Memorandum

QA: QA

DATE:

MAR 25 2002

REPLY TO:

ATTN OF: RW-3 (Ram Murthy/4-1460)

SUBJECT:

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND CLOSURE OF DEFICIENCY

REPORT (DR) YMSCO-02-D-033

TO: YMSCO/OL&RC (Stephan Brocoum)

The Office of Quality Assurance staff has evaluated the corrective actions of DR YMSCO-02-D-033 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the DR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either James Blaylock at (702) 794-1420 or Dennis C. Threatt at (702) 794-1495.

OQA:JB-0837

Enclosure:

DR YMSCO-02-D-033

Ram Murthy, Acting Director
Office of Quality Assurance

NMSSOT. WM-11 Add: Melissa Wyatt

cc w/encl:

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD

Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

Engelbrecht von Tiesenhausen, Clark County, Las Vegas, NV

-2-

D. L. Kirby, MTS, Las Vegas, NV

W. J. Glasser, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

D. C. Threatt, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

W. J. Boyle, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

J. R. Dyer, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

C. E. Hampton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Horton, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

J. M. Replogle, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

B. M. Terrell, DOE/YMSCO, Las Vegas, NV

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

ORIGINAL
8. DEFICIENCY REPORT
CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT
NO. YMSCO-02-D-033
PAGE 1 OF 1

DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT 1. Controlling Document: 2. Related Report No.: N/A 3. Responsible Organization: 4. Discussed With: Bill Boyle 5. Requirement: Section 5.3.1d) requires the Review Coordinator to forward a comment resolution package to the Document Reviewers acceptance of the comment resolutions and concurrence with the modified document. Section 5.3.2e) requires the Document Reviewer to complete the concurrence block of the Review Record when respons mandatory comments have been accepted and the modified document is acceptable. 6. Description of Condition: Contrary to the above, an AP-6.28Q review was conducted of the SSPA, Volume I and 2, and some of the reviewers on the final version of the document. 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Debb	QA: X QA
Controlling Document: AP-6.28Q, Rev. 0, BSCN 1, Document Review 4. Discussed With: N/A	11/27/01
AP-6.28Q, Rev. 0, BSCN 1, Document Review 3. Responsible Organization: YMSCO Shequirement: Section 5.3.1d) requires the Review Coordinator to forward a comment resolution package to the Document Reviewers acceptance of the comment resolutions and concurrence with the modified document. Section 5.3.2e) requires the Document Reviewer to complete the concurrence block of the Review Record when responmentatory comments have been accepted and the modified document is acceptable. 6. Description of Condition: Contrary to the above, an AP-6.28Q review was conducted of the SSPA, Volume 1 and 2, and some of the reviewers don the final version of the document. 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Debbie K	
A. Discussed With: Bill Boyle Section 5.3.1d) requires the Review Coordinator to forward a comment resolution package to the Document Reviewers acceptance of the comment resolutions and concurrence with the modified document. Section 5.3.2e) requires the Document Reviewer to complete the concurrence block of the Review Record when responsandatory comments have been accepted and the modified document is acceptable. 6. Description of Condition: Contrary to the above, an AP-6.28Q review was conducted of the SSPA, Volume 1 and 2, and some of the reviewers don the final version of the document. 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby D	
Bill Boyle	
Section 5.3.1d) requires the Review Coordinator to forward a comment resolution package to the Document Reviewers acceptance of the comment resolutions and concurrence with the modified document. Section 5.3.2e) requires the Document Reviewer to complete the concurrence block of the Review Record when responsing to the accepted and the modified document is acceptable. 3. Description of Condition: Contrary to the above, an AP-6.28Q review was conducted of the SSPA, Volume I and 2, and some of the reviewers don the final version of the document. 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Date: 11 36 01 9. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for large to the final version of the document.) 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby 11 36 01 9. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for large to the final version of the document.) 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby 11 36 01 9. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for large to the final version of the document.) 8. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for large to the final version of the document.) 8. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for large to the final version of the document.) 9. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for large to the final version of the document.) 10 2 2 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4	
Section 5.3.2e) requires the Document Reviewer to complete the concurrence block of the Review Record when responsion and accepted and the modified document is acceptable. 5. Description of Condition: Contrary to the above, an AP-6.28Q review was conducted of the SSPA, Volume 1 and 2, and some of the reviewers don the final version of the document. 7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirb	
7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby 11. QA Review: QAR ARA Arath Date (1/28/01 12. Response Due Date: 10. Working days from issuance 11. QA Review: QAR Arath Date (1/28/01 12. Response Due Date: 10. Working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Deboie Kirby Date 11. QA Review: QAR DATE A DATE A DATE	es to
7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Date 11 26 01 9. Does a stop work condition exist? (Not required for Yes No If Yes, Check One: A B C C 10. Recommended Actions: None 11. QA Review: QAR Date 12. Response Due Date: 10. working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
7. Initiator: Debbie Kirby	d not concu
Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie	<u>.</u>
Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Common De	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Debbi	
Debbie Kirby Debbie College Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debb	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Common De	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Common De	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Common De	
Debbie Kirby Debbie Classification Debbie Kirby Debbie	a DR)
10. Recommended Actions: None 11. QA Review: QAR Januar Thurst Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	_
11. QA Review: QAR Date 1/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:] D
11. QA Review: QAR Service Date 11/28/01 12. Response Due Date: 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
11. QA Review: QAR One of the state of the	
QAR January Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
QAR January Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
QAR Denne Thus Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
QAR Danier Threat Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
QAR Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
QAR Date 11/28/01 10 working days from issuance 13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
13. DOQA Issuance Approval:	
Signature James Blandon p Date 12/	3/01
Printed Name Robert D. Davis Olgitation Approved by	- , .
22. Corrective Actions Verified 23. Closure Approved by: Date 3/21/2002 DOQA James Blankout in Date 3/	25/02
QARLenner Theat Date 3/21/2002 DOQA James Blanford on Date 3/	ev. 12/20/19
Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1	.U4. (2/20/10

