

From: Wayne Lanning / *RI*
To: David Silk
Date: Mon, Apr 3, 2000 11:27 AM
Subject: Re: IP2 DPV

Dave,

As I indicated, your DPV has a comprehensive listing of EP issues that we will use in ensuring that Con Ed's corrective actions are adequate. I generally agree with your list and support a drill that will demonstrate an adequate emergency response. We also have additional EP inspections that must also be completed. I really appreciate your and Nancy's efforts to highlight the problems and ensure Con Ed is prepared to response to a radiological emergency. That's our job!

Nancy, based on our discussions last week, I assume that you agree with this approach. (You know what happens when one assumes.) Please confirm and add any additional thoughts.

Wayne

>>> David Silk 04/03 10:58 AM >>>

Wayne,

Based upon your discussion with me this morning that you had talked to senior management at IP2 and that they stated that they would be conducting and unannounced integrated onsite drill some time in late April or May, I am willing to put the DPV process on hold. When the licensee submits it's get-well plan for EP in about two weeks, we will verify that they are planning to do the drill. If the drill is not a part of their plan, then I will ask that the DPV process be re-initiated to cause the NRC to consider pursuing actions to cause a licensee drill prior to restart. Evidently the licensee's idea of doing a drill prior to restart is very recent because as of last Friday when I spoke with the IP2 EP manager, there was no mention on his part (nor mine) of an integrated drill.

CC: Brian Holian, George Pangburn, Hubert J. Miller,...

A/2