South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

April 2, 2002 NOC-AE-02001297 File No.: G25 10CFR50.90

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk 1 White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville. MD 20852

> South Texas Project Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. STN 50-498, STN 50-499

Revised Addendum to Proposed Amendment to Technical Specification 6.8.3.j

References:

- 1) Letter, J. J. Sheppard to NRC Document Control Desk, "Proposed Amendment to Technical Specification 6.8.3.j for a Change in 10CFR50, Appendix J, Integrated Leakage Rate Test Interval," August 2, 2001 (NOC-AE-01001115)
- 2) Letter, Anthony Pietrangelo, "One-time Extensions of Containment Integrated Leak Rate Interval," Nuclear Energy Institute, November 13, 2001
- 3) Letter, T. J. Jordan (for J. J. Sheppard) to NRC Document Control Desk, "Addendum to Proposed Amendment to Technical Specification 6.8.3.j for a Change in 10CFR50, Appendix J, Integrated Leakage Rate Test Interval," March 6, 2002 (NOC-AE-02001275)

The South Texas Project previously requested (Reference 1) NRC review and approval of an amendment to the Technical Specifications to allow a one-time extension of the interval between containment integrated leakage rate tests from 10 years to 15 years. In support of that application, the South Texas Project has completed an assessment of the impact of the proposed extension on the Probabilistic Risk Assessment using a template approach presented in NEI guidance (Reference 2). The attachment to this letter revises an addendum (Reference 3) previously submitted in support of the amendment application. There is no change in the conclusion of the assessment that the consequences of the proposed extension are not significant in terms of overall risk. Details of the analysis performed and the specific risk values calculated are provided in the attachment to this letter. Revised and new material is identified in the margins of the attachment.

The South Texas Project requests that the NRC approve the proposed amendment no later than May 15, 2002, because of its significance for the Unit 2 outage currently scheduled for October 2002. Implementation would occur within 30 days following approval.

If there are any questions, please contact either Mr. M. S. Lashley at (361) 972-7523 or me at (361) 972-8757.

I state under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on  $\frac{4/2/62}{}$ .

J. J. Sheppard Vice President,

Engineering & Technical Services

PLW

Attachment: Evaluation of Changes to ILRT Frequency Using NEI Template

cc:

Ellis W. Merschoff Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Mohan C. Thadani Addressee Only U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Project Manager, Mail Stop OWFN/7-D-1 Washington, DC 20555

Cornelius F. O'Keefe c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77404-0910

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

M. T. Hardt/W. C. Gunst City Public Service P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704 Jon C. Wood Matthews & Branscomb 112 East Pecan, Suite 1100 San Antonio, Texas 78205-3692

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations - Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Richard A. Ratliff Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

R. L. Balcom/D. G. Tees Reliant Energy, Inc. P. O. Box 1700 Houston, TX 77251

C. A. Johnson/A. C. Bakken III AEP - Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 77483

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk 1 White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

# SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT UNITS 1 & 2 EVALUATION OF CHANGES TO ILRT FREQUENCY USING NEI TEMPLATE

## **Purpose and Scope**

This probabilistic risk analysis assessment was performed to quantify the impact of a one-time five-year extension of the Containment Integrated Leakage Rate Test (ILRT) interval as described in the proposed change to Technical Specification 6.8.3.j. The current South Texas Project ILRT interval is ten years. A change to Technical Specification 6.8.3.j has been proposed for a one-time extension to fifteen years.

A template approach developed by the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), as documented in NEI correspondence dated November 13, 2001, describes a methodology that can be used to assess a one-time extension of the Containment ILRT interval. The methodology determines the changes in off-site consequences for various extensions of the ILRT testing interval. This assessment presents the results of using South Texas Project Level 2 PRA results with the NEI methodology for the proposed five-year test interval extension.

# Overview of Integrated Leakage Rate Testing in the PRA

Integrated leakage rate testing is performed to confirm that the conditions assumed in the UFSAR Chapter 15 design-basis accident analysis concerning doses at the site boundary are maintained. If the Containment leakage is less than the specified limit, then, under Chapter 15 accident analysis, the dose to the public at the site boundary will not exceed the limits of 10CFR100.

The South Texas Project PRA (Reference 1) is a full Level 2 analysis of Core Damage Frequency (CDF) and Containment Response including Large Early Release Frequency (LERF) and Small Early Release Frequency.

- Integrated leakage rate testing has no impact on the protection of the reactor core.
   Therefore, there is no impact on the core damage frequency due to a change in the ILRT testing interval.
- Sequences contributing to LERF are dominated by sequences caused by the phenomenon "Induced Steam Generator Tube Rupture" (ISGTR). ISGTR occurs when the secondary sides of the steam generators dry out after a core damage event with the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) intact at high pressure. High temperature gases from the degraded core circulate through the RCS, heating up the steam generator tubes to the point of failure. The ISGTR sequences are primarily caused by core damage scenarios that involve loss of all station AC power (Station Blackout). The dominant cause of early containment failure in the STP PRA is not affected by integrated leakage rate testing.
- The leading mechanical cause of containment bypass leading to LERF is failure of the supplementary containment purge subsystem to isolate during an accident sequence.
   Supplementary purge isolation is also not affected by ILRT.

The purpose of the ILRT, as it relates to the PRA, is to confirm Containment integrity. The ILRT program affects the likelihood for detection of small Containment isolation failure and determination of Small Early Release Frequency in the PRA analysis.

# **Assumptions**

There are no assumptions used for this evaluation other than those identified in the South Texas PRA.

#### **Analysis**

Containment failure in the South Texas Project PRA is binned to various radioactive release categories. These categories are defined in the South Texas Project Level 2 PRA and repeated in Table 1 of this report. Also included in Table 1 is the bin frequency calculated in the PRA.

