



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

50-254/265

March 27, 1997

Ms. Irene Johnson, Acting Manager
Nuclear Regulatory Services
Commonwealth Edison Company
Executive Towers West III
1400 Opus Place, Suite 500
Downers Grove, IL 60515

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS (TAC NOS. M98227 AND M98228)

Dear Ms. Johnson:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 175 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-29 and Amendment No. 171 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-30 for the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments are in response to your application dated March 26, 1997, as supplemented March 27, 1997.

The proposed amendments provided (1) an evaluation of the Unreviewed Safety Question (USQ) involving the control room operator dose resulting from an error in the secondary containment volume, (2) a change in Technical Specification (TS) 4.7.P.2.b and 4.7.P.3 values for the allowed methyl iodide penetration for the standby gas treatment charcoal adsorbers, and (3) change of TS 5.2.C to reflect the new calculated free volume of the secondary containment.

The licensee requested that these amendments be processed on an emergency basis. The emergency exists in that failure of the Commission to act in a timely manner would result in the prevention of the resumption of operation of Quad Cities, Unit 1. The licensee was unable to make a more timely application because of the discovery that the secondary containment minimum free volume in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) was in error resulted in a determination of a potential USQ on March 24, 1997. This resulted in the licensee initiating a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation. This evaluation was completed on March 26, 1997, and it concluded that a USQ did exist due to a reduction in the margin to safety and an increase in the consequences of an accident. In accordance with the NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 9900, the licensee made the decision that resumption of operation of Quad Cities, Unit 1, could not take place until the resolution of the USQ by the NRC staff.

9704010195 970327
PDR ADOCK 05000254
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

I. Johnson

- 2 -

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Final Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration and Opportunity for a Hearing will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265

- Enclosures:
1. Amendment No. 175 to DPR-29
 2. Amendment No. 171 to DPR-30
 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: see next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	PUBLIC
PDIII-2 r/f	J. Roe, JWR
E. Adensam	P. Hiland, RIII
R. Capra	C. Moore
R. Pulsifer	OGC, 015B18
G. Hill (4), T5C3	C. Grimes, 013H15
ACRS, T2E26	

DF011

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CMNTJR\QUAD\QC98227.AMD *SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PD3-2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LA:PD3-2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PERB	SPLB	EELB	OGC	D:PD3-2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NAME	RPULSIFER	CMOORE	CMILLER*	LMARSH*	JCALVO*		RCAPRA
DATE	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

310031

I. Johnson

- 2 -

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Final Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration and Opportunity for a Hearing will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265

- Enclosures:
1. Amendment No. 175 to DPR-29
 2. Amendment No. 171 to DPR-30
 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: see next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
 PDIII-2 r/f
 E. Adensam
 R. Capra
 R. Pulsifer
 G. Hill (4), T5C3
 ACRS, T2E26

PUBLIC
 J. Roe, JWR
 P. Hiland, RIII
 C. Moore
 OGC, 015B18
 C. Grimes, 013H15

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CMNTJR\QUAD\QC98227.AMD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PD3-2	IA:PD3-2	PERB	SR/B	EELB	OGC	D:PD3-2
NAME	RPULSIFER	CMOORE	CMILLER	LMARSH	JCALVO	RCAPRA	
DATE	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/ /97	03/ /97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

I. Johnson

- 2 -

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Final Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration and Opportunity for a Hearing will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,



Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 175 to DPR-29
2. Amendment No. 171 to DPR-30
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: see next page

I. Johnson
Commonwealth Edison Company

Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
Unit Nos. 1 and 2

cc:

Michael I. Miller, Esquire
Sidley and Austin
One First National Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Document Control Desk-Licensing
Commonwealth Edison Company
1400 Opus Place, Suite 400
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515

Mr. L. William Pearce
Station Manager
Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
22710 206th Avenue North
Cordova, Illinois 61242

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Quad Cities Resident Inspectors Office
22712 206th Avenue North
Cordova, Illinois 61242

Chairman
Rock Island County Board
of Supervisors
1504 3rd Avenue
Rock Island County Office Bldg.
Rock Island, Illinois 61201

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, Illinois 62704

Regional Administrator
U.S. NRC, Region III
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Richard J. Singer
Manager - Nuclear
MidAmerican Energy Company
907 Walnut Street
P.O. Box 657
Des Moines, Iowa 50303