	((
TYPE RESPONSE: Initial Complete Amended		OFFICE OF C CTIVE WASTE DEPARTMENT WASHINGTO	MANAGEMENT OF ENERGY	DR/CAR NO. YMSCO-02-D-033 PAGE 1 OF 1 QA: X CRA DEC 12412/01
DEFI	CIENCY/COR	RECTIVE ACTI	ON REPORT (RES	SPONSE)
14a. Immediate Actions:				
None				
			•	
Compliance Date: N/A				
14. Remedial Actions:				
The YDARs for the SSPA, Vol accepted if no comments are ge remedial action is necessary.	tume 1 and 2, indic enerated. Since bot	ate that the document the of these document	nts were accepted. AP-7. s were ultimately accepte	5Q states that the deliverable is ed through the AP-7.5Q process, no
15. Extent of Condition:				
Each organization within DOE documents since the beginning	will be questioned of FY 01. The res	I to determine wheth sults will be provided	er there were any other A l in the complete respons	AP-6.28Q reviews conducted on Q e.
16. Cause: (Attach results of roo	ot cause deteminatio	n prepared in accord	ance with AP-16.4Q for a	significant deficiency.)
It is not clear in AP-6.28Q that comments.	t concurrences have	e to be obtained fron	all reviewers, including	the reviewers that did not have any
17. Action to Preclude Recurren	ice:			
Revise AP-6.28Q to clarify that	t concurrences are	required from all re-	viewers.	
18. Due Date: 01/31/02 For submittal of complete	ŀ	19. Response by:	(). Twee	_
For submittal of complete		17,797	Date 13	12/01 Phone 4-1359

21. Concurrence:

Reject

Date 1-10-02

QAR Wenn Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1

20. Evaluation: Accept Partially Accept

QAR Venus Truck Date

TYPE RESPONSE:			
	OFFICE OF	CIVILIAN	DR/CAR NO. YMSCO-02-D-033
Initial	RADIOACTIVE WAS	=	PAGE 1 OF 1
Complete	U.S. DEPARTME		QA: X QA
Amended	WASHING		DEO 1/31/02
	, AAASHIIAG	ON, D.C.	
DEFICIE	NCY/CORRECTIVE AC	TION REPORT (RE	SPONSE)
14a. Immediate Actions:			
None			
Compliance Date: N/A			
14. Remedial Actions:			
The YDARs for the SSPA, Volume	1 and 2, indicate that the docu	ments were accepted. AP-7	7.5Q states that the deliverable is
accepted if no comments are general remedial action is necessary.	ated. Since both of these docum	ents were ultimately accept	ted through the AP-7.5Q process, no
_			
Based on the input received from th	ne DOE line organizations, ther	e were no other AP-6.28Q	reviews completed since the beginning
of FY 01. Therefore, no other reme	edial actions are necessary.		
15. Extent of Condition:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
red consisting por with	l to a consideration of the determination of	athan than area and athan	AR 6.280 ravious conducted on O
documents since the beginning of F	i be questioned to determine wife to the proving the p	ided in the complete respon	AP-6.28Q reviews conducted on Q see. Oure Drovided!
in Block 14. 573 1/3		•	,
	•		
16. Cause: (Attach results of root car	use detemination prepared in acc	cordance with AP-16.4Q for	a significant deficiency.)
It is not clear in AP-6.28Q that concomments.	icurrences have to be obtained i	rom all reviewers, includin	g the reviewers that did not have any
Comments.			
17. Action to Preclude Recurrence:			
Revise AP-6.28Q to clarify that con	ncurrences are required from al	l reviewers.	
1.00.007.11 0.20 2 00 0.00.07 1.00.00			
			•
2/1/02	40. Beeneges by	S. Brocoum	
18. Due Date: 3/1/02 For submittal of complete res	19. Response by	3. Diocouiii	
	Atank	Sun oil	12/2002 phone 794-12.00
	1	Date 01	18/2002 Phone 794-1359
20. Evaluation: Accept	Partially Accept Reject		laylorky Date 2/1/02 Rev. 12/20/199
QAR James Marest	T Date 1/31/2002	DOOA James B	Langerth Date 2/1/02
Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1			Rev. 12/20/199

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

Stop	AR Work Order
NO. YMS	SCO-02-D-033
PAGE	OF COA
	QA: LQA

DEFICIENCY/CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT/STOP WORK ORDER CONTINUATION PAGE

The following documents were reviewed to verify completion of corrective action for this DR:

060 3/22/02

The YDAR's for the SSPA, Volume 1 and Volume 2, were reviewed and indicated that the documents were accepted.

An email was sent from Don Horton to Responsible Individuals on 1/08/2002 requesting input on documents which had undergone AP-6.28Q reviews since 10/1/2000. Based on the responses received, no other Q-designated documents received AP-6.28Q reviews.

AP-6.28Q was revised (Rev. 0, ICN 01) effective 3/8/2002 to clarify that concurrences are required from all reviewers.

Based on the objective evidence reviewed, it was determined that the corrective action was satisfactorily completed. Therefore, it is recommended that this DR be closed.

Dennis Threatt, QAR

Date