Table 1

Name	Name Definition			
REL I	Large early failure of containment	5.759E-07		
REL II	Small early failure of containment	2.137E-06		
REL III	Late failure of containment	3.223E-06		
REL IV	No failure of containment	5.386E-06		
Total (Fre	1.132E-05			

Table 2 presents accident class information from the NEI letter for use in the ILRT evaluation. Included is the mapping of equivalent STP release categories to the NEI Class Number. Table 3 presents the results of an evaluation using the methodology described in the NEI letter. The base case in Table 3 is for three surveillances performed in a ten-year interval.

#### Results

Using the methodology described in the NEI letter, the change in population dose rate for a fifteen-year ILRT test interval from the base case (3 in 10 years) is 1.36E-03 person-rem/RX-Year. The change in LERF is 1.22E-07 person-rem/RX-Year and the corresponding change in conditional containment failure probability is 1.08%. These changes are not significant in terms of overall risk at STP.

#### Reference

South Texas Project Level II PRA, STP\_1999, October 2001.

# **Table 2 Accident Class Information**

Class No.	Description	STP Mapping	Frequency	Leakage	Population Dose, person-rem	Population Dose Rate, person-rem/RX-Year
Class 1	Containment intact; accident sequences do not lead to	REL IV	5.05E-06	La	8.97E+01	4.53E-04
	failure; not affected by changes to ILRT leak testing frequencies.		(PRA Class 1) minus (F3a + F3b)		Value from EPRI	Dose1 * Frequency1
Class 2	Failure of isolation system to operate from common	REL II	2.14E-06	Value from Plant	4.07E+06	8.70E+00
	cause or power failure; not affected by changes to ILRT leak testing frequencies.		Value from Plant PRA	PRA	Value from EPRI	Dose2 * Frequency2
Class 3a	Small pre-existing leak in containment structure or liner,		3.06E-07	10La	8.97E+02	2.74E-04
	identifiable by ILRT; affected by ILRT testing frequency.		0.027*CDF		(Class 1 dose for La) * 10La	Dose3a * Frequency3a
Class 3b	Large pre-existing leak in containment structure or liner,	••	3.06E-08	35La	3.14E+03	9.60E-05
	identifiable by ILRT; affected by ILRT testing frequency.		0.0027*CDF		(Class 1 dose for La) * 35La	Dose3b * Frequency3b
Class 4	Type B tested components fail to seal, not affected by ILRT leak testing frequencies.		NA	NA	NA	NA
Class 5	Type C tested components fail to seal, not affected by ILRT leak testing frequencies.		NA	NA	NA	NA
Class 6	Failure to isolate due to valves failing to stroke closed, not affected by ILRT testing frequency, low probability.		NA	NA	NA	NA
Class 7	Failure induced by severe accident phenomena, not affected by ILRT testing frequency.	REL III	3.22E-06	Value from Plant	2.16E+06	6.96E+00
			Value from Plant PRA	PRA	Value from EPRI	Dose7 * Frequency7
Class 8	Containment Bypass, not affected by ILRT testing	REL I	5.76E-07	Value from Plant	1.24E+07	7.14E+00
	frequency. (PWR SGTR; BWR MSIV leakage ISLOCA)		Value from Plant PRA	PRA	Value from EPRI	Dose8 * Frequency8
	Totals	CDF	1.13E-05	Total Dose Rate	····	2.28E+01

## **Table 3 Calculation Sheet**

Base Case (3 in 10 years)				10-Year Interval			15-Year Interval (5 Year Extension)		
Class No.	Frequency	Population Dose, person-rem	Population Dose Rate, person- rem/RX-Year	Frequency	Population Dose, person-rem	Population Dose Rate, person- rem/RX-Year	Frequency	Population Dose, person-rem	Population Dose Rate, person- rem/RX-Year
Class 1	5.05E-06	8.97E+01	4.53E-04	4.27E-06	8.97E+01	3.83E-04	3.70E-06	8.97E+01	3.32E-04
Class 2	2.14E-06	4.07E+06	8.70E+00	2.14E-06	4.07E+06	8.70E+00	2.14E-06	4.07E+06	8.70E+00
Class 3a	3.06E-07	8.97E+02	2.74E-04	1.02E-06	8.97E+02	9.13E-04	1.53E-06	8.97E+02	1.37E-03
Class 3b	3.06E-08	3.14E+03	9.60E-05	1.02E-07	3.14E+03	3.20E-04	1.53E-07	3.14E+03	4.80E-04
Class 4	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Class 5	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Class 6	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	0
Class 7	3.22E-06	2.16E+06	6.96E+00	3.22E-06	2.16E+06	6.96E+00	3.22E-06	2.16E+06	6.96E+00
Class 8	5.76E-07	1.24E+07	7.14E+00	5.76E-07	1.24E+07	7.14E+00	5.76E-07	1.24E+07	7.14E+00
CDF	1.13E-05	Total Dose Rate	2.28E+01	1.13E-05	Total Dose Rate	2.28E+01	1.13E-05	Total Dose Rate	2.28E+01
Dose Rate Change from Base (rem)					7.93E-04			1.36E-03	
ILRT Dose Rate (% of total dose) 0.002		0.002			0.005			0.008	
Change in ILRT dose rate from base* (%)				0.004			0.006		
LERF [Class 3b only] 3.06E-08		3.06E-08			1.02E-07			1.53E-07	
Change in LERF from base					7.12E-08			1.22E-07	
CCFP** (%) 52.70			52.70			53.33			53.78
Change in CCFP** from base (%)						0.63			1.08

<sup>\*</sup> This value is the change in the percentage of the total dose attributable to classes 3a and 3b (those accident classes affected by change in ILRT surveillance interval) from the base dose.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Conditional Containment Failure Probability