Brent E. Gale, Esq.
Vice President - Law and
Regulatory Affairs
MidAmerican Energy Company
One RiverCenter Place
106 East Second Street
P.O. Box 4350
Davenport, Iowa 52808



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

AND

MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-254

QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 175
License No. DPR-29

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) dated March 26, 1997, as supplemented March 27, 1997, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B. of Facility Operating License No. DPR-29 is hereby amended to read as follows:

B. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 175, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance and shall be implemented immediately.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert A. Capra, Director
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: March 27, 1997



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

AND

MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-265

QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 171
License No. DPR-30

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) dated March 26, 1997, as supplemented March 27, 1997, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B. of Facility Operating License No. DPR-30 is hereby amended to read as follows:

B. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 171, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance and shall be implemented immediately.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert A. Capra, Director
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: **March 27, 1997**

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NOS. 175 AND 171
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-29 AND DPR-30
DOCKET NOS. 50-254 AND 50-265

Revise the Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the pages identified below and inserting the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by the captioned amendment numbers and contain marginal lines indicating the area of change.

REMOVE

3/4.7-24
3/4.7-25
5.4

INSERT

3/4.7-24
3/4.7-25
5.4

3.7 - LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

P. Standby Gas Treatment System

Two independent standby gas treatment subsystems shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY:

OPERATIONAL MODE(s) 1, 2, 3 and *.

ACTION:

1. With one standby gas treatment subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 7 days, or:
 - a. In OPERATIONAL MODE(s) 1,2 or 3, be in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 24 hours.
 - b. In OPERATIONAL MODE *, suspend handling of irradiated fuel in the secondary containment, CORE ALTERATION(s), and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel. The provisions of Specification 3.0.C are not applicable.
2. With both standby gas treatment subsystems inoperable in OPERATIONAL MODE(s) 1,2 or 3, restore at least one subsystem to OPERABLE status within one hour, or be in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 24 hours.

* When handling irradiated fuel in the secondary containment, during CORE ALTERATION(s), and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel.

4.7 - SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

P. Standby Gas Treatment System

Each standby gas treatment subsystem shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

1. At least once per 31 days by initiating, from the control room, flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and verifying that the subsystem operates for at least 10 hours with the heaters operating.
2. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the subsystem by:
 - a. Verifying that the subsystem satisfies the in-place penetration and bypass leakage testing acceptance criteria of <1% and uses the test procedure guidance in Regulatory Positions C.5.a, C.5.c and C.5.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, and the system flow rate is 4000 cfm ± 10%.
 - b. Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of ASTM-D-3803-89, for a methyl iodide penetration of <2.5%, when tested at 30°C and 70% relative humidity; and

3.7 - LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

3. With both standby gas treatment subsystems inoperable in OPERATIONAL MODE *, suspend handling of irradiated fuel in the secondary containment, CORE ALTERATION(s), and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel. The provisions of Specification 3.0.C are not applicable.

4.7 - SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- c. Verifying a subsystem flow rate of 4000 cfm \pm 10% during system operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1980.
3. After every 1440 hours of charcoal adsorber operation by verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of ASTM-D-3803-89, for a methyl iodide penetration of < 2.5%, when tested at 30°C and 70% relative humidity.
4. At least once per 18 months by:
 - a. Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is < 6 inches water gauge while operating the filter train at a flow rate of 4000 cfm \pm 10%.
 - b. Verifying that the filter train starts and isolation dampers open on each of the following test signals:
 - 1) Manual initiation from the control room, and
 - 2) Simulated automatic initiation signal.
 - c. Verifying that the heaters dissipate 30 \pm 3 kw when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1989. This reading shall include the appropriate correction for variations in voltage.

* When handling irradiated fuel in the secondary containment, during CORE ALTERATION(s), and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel.

5.0 DESIGN FEATURES

5.2 CONTAINMENT

Configuration

5.2.A The primary containment is a steel lined concrete structure consisting of a drywell and suppression chamber. The drywell is a steel structure composed of a spherical lower portion, a cylindrical middle portion, and a hemispherical top head. The drywell is attached to the suppression chamber through a series of downcomer vents. The drywell has a minimum free air volume of 158,236 cubic feet. The suppression chamber has an air region of 120,800 to 117,300 cubic feet and a water region of 111,500 to 115,000 cubic feet.

