 | | | | | |
| 3. | Abnormal events (2-4) | 3,3,4 | 1 | | 13 | | | | | |
| 4. | Major transients (1-2) | 2,1,3 | 1 | | 12 | | | | | |
| 5. | EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2) | 3,1,1 | N | | 13 | | | | | |
| 6. | EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2) | 11111 | 1 | | 8 | | | | | |
| 7. | Critical tasks (2-3) | 4,3,3 | | | 13 | | | | | |

| Facility | y: INDIAN POINT 3 Date of Exam: 3/19-30/01 Scenario Num | bers:4/5/ Oper | rating T | est No | .: 1 | | | | | |
|----------|--|---------------------|----------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES | | | Initial | S | | | | | |
| | | | а | b | С | | | | | |
| 1. | The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrument service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events. | ation may be out of | 0 | NA | B | | | | | |
| 2. | The scenarios consist mostly of related events. | | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 3. | | 1 | NA | B | | | | | | |
| 4. | the event termination point (if applicable) 4. No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics. | | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | The state of the s | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | The simulator modeling is not altered. | | 1 | NA | 12 | | | | | |
| 9. | The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiency evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the plants. | | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 10. | Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modi other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-30 | fied scenario. All | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 11. | All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using For the form along with the simulator scenarios). | n ES-301-6 (submit | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 12. | Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transi specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios). | ents and events | 1 | NA | 13 | | | | | |
| 13. | The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each continuous | ew position. | 1 | NA | 10 | | | | | |
| TARG | SET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D) | Actual Attributes | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Total malfunctions (5-8) | 61111 | 1 | | 13 | | | | | |
| 2. | Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) | 21 31 | N | | 13 | | | | | |
| 3. | Abnormal events (2-4) | 4141 | 1 | | 10 | | | | | |
| 4. | Major transients (1-2) | 1,21 | N | | 1 | | | | | |
| 5. | EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2) | 2111 | 1 | | 0 | | | | | |
| 6. | EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2) | 2111 | 10 | | 12 | | | | | |
| 7. | Critical tasks (2-3) | 2111 | | | 1 | | | | | |

OPERATING TEST NO.:

| | Applicant Type | Evolution Type | Minimum Number | s | cenari | o Num | ber |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-----|
| | Type | Type | Mariber | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | Reactivity | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | |
| | | Normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | RO | Instrument / Component | 2 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Major | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| l | | D #: #. | 4 | | | | , y |
| 1 | | Reactivity | 1 | <i>(</i> -1) | | | - 1 |
| | | Normal | 0 | | | | / |
| * | As RO | Instrument / Component | 4 1 2 | K.ZL | | | 4 |
| | | Major | 1 | | | | / |
| | SRO-I | A | | | | | |
| | Jan Jan | Reactivity | 0 |) | 1 | | |
| | ed Stanie | Normal | 1 | | - 1 | | |
| | As SRO | Instrument / Component | 1 1 2 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | 1 | Major - | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Reactivity | | J | / | - 1 | |
| | | Normal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | SRO-U | Instrument / Component | 4 1 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| - 1 | | | | 7 | • | | |

| Inctr | uctions |
|-------|---------|

- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. (1)
- (2)
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

 Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Major

NUREG-1021, Revision 8

26 of 26

June 2000

| | | | | | Applicant #2 | | | | Applicant #3 | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|---|------------|---------------|-----|---|---|--|--|
| | | | cant #′)-I/SR(| | | | | #2 RO-U | ROSRO-I/SRO-U | | | | | |
| Competencies | | | NARIC | | RZ: | | | | K3 SCENARIO | | | | | |
| | 1 | | 3- | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms | 3,4 | 23 | | | 2,3 | | | | 3,4 | | | | | |
| Diagnose Events and Conditions | 3,6 | 4,5 | | | 5,6 | 2,7 | 4 | | 3,6 | 4,5 | | | | |
| Understand Plant and System Response | 4,4 | 5/4 | | | 5,4 | 6,9 | 4 | | 4,6 | 54 | | | | |
| Comply With and Use Procedures (1) | 1,5 | 2,3 | | | 1,2 | 1,5 | | | 1,5 | 2,3 | | | | |
| Operate Control Boards (2) | 1,5 | 1 | | | 1 | 1,5 | | | 1,5 | 1 | | | | |
| Communicate and Interact With the Crew | All | All | | | A11 | A 11 | | | All | AII | | | | |
| Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3) | | Ō | | | _ | 1 | | | _ | | | | | |
| Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3) | + | | | Salas services | | | | · | - | | | | | |

