

SEP 30 1974

Commonwealth Edison Company  
ATTN: Mr. J. S. Abel  
Nuclear Licensing Administrator -  
Boiling Water Reactors  
P. O. Box 767  
Chicago, Illinois 60690

Re: Change to Bases

Gentlemen:

In a letter dated July 24, 1974, you transmitted the results of your eddy current inspection for inverted poison tubes and an analysis of the potential effect of B<sub>4</sub>C compaction in the inverted tubes remaining in the Quad-Cities Unit 1 reactor. You also requested discontinuance of the actions required in the letter from D. J. Skovholt dated April 1, 1974, pertaining to inverted poison tubes.

A total of 57 inverted tubes in 30 control rods (0.38% of the total tubes in all control rods) were left inside the core. The potential shutdown margin loss assuming full B<sub>4</sub>C settling in these 57 inverted tubes was calculated to be 0.04% AK. This value should be added to the shutdown margin requirements (included in the value of R) as long as these blades remain in the core. You concluded, and we agree, that the potential effects of B<sub>4</sub>C settling on the rod drop accident and pressurization transients are negligible.

We have reviewed your submittal and concluded that the presence of 57 inverted tubes does not significantly alter previous safety considerations. Accordingly, the three requirements specified on the first page of the letter from D. J. Skovholt dated April 1, 1974, are no longer applicable.

To implement the above requirements, the bases for the Technical Specifications appended to License No. DPR-29 are changed by revising the second paragraph of Section 3.3.A.1 to read as follows:

The value of R is the difference between the calculated core reactivity at the beginning of the operating cycle and the calculated value of core reactivity any time later in the

LB

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶						
DATE ▶						

SEP 30 1974

cycle where it would be greater than at the beginning. The value of R shall include the potential shutdown margin loss assuming full B<sub>4</sub>C settling in all inverted poison tubes present in the core. A new value of R must be determined for each new fuel cycle.

A revised page 80 incorporating the above basis change is enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:  
Karl R. Goller

Karl R. Goller, Assistant Director  
for Operating Reactors  
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:  
Revised Page 80

cc w/encl:  
Mr. Charles Whitmore  
President and Chairman  
Iowa-Illinois Gas and  
Electric Company  
206 East Second Avenue  
Davenport, Iowa 52801

John W. Rowe, Esquire  
Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
Counselors at Law  
One First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60670

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire  
Berlin, Roisman and Kessler  
1712 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Moline Public Library  
504 - 17th Street  
Moline, Illinois 61265

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket  
AEC PDR  
Local PDR  
ORB-2 Reading  
Attorney, OGC  
RO (3)  
NDube  
BJones (4)  
RVollmer  
RMDiggs  
RSilver  
RWReid  
JSapir  
BScharf (15)  
YJCarter  
SVarga  
ACRS (16)  
JRBuchanan, ORNL  
TBAbnernathy, DTIE

OFFICE ▶	L:ORB-2 x7403	L:ORB-2	L:ORB-2	L:AD/ORS	
SURNAME ▶	JSapir/esp	RWReid	DLZiemann	KRGoller	
DATE ▶	9/25/74	9/26/74	9/27/74	9/30/74	

SEP 30 1974

cycle where it would be greater than at the beginning. The value of R shall include the potential shutdown margin loss assuming full  $B_4C$  settling in all inverted poison tubes present in the core. A new value of R must be determined for each new fuel cycle.

A revised page 80 incorporating the above basis change is enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:  
Karl R. Goller

Karl R. Goller, Assistant Director  
for Operating Reactors  
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:  
Revised Page 80

cc w/encl:  
Mr. Charles Whitmore  
President and Chairman  
Iowa-Illinois Gas and  
Electric Company  
206 East Second Avenue  
Davenport, Iowa 52801

John W. Rowe, Esquire  
Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
Counselors at Law  
One First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60670

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire  
Berlin, Roisman and Kessler  
1712 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Moline Public Library  
504 - 17th Street  
Moline, Illinois 61265

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket  
AEC PDR  
Local PDR  
ORB-2 Reading  
Attorney, OGC  
RO (3)  
NDube  
BJones (4)  
RVollmer  
RMDiggs  
RSilver  
RWReid  
JSapir  
BScharf (15)  
YJCarter  
SVarga  
ACRS (16)  
JRBuchanan, ORNL  
TBAbnerthy, DTIE

OFFICE ▶	L:ORB-2 x7403	L:ORB-2	L:ORB-2	L:AD/ORs		
SURNAME ▶	JSapir/esp	RWReid	DLZiemann	KRGoller		
DATE ▶	9/25/74	9/26/74	9/27/74	9/30/74		

### 3.3 Limiting Condition for Operation Bases

#### A. Reactivity Limitations

##### 1. Reactivity margin - core loading

3 | The core reactivity limitation is a restriction to be applied principally to the design of new fuel which may be loaded in the core or into a particular refueling pattern. Satisfaction of the limitation can only be demonstrated at the time of loading and must be such that it will apply to the entire subsequent fuel cycle. The generalized form is that the reactivity of the core loading will be limited so the core can be made sub-critical by at least  $R + 0.25\Delta k$  in the most reactive condition during the operating cycle, with the strongest control rod fully withdrawn and all others fully inserted. The value of  $R$  in  $\Delta k$  is the amount by which the core reactivity, at any time in the operating cycle, is calculated to be greater than at the time of the check; i.e., the initial loading.  $R$  must be a positive quantity or zero. A core which contains temporary control or other burnable neutron absorbers may have a reactivity characteristic which increases with core lifetime, goes through a maximum and then decreases thereafter.

The value of  $R$  is the difference between the calculated core reactivity at the beginning of the operating cycle and the calculated value of core reactivity any time later in the cycle where it would be greater than at the beginning. The value of  $R$  shall include the potential shutdown margin loss assuming full  $B_4C$

settling in all inverted poison tubes present in the core. A new value of  $R$  must be determined for each new fuel cycle.

The  $0.25\Delta k$  in the expression  $R + 0.25\Delta k$  is provided as a finite, demonstrable, subcriticality margin. This margin is demonstrated by full withdrawal of the strongest rod and partial withdrawal of an adjacent rod to a position calculated to inset at least  $R + 0.25\Delta k$  in reactivity. Observation of subcriticality in this condition assures subcriticality with not only the strongest rod fully withdrawn but at least a  $R + 0.25\Delta k$  margin beyond this.

##### 2. Reactivity margin - stuck control rods

Specification 3.3.A.2 requires that a rod be taken out of service if it cannot be moved with drive pressure. If the rod is fully inserted and then disarmed electrically\*, it is in a safe position of maximum contribution to shutdown reactivity. If it is disarmed electrically in a non-fully inserted position, that position shall be consistent with the shutdown

\*To disarm the drive electrically four amphenol type plug connectors are removed from the drive insert and withdrawal solenoids rendering the drive immovable. This procedure is equivalent to valving out the drive and is preferred, as drive water cools and minimizes crud accumulation in the drive.