Design Temperature and Pressure

5.2.B The primary containment is designed and shall be maintained for:

1. Maximum internal pressure: 56 psig.
2. Maximum internal temperature: drywell 281°F.
suppression pool 281°F.
3. Maximum external pressure: drywell 2 psig.
suppression pool 1 psig.

Secondary Containment

5.2.C The secondary containment consists of the Reactor Building and a portion of the main steam tunnel and has a minimum free volume of 4,716,000 cubic feet.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 175 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-29
AND AMENDMENT NO. 171 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-30
COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY
AND
MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY
QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-254 AND 50-265

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated March 26, 1997, as supplemented March 27, 1997, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) submitted an application for an emergency license amendment requesting review and approval to allow a decrease in the methyl iodide penetration for standby gas treatment (SBGT) charcoal from 10 percent to 2.5 percent in Technical Specification (TS) Sections 4.7.P.2.b and 4.7.P.3 to compensate for a reduction in calculated secondary containment volume. The licensee's submittal also contained a revised evaluation of the dose consequences to a control room operator resulting from a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA). This evaluation was submitted as a result of the identification of an error in the secondary containment free air volume. In addition, the licensee also proposed to change Specification 5.2.C to reflect the new calculated minimum free volume for the secondary containment.

As a result of this discrepancy, the licensee performed a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation and found that the error in the secondary containment volume resulted in a Unreviewed Safety Question (USQ). In accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and pursuant to 10 CFR 50.90, the licensee requested a license amendment to evaluate the USQ and approve associated TS changes.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The licensee recently identified an error in the assumption for the free air volume of secondary containment. The licensee utilized the volume of the secondary containment in the calculation of mixing for releases from the secondary containment to the environment. The licensee found that the free air volume of the secondary containment was actually 18 percent less than the value previously assumed. Because the error was found to be a decrease in secondary containment volume, this decrease would result in an increase in control room operator thyroid dose since the licensee had taken credit for 50 percent mixing in the secondary containment. Because the doses had

increased and the licensee was unable to expand the volume of secondary containment to return the plant to its original design, the licensee determined that this represented a USQ. Consequently, the licensee revised their LOCA analysis of the control room operator dose and submitted this USQ to the staff for review and approval. In the licensee's revised analysis they enacted some compensatory actions to offset the decrease in secondary containment volume. The licensee's revised evaluation assumed a removal efficiency for the SBTG charcoal of 95 percent for the elemental and organic forms of radioiodine. This was an increase from the licensee's previous analysis which had assumed an efficiency of 90 percent. With this increase in SBTG adsorber efficiency and the decrease in secondary containment volume, the licensee calculated the thyroid dose to the control room operator to be 21.88 rem.

To ensure that the charcoal was actually capable of performing at the 95 percent level, the licensee proposed to change the acceptance criteria of surveillance requirement 4.7.P.2.b and 4.7.P.3 to an allowable penetration of the methyl form of radioiodine to <2.5 percent. The licensee also proposed to change TS 5.2.C to provide the correct volume for secondary containment.

The licensee evaluated the consequences of the decrease in secondary containment on the offsite doses. Because the secondary containment volume was not utilized by the licensee in the calculation of offsite doses, the licensee concluded that the change in volume would have no effect upon the offsite doses.

The licensee evaluated the effect the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume would have on the Environmental Qualification (EQ) pressure/temperature analysis during a Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) line break in the RWCU heat exchanger room. The licensee determined that the pressure rise in the secondary containment is a function of the release path through the building and is not sensitive to the building total air volume for breaks of this size compared to the secondary containment volume. The licensee concluded that the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume does not adversely affect the EQ pressure/temperature analysis during a RWCU line break.

The licensee evaluated the effect the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume would have on the pressure and temperature of the secondary containment during a 1-inch instrument line break accident. The licensee determined that the decrease in the secondary containment free air volume would not adversely affect the calculated response to this line break because, as stated in the UFSAR, "Building pressure would adjust to a value such that the volumetric inflow of steam would be approximately equal to the combined volume extraction rates of the SBTG fan, leakage, and steam condensation." The licensee concluded that the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume does not adversely affect the pressure and temperature of the secondary containment during a 1-inch instrument line break accident.