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

| | (R) | | | | | |)-I/SF | NO-U | Applicant #3 ROJSRO-I/SRO-U | | | | |
|--|-----|---------------|----|---|-----|-----------|--------|------|-----------------------------|-----|---|---|--|
| Competencies | 8 | 2 - | | 4 | 1 | SCEN 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms | 2,3 | | | | 3,4 | 2,3 | | | 2,3 | 2,4 | | | |
| Diagnose Events and Conditions | 5,6 | 2,7 | | | 3,6 | 4,5 | 4 | | 5,6 | 47 | | | |
| Understand Plant and System Response | 5,6 | 6,9 | | | 4,6 | 5/4 | | | 5,6 | 6,9 | | | |
| Comply With and Use Procedures (1) | 1,2 | 1,5 | ,, | | 1,5 | 2,3 | | | 1, 2 | 1,5 | | | |
| Operate Control Boards (2) | 1 | 45 | | | 1,5 | J | | | 1 | 1,5 | | | |
| Communicate and Interact With the Crew | A11 | 'A11 | | | AII | AII | | | All | AII | | | |
| Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3) | | - | | | | | | | · | | | | |
| Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3) | | a South Siles | | | Å: | | | · | | | | | |

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: J. TANtonippo
Chief Examiner: DA Passeut

| Competencies | (RQ) | | | | | SRC | cant # 0-I/SF NARI | NO-U | Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U | | | | | |
|--|------|-------------------|---|---|-----|-----|--------------------------|------|-----------------------------|---|-----|-----|--|--|
| Competencies | 1 | 2 - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms | 3,4 | 213 | | | 2,3 | z,6 | | | | | 4,6 | 35 | | |
| Diagnose Events and Conditions | 3,6 | 4,5 | | | 5,4 | 2,7 | d | | - | | 3,4 | 3,6 | | |
| Understand Plantand System Response | 46 | 5,4 | | | 5,6 | 6,9 | A gas | | | | 3,5 | 7,8 | | |
| Comply With and Use Procedures (1) | 1,5 | 2,3 | | | 1,2 | 1,5 | | | | | 1,2 | 6 | | |
| Operate Control Boards (2) | 1,5 | 1 | | | 1 | 1,5 | | | | | 2 | 2 | | |
| Communicate and Interact With the Crew | AII | AII | | | AII | All | | | | | AII | AII | | |
| Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3) | _ | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3) | | na hti sa tiku na | | | J: | | | | | | | - | | |

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

| Author: | J. DAntonio/fo |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Chief Examiner: | AH GUS EN |

| | | | | | | | ant # | #2 RO-U | Applicant #3 RO/SRO-VSRO-U | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----|-------|------------|--------------|-----|-------|------------|-------------------------------|------|---|-----|--|
| Competencies | R010 | SCE | VARIC |) | 5-1 SCENARIO | | | 5-2 | SCE | IARI | 0 | | |
| | 1_ | 2 - | 3- | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms | | | 7 | 5 | 2,6 | 3,4 | | 3 <i>5</i> | 2,6 | 3,4 | | 3,5 | |
| Diagnose Events and Conditions | | | 4 | 3,4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 34 | 3 | 5 | | 3,4 | |
| Understand Plant and System Response | 1 | | 36 | 43 | 2,34 | 34 | | 6 | 2,3,4 | 3,6 | | Ļ | |
| Comply With and Use Procedures (1) | ANTEN X | | 2,5 | 1,4 | 4,5 | 2,5 | | 1 | 4,5 | 2,5 | | -1 | |
| Operate Control Boards (2) | | ìA | 2 | 2 | NA | ΝA | | 2 | NA | νa | | 2 | |
| Communicate and Interact With the Crew | | | AII | All | All | AIl | | AII | AII | AII | | All | |
| Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3) | | | _ | <u>-</u> - | 49 | 5,6 | | NA | 1,9 | 5,6 | | NA | |
| Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3) | sifes, called | _ | | 4 | 5 | 3,5 | | NA | 5 | 3,5 | | NA | |

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: J. D. Antonio (v)
Chief Examiner: DW Susta

| | Applicant #1 RO(SRO-)/SRO-U 5-3 SCENARIO | | | RO | Applicant #2 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U) | | | Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U SCENARIO | | | | |
|--|--|-----|------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|-----|--|---|---|---|---|
| Competencies | 5-3 | 2 · | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms | 2,6 | | | 35 | 2,6 | 3,4 | 3 | | | | | |
| Diagnose Events and Conditions | 3 | 5 | | 3,4 | 3 | 5 | 4,4 | | | | | |
| Understand Plant and System Response | 2,3,4 | 3.4 | | Q | 2,34 | 3,4 | 4 | | | | | |
| Comply With and Use Procedures (1) | 4,5 | 1,5 | | 1 | 4,5 | 2,5 | 1,7 | | | | | |
| Operate Control Boards (2) | JΑ | NĄ | | 2 | NA | NA | NA | | | | | |
| Communicate and Interact With the Crew | AII | AII |) to - | AII | AII | AII | AII | | | | | |
| Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3) | 1,9 | 5,6 | | NA | 1,9 | 5,6 | 2,7 | | | | | |
| Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3) | 5 | 3,5 | | N,A | 5 | 3,5 | 3 | | | | | |

