

Docket File



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555
September 23, 1988

Dockets Nos. 50-263, 50-282 and 50-306

Mr. D. M. Musolf, Manager
Nuclear Support Services
Northern States Power Company
414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Dear Mr. Musolf:

SUBJECT: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT AND PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNITS NOS. 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS AND FINDINGS OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROPERTY INSURANCE RULE EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 4, 1988 (10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i))

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the Federal Register a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose.

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be completed by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing temporary exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

Enclosed are Environmental Assessments and Findings of No Significant Impact relating to the temporary exemptions from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant and Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units Nos. 1 and 2.

8809290329 880923
PDR ADOCK 05000263
P PNU

m. m.

JFol
11

NP 2/21

These assessments are being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Dominic C. DiIanni, Acting Director
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V
and Special Projects

Enclosures:

1. Environmental Assessment - Monticello
2. Environmental Assessment - Prairie Island

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION
DOCKET FILE
NRC & LOCAL PDRs
PD31 GRAY FILE
GHOLAHAN
MVIRGILIO
RINGRAM
OGC
EJORDAN
BGRIMES
ACRS (10)
GPA/PA

n LA/PD31:DRSP
RINGRAM
9/23/88

 PM/PD31:DRSP
JSTEFANO
9/23/88

 PM/PD31:DRSP
DDIANNI
9/23/88


(A)D/PD31:DRSP
DDIANNI
9/23/88

These assessments are being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Dominic C. DiIanni in cursive script.

Dominic C. DiIanni, Acting Director
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V
and Special Projects

Enclosures:

1. Environmental Assessment - Monticello
2. Environmental Assessment - Prairie Island

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Mr. D. M. Musolf, Manager
Northern States Power Company

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

cc:

Gerald Charnoff, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and
Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, D. C. 20037

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
Box 1200
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Plant Manager
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Russell J. Hatling
Minnesota Environmental Control
Citizens Association (MECCA)
Energy Task Force
144 Melbourne Avenue, S. E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55113

Dr. John W. Ferman
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-3898

Regional Administrator, Region III
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Commissioner of Health
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street, S. E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440

O. J. Arlien, Auditor
Wright County Board of
Commissioners
10 NW Second Street
Buffalo, Minnesota 55313

Mr. D. M. Musolf
Northern States Power Company

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating
Plant

cc:
Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

Dr. J. W. Ferman
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
520 LaFayette Road
St. Paul, MN 55155

Mr. E. L. Watzl, Plant Manager
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
Route 2
Welch, Minnesota 55089

Jocelyn F. Olson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
Suite 200
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
1719 Wakonade Drive East
Welch, Minnesota 55089

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Executive Director for
Operations
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. William Miller, Auditor
Goodhue County Courthouse
Red Wing, Minnesota 55066

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY
MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT
DOCKET NO. 50-263
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF
NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
CONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM
10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) to Northern States Power Company (the licensee) for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, located at the licensee's site in Wright County, Minnesota.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by the Commission's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988, insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose. Subsequent to publication of the rule, the Commission has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able

to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

With respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the

period of delay, the licensee will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, the Commission would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The Commission's staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Technology and Science Department, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of September , 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Dominic C. DiIanni, Acting Director
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V
and Special Projects

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY
PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNITS NOS. 1 AND 2
DOCKETS NOS. 50-282 AND 50-306
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF
NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
CONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM
10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) to Northern States Power Company (the licensee) for the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units Nos. 1 and 2, located at the licensee's site in Goodhue County, Minnesota.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by the Commission's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988, insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose. Subsequent to publication of the rule, the Commission has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able

to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

With respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the

period of delay, the licensee will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, the Commission would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The Commission's staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Technology and Science Department, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of September , 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Dominic C. DiIanni, Acting Director
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV, V
and Special Projects