The licensee evaluated the effect the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume would have on the normal reactor building ventilation system. The licensee determined that the commitment to provide at least one free air volume change per hour in the reactor building is still provided. The licensee determined that the air flow rates, design basis temperatures, emergency isolation function, and the process radiation monitoring trip signal are not adversely affected. The licensee concluded that the reduction in the secondary containment free volume does not adversely affect the normal reactor building ventilation system.

3.0 EVALUATION

Evaluation of the USQ

The proposed amendment requested review of the USQ to take credit for a decrease in the allowed methyl iodide penetration for the SBTG charcoal adsorbers and reduction in the secondary containment free volume and would revise the TS.

Credit for Decrease Allowed Charcoal Adsorber Penetration

The staff has evaluated the proposed TS change by the licensee and the revised control room operator dose from a LOCA. Inspection Report 50-254/91019 (DRSS) and 50-265/91015 (DRSS) contained an evaluation performed by the staff on the adequacy of the Dresden and Quad Cities control room emergency air cleaning systems to meet General Design Criterion (GDC) 19. This evaluation was in response to a request from NRC Region III to NRR. The evaluation performed by NRR contains several tables which present the control room operator dose as a function of (1) time to begin pressurization flow, (2) SBTG adsorber efficiency, and (3) control room emergency filter system (CREFS). Table 5 of the NRR evaluation assumes that pressurization flow starts 2 hours after the accident. In addition, the NRR evaluation uses International Committee on Radiation Protection (ICRP) 30 dose conversion factors and a retention factor for iodine in the suppression pool of one. Based upon a SBTG adsorber efficiency of 95 percent and a CREFS efficiency of 90 percent, the staff projected the control room operator dose to be 21 rem thyroid. If those calculations are corrected to account for the 18 percent decrease in reactor building volume, then the revised dose would be an increase from the previously calculated dose by 22 percent, resulting in a dose of 25.6 rem. This is below the acceptance limit of 30 rem thyroid for GDC 19 and, therefore, acceptable.

It should be noted that the licensee's analysis assumed pressurization started 110 minutes following the accident rather than at 2 hours as the staff had assumed. The staff determined that this 10 minute difference in pressurization times would not result in the doses increasing to a point where GDC 19 would not be met.

The staff has evaluated the licensee's proposed change to the acceptance criteria for the laboratory test of the SBTG charcoal which is contained in

surveillance requirements 4.7.P.2.b and 4.7.P.3 to <2.5 percent penetration. The staff has concluded reduction in the allowable penetration from the present value of <10 percent to <2.5 percent would provide adequate justification for assuming that the SBTG charcoal will perform at least at a level of 95 percent if called upon to mitigate the consequences of an accident. The proposed acceptance criteria of 2.5 percent includes a safety factor of two which provides the staff a degree of assurance that, at the end of the operating cycle, the charcoal will be capable of performing at a level at least as good as that assumed in the staff evaluation. Because the SBTG charcoal has a depth of 2 inches, an allowable adsorber efficiency of 95 percent can be assumed. In addition, the licensee presently performs the laboratory test using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D3803-1989 protocol with the test temperature being at 30 degrees Celsius and the relative humidity at 70 percent. Therefore, the test method is considered adequate. Based upon the above, the staff concludes that the licensee can assume an adsorber efficiency of 95 percent for the SBTG charcoal and the proposed change in surveillance requirements 4.7.P.2.b and 4.7.P.3 for allowable penetration for the laboratory test of charcoal is acceptable.

With respect to the consequences on offsite doses as a result of the decrease in secondary containment volume, the staff is in agreement with the licensee that the offsite consequences are not impacted since the licensee took no credit for mixing in the secondary containment.

With respect to the effect the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume would have on the EQ pressure/temperature analysis during a RWCU line break, the staff concurs with the licensee's conclusions that the EQ pressure/temperature analysis is not adversely affected.

With respect to the effect the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume would have on the pressure and temperature of the secondary containment during a 1-inch instrument line break accident, the staff concludes that the pressure and temperature of the secondary containment is not adversely affected since the smaller air volume enables the SBTG to draw down the pressure faster in the secondary containment and, thus, offsetting the slight increase in pressure due to the 1 inch steam break.

With respect to the effect the reduction in the secondary containment free air volume would have on the normal reactor building ventilation system, the staff concludes that the normal reactor building ventilation system is not adversely affected since the smaller air volume will increase the number of free air volume changes per hour and cause the isolation trips to occur sooner.