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: J. D. Antonio fine Chief Examiner: DIH Puss Sur 1

| Facility: | INDIAN POINT 3 Date of Exa | Exam L | evel: R | O/SRO | | | | |
|--|--|---------|----------|---------------------|----|----------|----|--------|
| | | T | Initial | | | | | |
| | Item Description | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Questions and answers technically accurate and | a ≤0 | b* NA | c# | | | | |
| 2. | a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available. | So | NA NA | 13 | | | | |
| 3. | RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401 | | | | | | | 13 |
| 4. | Question selection and duplication from the last t appears consistent with a systematic sampling pr | 1 d 1 | | 13 | | | | |
| 5. | Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (explain) | | | | | | | B |
| 6. | Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right | Bank | | Modified New 8 3432 | | SD SD | NA | 13 |
| 7. | Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right | Memo | ory C/A | | SD | NA | 13 | |
| 8. | References/handouts provided do not give away | answers | | | | 50 | NA | 13 |
| Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified | | | | | | | NA | 13 |
| 10. | Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines | | | | | | NA | 3 |
| 11. | The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet | | | | | | NA | B |
| b. Facilit c. NRC d. NRC | a. AuthorSTEVE DENNIS/ | | | | | | | te -01 |
| Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required. # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 4, 5, 6, and 9. | | | | | | | | tnet |

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

42 of 46

| Facility: | r: INDIAN POINT 3 Date of Exam: MARCH 17, 2001 Exam Level: ROSRO | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|-----|--------|------|-------------|-----------|-------|--|
| | | | | | | | Initial | | |
| | Item Description | а | b* | c# | | | | | |
| 1. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | 3 | |
| 2. | a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available. | ilable | | | | 50 | NA | 13 | |
| 3. | | | | | | | | 8 | |
| 4. | Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process | | | | | | | B | |
| 5. | Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (explain) | | | | | | | 13 | |
| 6. | Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 | Bank | Mod | lified | New | SD | NA | | |
| | percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right | 9661 | 9 | | 3/30 | 50 | | B | |
| 7. | Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on | Memo | ory | | C/A | | NA | | |
| | the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right | JB 40 | 6_ | 58 54 | | 50 | | 10 | |
| 8. | References/handouts provided do not give away | answers | | | | 59 | NÄ | 10 | |
| Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified | | | | | | | NA | B | |
| 10. | Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines | | | | | | | 13 | |
| 11. | The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet | | | | | | | B | |
| Prin je d Name / Ṣi͡gnature | | | | | | | Da | ate | |
| a. AuthorSTEVE DENNIS/ | | | | | | | 2-12-01 | | |
| b. Facility Reviewer(*) NA NOC Chief From in a (*) NA | | | | | | | - 3/12/01 | | |
| c. NRC Chief Examiner(*) _PAUL BISSETT/ | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| The regional supervisor ()_restrict Solve E | | | | | | | 244 | גענ ב | |
| Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required. # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 4, 5, 6, and 9. | | | | | | | | | |

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

42 of 46

| Facilit | y: Date of Exam: | Exam L | evel: R | O/SRO | | | | |
|--|---|----------|---------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Initials | | | | | | |
| | Item Description | а | Cp | Ь | | | | |
| 1. | Clean answer sheets copied before grading | 50 | 17 | NA | | | | |
| 2. | Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented | 50 | 15 | - WA | | | | |
| 3. | Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations) | 90 | 1 | -NA | | | | |
| 4. | Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail | NA | NA | NA | | | | |
| 5. | All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified | ΝA | NA | NA | | | | |
| 6. | Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants | 50 | B | NA | | | | |
| Printed Name / Signature Date a. Grader AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAAA AAAA AAAA | | | | | | | | |
| b. Facility Reviewer(*) C. NRC Chief Examiner (*) PAUL BISSETT/ M/Burton 4/12/01 d. NRC Supervisor (*) * Pickerd T Conte 60 Conty 4/27/01 * reviewed on license issue date 4/19/01 | | | | | | | | |
| (*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required. | | | | | | | | |