

April 8, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Robert D. Starkey, Project Manager, Section 2 /RA/  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI), SEABROOK  
NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT NO. 1, CONCERNING PROPOSED  
RELIEF REQUESTS FOR ASME SECTION XI REQUIREMENTS  
(TAC NO. MB2561)

The attached RAI was transmitted by e-mail on March 14, 2002, to Mr. Mike O'Keefe of North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (the licensee). The RAI was transmitted to facilitate the technical review being conducted by NRR and to support a conference call with the licensee to discuss the RAI. The RAI was related to the licensee's submittal dated July 27, 2001, concerning proposed relief requests from ASME Section XI requirements. This memorandum and the attachment do not convey or represent an NRC staff position regarding the licensee's request.

Docket Nos. 50-293

Attachment: Request for Additional Information, Seabrook Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1  
Proposed Relief Requests for ASME Section XI Requirements

April 8, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Robert D. Starkey, Project Manager, Section 2 /RA/  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI), SEABROOK  
NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT NO. 1, CONCERNING PROPOSED  
RELIEF REQUESTS FOR ASME SECTION XI REQUIREMENTS  
(TAC NO. MB2561)

The attached RAI was transmitted by e-mail on March 14, 2002, to Mr. Mike O'Keefe of North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (the licensee). The RAI was transmitted to facilitate the technical review being conducted by NRR and to support a conference call with the licensee to discuss the RAI. The RAI was related to the licensee's submittal dated July 27, 2001, concerning proposed relief requests from ASME Section XI requirements. This memorandum and the attachment do not convey or represent an NRC staff position regarding the licensee's request.

Docket Nos. 50-293

Attachment: Request for Additional Information, Seabrook Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1  
Proposed Relief Requests for ASME Section XI Requirements

DISTRIBUTION

PUBLIC

J. Clifford

D. Starkey

A. Keim

PDI-2 Reading

Accession No.: ML020980289

OFFICE	PDI-2/PM
NAME	DStarkey
DATE	4/8/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

**REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
SEABROOK NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT NO. 1  
PROPOSED RELIEF REQUESTS FOR ASME SECTION XI REQUIREMENTS**

With reference to the submittal dated July 27, 2001, please provide the following information regarding Relief Request IR-12, Revision 0 (Category F-A, Item No. F1.40 portion of the relief request)

1. Please provide a representative drawing of the pressurizer/pressurizer lugs and the associated support(s).
2. Please Identify the associated supports (component identification), identify type of support and number of supports.
3. The VT-3 examination of the supports is to identify conditions such as clearances, settings, physical displacements, loose or missing parts, debris, corrosion, wear, erosion, or loss of integrity. The licensee proposes to not examine these supports but provides no justification that there is reasonable assurance of structural integrity.
  1. Are similar supports in a similar environment being examined?
  2. What is the justification that the supports have maintained proper clearances, settings, displacements, and the overall conditions are acceptable?
4. IWA-2213(c) states that the visual examination can be done remotely to verify the structural integrity. Please address whether a remote examination was considered (insulation).