The staff finds the licensee's proposed change to TS 5.2.C to reflect the correct secondary containment volume acceptable.

4.0 EMERGENCY CIRCUMSTANCES

In its March 26, 1997, application, as supplemented March 27, 1997, the licensee requested that this amendment be treated as an emergency amendment. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.91(a)(5), the licensee provided the following information regarding why this emergency situation occurred and how it could not have been avoided.

The licensee was reviewing the ISI inspection results at the Dresden Station and identified that the value for secondary containment free volume stated in the UFSAR was higher than the actual volume. Quad Cities determined on March 24, 1997, that there existed a potential USQ, therefore, they initiated an immediate 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation. This discrepancy in the volume results in a calculated increase in dose to the operators in the control room during an accident. On March 26, 1997, the licensee completed the evaluation and determined that a USQ did exist due to a reduction in the margin to safety and an increase in the consequences of an accident.

The staff concludes that an emergency condition exists in that failure to act in a timely way would result in prevention of resumption of operation of Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1. In addition, the staff has assessed the licensee's reasons for failing to file an application sufficiently in advance to preclude an emergency and concluded that the licensee identified the deficiency in the UFSAR and TS, notified the staff of the deficiency, and promptly proposed this amendment to remedy the situation. Thus, the staff concludes that the licensee has not abused the emergency provisions by failing to make timely application for the amendment. Thus, conditions needed to satisfy 10 CFR 50.91(a)(5) exist, and the amendment is being processed on an emergency basis.

5.0 FINAL NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

The Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92(c) state that the Commission may make a final determination that a license amendment involves no significant hazards consideration if operation of the facility in accordance with the amendment would not: (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated, or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because of the following:

The consequences of previously analyzed accidents are not significantly affected by this proposed License Amendment. It was determined that the only impact of the Secondary Containment free volume discrepancy was a small increase in Control Room operator dose; however, by decreasing the allowed methyl iodide penetration for SBT charcoal from 10 percent to 2.5 percent, calculated operator dose levels are lower than the value previously calculated. Calculated offsite dose levels are not impacted by this issue.

The proposed License Amendment will not result in the reactor having the potential for operating in a different condition such that it may adversely affect the initial conditions assumed in any design basis accident analysis.

The associated systems related to this proposed amendment are not affected in a way that could impact the initiation of any accident sequence for Quad Cities Station; therefore, the probability of any accident previously evaluated is not increased by the proposed amendment. No modes of operation are introduced by the proposed changes such that adverse consequences are observed for Quad Station.

- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because:

The proposed license amendment for Quad Cities does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident previously evaluated for Quad Station. No new modes of operation are introduced by the proposed changes. This change increases the SBTG efficiency in accordance with generic industry guidance. This increase in SBTG charcoal efficiency is required to compensate for the discrepancy in Secondary Containment free volume. As such, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

- (3) Involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because:

The proposed license amendment does not significantly affect existing plant safety margins or the reliability of the equipment assumed to operate in the safety analysis. The proposed changes ensure that Control Room operator doses are lower than the value previously calculated considering the impact of the Secondary Containment free volume discrepancy and the increase in SBTG charcoal filter efficiency. In addition, the proposed license amendment for Quad Cities Station will not reduce the availability of systems required to mitigate accident conditions; therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has made a final no significant hazards finding with respect to this amendment. Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such

activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: J. Hayes
J. Segala
R. Pulsifer

Date: March 27, 1997

I. Johnson

- 2 -

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Final Determination of No Significant Hazards Consideration and Opportunity for a Hearing will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265

- Enclosures:
1. Amendment No. 175 to DPR-29
 2. Amendment No. 171 to DPR-30
 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: see next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	PUBLIC
PDI-2 r/f	J. Roe, JWR
E. Adensam	P. Hiland, RIII
R. Capra	C. Moore
R. Pulsifer	OGC, 015B18
G. Hill (4), T5C3	C. Grimes, 013H15
ACRS, T2E26	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CMNTJR\QUAD\QC98227.AMD *SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PD3-2 <i>E</i>	LA:PD3-2 <i>e</i>	PERB	SPLB	EELB	OGC	D:PD3-2 <i>m</i>
NAME	RPULSIFER	CMOORE	CMILLER*	LMARSH*	JCALVO*		RCAPRA
DATE	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97	03/27/97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY